#### **ONLINE REPOSITORY**

TITLE PAGE

Corresponding author:

Department of Respiratory Medicine, F5-260

A.H. Wagener, MD

# External validation of serum periostin, FeNO, and blood eosinophils as surrogates for sputum eosinophils in asthma

A.H. Wagener <sup>1*</sup> , S.B. de Nijs <sup>1*</sup> , R, Lutter <sup>1,2</sup> , A.R. Sousa <sup>3</sup> , E.J.M. Weersink <sup>1</sup> , E.H. Bel <sup>1</sup> , P.J Sterk <sup>1</sup>
* Both author contributed equally to the manuscript
<sup>1</sup> Department of Respiratory Medicine <sup>2</sup> Department of Experimental Immunology  Academic Medical Center (AMC)  University of Amsterdam
The Netherlands
<sup>3</sup> Respiratory Therapy Unit GlaxoSmithKline London, United Kingdom

Academic Medical Center (AMC)

University of Amsterdam

Meibergdreef 9

1105 AZ Amsterdam

The Netherlands

#### **METHODS**

In-house periostin assay set up and quality

Serum periostin was measured by ELISA (duoset DY3548: R&D systems) using poly-HRP (Sanguin, Amsterdam, the Netherlands) for amplification. In short, capture antibody (100 μl/well; 1 μg/ml) was incubated overnight in a NUNC 96-well ELISA plate at room temperature. After 3 washes with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) pH 7.4 and 0.2% Tween-20 (PBST), remaining binding sites were blocked using 0.5% non-fat milk in PBS (150 μl/well) for 30 min. After 3 washes with PBST, standard curve (10,000 pg/ml till 39 pg/ml; 1 to 1 dilutions), samples (1 in 40 and 1 in 80 dilution) and internal controls were added (100 μl/well) and incubated for 2h, followed by three washes with PBST. Subsequently, detecting antibody (100 µl; 2 µg/ml) was added and left for 1h. After another 3 washes with PBST, 100 ul of a 1 in 10,000 dilution of poly-HRP (Sanguin, the Netherlands) in PBS with 0.5% non-fat milk in PBS was added and incubated for 30 min. After 4 washes with PBST the plates were developed using tetra-methyl benzidine and stopped with sulphuric acid. Incubations were at 500 rpm, at room temperature and in the dark, unless indicated otherwise. This in-house ELISA for periostin was validated for measurement of periostin in serum by serial dilutions (10x, 20x, 40x and 80x diluted;  $\pm$  15.5% variation) and spike recovery (77.75%  $\pm$  11.69%; (mean  $\pm$  SD)). The intra- and inter-assay coefficients of variability were 12.3% (9.08%  $\pm$ 3.91%; (mean  $\pm$  SD)) and 17.4% (12.69%  $\pm$  4.08%), respectively.

#### Western blot of periostin isoforms

Serum samples with high and low periostin were run on 10% polyacrylamide gels under reducing conditions with SDS. In some experiments serum proteins were concentrated by precipitation using 15 (w/v) TCA and carefully solubilized in Laemmli sample buffer before layering. After separation proteins were transferred to PVDF membranes, blocked with milk

powder in PBS tween-20 buffer and developed using a goat polyclonal periostin purified detecting antibody followed by an anti-goat secondary antibody (1:15000; LI-COR Biosciences). Membranes were scanned and quantified using the Odyssey Infrared Imaging system (LI-COR Biosciences).

#### **Results**

Western blot of periostin isoforms

No isoforms of periostin were detected in (up to 10-fold concentrated) serum using Western blotting with a goat polyclonal antibody (R&D; AF3548) affinity-purified on periostin (Asn22-Gln836).

Serum periostin analyses by Elecsys® Periostin

In conjunction with our data, serum periostin analyses using the Elecsys® Periostin assay showed similar results. In the external validation cohort there was a weak but significant correlation between serum periostin and sputum eosinophil percentages (r=0.32, p=0.001), whereas in the replication cohort there was no significant correlation (r=0.28, p=0.1).

The diagnostic accuracy of serum periostin to differentiate eosinophilic from non-eosinophilic airway inflammation using 3% sputum eosinophils as threshold, described as ROC AUC, was 62% (p=0.09, 95% CI: 0.48-0.75) in the external validation cohort and 55% (p=0.6, 95% CI: 0.35-0.75) in the replication cohort (Figure E1).

