The effect of preterm birth on later forced expiratory volume in one second - a systematic review and meta-analysis

- a systematic review and meta-analysis

Sarah J Kotecha, Martin O Edwards, W John Watkins, John Henderson, Shantini Paranjothy, Frank D Dunstan, Sailesh Kotecha.

ONLINE DATA SUPPLEMENT

Analysis including only the higher quality articles

In the preterm-born group without BPD, the mean difference for %FEV₁ was -6.9% (95%CI -8.7%, -5.1%) compared to term-born controls. The comparisons between the BPD and term-born groups; mean difference for BPD₂₈ and BPD₃₆ groups were -16.4% (95% CI -20.9%, -11.9%) and - 18.9% (95%CI -21.1%, -16.7%). The mean difference for %FEV₁ was -9.0% (95%CI -11.4%, -6.5%) for the preterm-born subjects (including BPD cases) compared to term-born controls.

STUDY	QUALITY	OBJECTIVE	STUDY DESIGN	STUDY GROUP	CONTROL	OUTCOME MEASURES
	SCORE				GROUP	
Fawke ^{E1}	16	To assess the degree of respiratory morbidity and in extremely premature children in relation to current clinical status and neonatal determinants.	Cohort study	182 EP (≤25 weeks gestation) 53 with no BPD	161 classmate controls excluded classmates who were preterm	Spirometry Post-bronchodilator response Questionnaire
Arad ^{E2}	8	To compare lung function following neonatal intensive respiratory care on the same children in infancy and childhood	Follow up study	10 PT	x	Spirometry
De Kleine ^{E3}	18	Examine the effect of lung injury caused by IPPV for HMD on lung function in children	Follow up study	40 PT ventilated for HMD (29 non BPD 27 with LF results) and 38 PT non ventilated with HMD	39 randomly selected pupils of a similar age	Spirometry Respiratory symptoms questionnaire Review of follow up records for PT
Doyle ^{E4}	19	To determine the respiratory health of children of birthweight <1501g, compared to NBW controls in adolescence	Cohort study	180 VLBW (<1501g) -130 no BPD with spirometry results	42 NBW (>2499g), 39 with spirometry results	Spirometry Assessment of respiratory health
Kulasekaran ^{E5}	14	To determine the	Cohort	45 PT children	Х	Respiratory outcome

Table E1a:- Description of the included articles preterm group (no BPD) compared to term control group

		recoiretery outcome of		(11 with LE		Family History
		respiratory outcome of		(44 with LF		Family History
		children who had BPD		results)		
		compared with a preterm				
		control group of children				
		at school age.				
Doyle ^{E6}	17	To determine respiratory	Cohort	298 ELBW	208 NBW	Spirometry
		function at 8 years in		(<1000g)/ very	(>2499g)	ISAAC questionnaire
		ELBW, very PT children		preterm (< 28		
		born in the 1990s		weeks		
		compared with NBW		gestation) 240		
		controls		with LF results		
				of which 151		
				with no BPD		
Galdes-Sebaldt ^{E7}	11	To evaluate the long-term	Follow up study	30 <1500g	27 terms	Spirometry
		effect of prematurity		children split		Questionnaire
		and/or HMD on		into 2 groups		Airway reactivity
		pulmonary function and		no HMD and		, ,
		airway reactivity.		HMD		
Giacoia ^{E8}	12	To investigate the	Cohort	12 PT	12 Term	Spirometry
		outcome of school-age			Controls	Body Composition
		children with BPD				Dietary intake
		compared to a preterm				Intelligence test scores
		cohort and term control				3
		group				
Gross ^{E9}	19	To assess long-term	Cohort	125 PT children	108 healthy	Spirometry
		pulmonary outcome of a		born at 24 to 31	term (38 to 42	Bronchodilator responsiveness
		regional cohort of children		weeks	weeks	Ongoing health problems
		born <32 weeks' gestation		gestation. 53	gestation)	Rehospitalisation
		compared with a matched		without BPD	controls	Respiratory symptoms
		term control group		had spirometry		Exercise testing
				- p		
Halvorsen E10	15	To investigate long term	Population-	2 population	81 term	Spirometry

		outcomes in young people after extremely preterm birth and BPD	based long-term follow-up study	based cohorts ≤ 28 weeks gestation or ≤ 1000g birthweight 19 with no BPD	controls birth weight between 3 and 4 kg	ISAAC questionnaire Metacholine provocation test Exercise induced asthma and reversibility to salbutamol Allergy testing
Smith ^{E11}	9	The aim of the study was to investigate the role of neonatal influences including post-natal corticosteroids and a diagnosis of BPD, on long- term respiratory outcomes in a group of children born very preterm in the 1990s.	Cross-sectional study	102 PT children 65 no BPD	x	Spirometry ISAAC questionnaire
Jacob ^{E12, E13}	15	To evaluate the long-term pulmonary sequelae of survivors of BPD of sufficient severity to have required oxygen for at least one month after term.	Cohort study	30 PT children 15 no BPD	13 healthy term children	Bronchial symptom questionnaire Spirometry Lung elastic recoil pressure Response to a bronchodilator
Kennedy ^{E14}	15	To assess the importance of the contributions of birth weight, gestational age, neonatal respiratory illness, and its treatment on subsequent childhood lung function in a cohort of children of birth weight less than 1500g.	Cohort study	VLBW cohort (<1500g) 76 no BPD	82 control children , 1 birth weight <2kg, 2 born at 36 weeks rest at term	Spirometry Respiratory questionnaire
Kilbride ^{E15}	14	To assess pulmonary function and exercise	Longitudinal follow up study	50 ELBW children <801g	25 age matched NBW children	Medical history and recent Hospitalisations