**Table E1.** Patient characteristics stratified by sputum eosinophil percentages

**External validation cohort** 

**Replication cohort** 

Mild to moderate asthma Moderate to severe asthma **EO** ≥ 3% EO < 3% **EO** ≥ 3% EO < 3%n=80n = 21n=30n = 16 $55 \pm 9.1$ Age (years)  $52 \pm 14.0$  $52 \pm 12.9$  $49 \pm 13.6$ Gender (% female) 43 56 48 54  $29 \pm 6.0$ **BMI**  $28 \pm 5.3$  $28 \pm 5.2$  $31 \pm 9.3$ Smoking history (py)# 0 (0-7.5) 6 (0-17) 4 (0-19) 0(0-5)Dose ICS (µg/day)#1 500 (250-500) 500 (500-1000) 625 (500-1000) 250 (250-500) 60\* 37\* % positive RAST 50 62 Serum IgE (Ku/L)# 164 (34-262)\* 54 (20-190)\* 226 (35-383) 153 (44-267)  $101\pm18.5$ pb FEV<sub>1</sub>, % pred  $100 \pm 16.6$  $86 \pm 21.4$  $94 \pm 14.7$  $92 \pm 9.5$ pb FEV<sub>1</sub>/FVC, % pred  $96 \pm 11.4$  $82 \pm 15.3$  $88 \pm 16.5$ 

 $0.14 (.09-0.20)^{**}$ 

18 (13-32)\*\*

25 (19.0-32.8)

45.3 (39.4-54.6)

 $0.32 (0.23 - 0.48)^{**}$ 

42 (27.1-59.3)

56.8 (45.5-61.2)

NA

 $0.13(.06-0.20)^*$ 

36 (29.1-49.4)

49.1 (45.6-58)

NA

Data expressed as mean ± SD; #median (interquartile range); \*t-test p<0.05, \*\*t-test p<0.001

 $0.38 (0.29 - 0.61)^{**}$ 

55 (17-86)\*\*

27 (21.2-32.9)

49.7 (42.4-62)

Abbreviations: Dose ICS=fluticason equivalent; pb=postbronchodilator; pbb= parts per billion;

NA=not available

Periostin (in-house), ng/mL<sup>#</sup>

Periostin (Genentech), ng/mL<sup>#</sup>

Blood eos,  $10^9/l^\#$ 

FeNO level, ppb#

**Table E2.** Sensitivity, specificity, PPV and NPV of different surrogate markers using alternative cut-points to diagnose eosinophilic airway inflammation (<u>less or more or equal to 2% sputum eosinophils</u>)

	Threshold	Sensitivity	Specificity	PPV	NPV
Blood eosinophils	$> 0.22 \ 10^9/L$	83	82	70	90
Blood eosinophils	$\geq 0.25 \ 10^9/L$	74	86	72	88
Blood eosinophils	$\geq 0.27 \ 10^9/L$	69	92	80	86
FeNO level	> 20 ppb	76	60	49	85
FeNO level	$\geq$ 24 ppb	76	67	52	85
FeNO level	$\geq$ 42 ppb	58	94	83	84
FeNO level	> 50 ppb	48	94	80	80
Periostin (in-house)	> 26 ng/ml	56	57	37	73

PPV= positive predictive value; NPV= negative predictive value

**Table E3. Replication cohort:** sensitivity, specificity, PPV and NPV of different surrogate markers using alternative cut-points to diagnose eosinophilic airway inflammation (<u>less or more or equal to 3% sputum eosinophils</u>)

	Threshold	Sensitivity	Specificity	PPV	NPV
Blood eosinophils	$> 0.22 \ 10^9/L$	80	80	75	84
Blood eosinophils	$\geq 0.25 \ 10^9/L$	67	85	77	77
Blood eosinophils	$\geq 0.27 \ 10^9/L$	60	90	83	78
Periostin (in-house)	> 36 ng/ml	56	67	50	65

PPV= positive predictive value; NPV= negative predictive value

### Figure legends

**Figure E1.** ROC curve analyses of the sensitivity and the specificity of serum periostin, using the Elecsys $^{\circ}$  Periostin assay, for the diagnosis of eosinophilic inflammation. AUC = area under the curve.

## **Elecsys Periostin assay**