					07 1	
		capacity of apparently		34 no BPD	>37 weeks	Spirometry
		asymptomatic children			gestation and	Exercise testing
		who were born EP			>2500g BW	
Korhonen ^{E16}	16	To assess respiratory	Cohort	VLBW cohort	34 term	Spirometry
		outcome and its predictors		(<1500g) 34 no	controls of	Mailed questionnaire
		during the surfactant era		BPD of which	which 33 had	Atopic tendency testing
		in VLBW schoolchildren		31 had	spirometry	
		with and without BPD		spirometry	results	
				results		
Baraldi ^{E17}	12	To assess the cardio-	Area cohort	15 VLBW	26 born at term	Spirometry
		respiratory and metabolic	study	children	but data not	Questionnaire
		response to exercise in		(<1501g)	given for	Exercise testing
		VLBW children and to			spirometry	-
		compare exercise				
		performance in AGA				
		versus SGA				
Baraldi ^{E18}	11	To measure exhaled nitric	Cohort study	31 non BPD, PT	31 healthy	Spirometry
		oxide and lung function in			children born at	Reversibility to β_2 -Agonists
		a group of school-age			term matched	Allergometric study
		survivors of BPD.			for sex and age	
Barker ^{E19}	16	To assess the long-term	Area cohort	13 no BPD,	13 healthy	Spirometry
		outcome in respiratory	study	VLBW birth	children born at	Interview on respiratory history
		morbidity, lung function,		weight < 1500g,	term matched	and morbidity
		submaximal, and peak		and PT birth	for age, height	Exercise testing
		exercise capacity among a		<37 weeks	and weight	ç
		local cohort of school			J J	
		children with a history of				
		treatment in a NICU after				
		preterm birth at VLBW				
Mieskonen ^{E20}	13	To evaluate the possible	Cohort study	40 children	14 term	Spirometry
		inflammatory basis of lung		with a	controls	Questionnaires
		function abnormalities		gestational age		Skin Prick Tests
				≤ 30 weeks or		Measurement of exhaled

				birthweight <1500g 18 no BPD		nitric oxide Spirometry before and after Salbutamol
Pianosi ^{E21}	11	To assess the hypothesis that there would be no significant difference in childhood lung function between patients who were ventilated by conventional or high frequency ventilation.	Cohort study	15 non BPD	15 term born matched controls	Spirometry Bronchodilator responsiveness
Palta ^{E22}	15	To determine lung function at 10 years in VLBW children and controls	Cohort study	265 VLBW children ≤ 1500g 206 without BPD	360 unselected controls	Spirometry Home spirometry
Mitchell ^{E23}	11	To test the hypothesis that gas transfer during exercise is reduced in survivors of BPD relative to age-matched control subjects	Cohort study	10 no BPD	10 similar age born full term controls	Spirometry Exercise testing Questionnaire
Vrijlandt ^{E24}	14	To investigate the long term effects of prematurity on lung function and exercise capacity	Prospective cohort study	12 no BPD (gestational age <32 weeks and/or birthweight under 1500g)	48 healthy term controls	Spirometry Exercise testing
Wheeler ^{E25}	7	To assess and compare lung function in BPD, RDS, PT and term children	Case control study	14 PT	11 normal term delivery children	Spirometry
Abreu ^{E26}	14	To investigate cardio respiratory capacity and investigate the presence	Case control study	13 PT children 10 with LF results	20 term children 17 with LF results	Spirometry Exercise testing

		of exercise-induced bronchospasm among children with BPD				
Guimaraes ^{E27} (Data as Medians in paper)	8	To assess pulmonary function and the prevalence of atopy in school age children who were VLBW and to compare those who had BPD to those who did not.	Cohort study	85 VLBW children 64 with no BPD had LF results	x	Spirometry Questionnaire Allergy skin prick test
Hakulinen ^{E28} (Data as Medians in paper)	13	To determine the extent to which BPD affects the diffusing properties of lung tissue in childhood.	Cohort study	11 PT children <1250g without BPD	20 healthy term children	Spirometry Questionnaire
Berggren Brostrom ^{E29} (Data as Medians in paper)	8	To examine the impact of the severity of BPD on pulmonary morbidity at school age	Cohort	60 VLBW children 28 with no BPD	x	Spirometry Oscillometry Thoracic HRCT Allergy skin-prick test Blood sample questionnaire

Table E1b:- Demographics of the included articles preterm group (no BPD) compared to term control group

STUDY	SUBJECTS	GA (WEEKS)	BW	DURATION ON	AGE TESTED	YEAR	SURFACTANT	METHOD OF	METHOD OF
COUNTRY	(GENDER)		(GRAMS)	MECHANICAL	(YEARS)	OF	GIVEN	MEASURING LUNG	STANDARDISING

				VENTILATION (DAYS)		BIRTH		FUNCTION	LUNG FUNCTION MEASUREMENTS
Fawke ^{E1} UK and Ireland	No BPD (20M, 33F), Controls (43% M)	No BPD mean 25.1, SD 0.6 Control X excluded if preterm	No BPD mean 780, SD 120, Controls X	X	Range 10.1 to 12.1. EP No BPD mean 10.9, SD 0.4. Controls mean 10.9 SD 0.55.	1995	No BPD 39/53 Controls X	Portable spirometer (Jaeger Masterscope, Lab Manager, V4.65; CareFusion, Hoechberg, Germany	Spirometry data were expressed as z-scores to adjust for height, age and sex ^{E30, E31}
Arad ^{E2} Israel	X	PT group mean 30.4, range 28-35	PT 1257g Range 900- 1900	8 for between 1 and 11 days, 4 being ventilated for 4 or more days	Mean 6.8 years SD 0.6	1977- 1979	X	Pneumotachograph- based system (Hewlett-Packard 47120A Pulmonary Desk System)	Expressed as percentage predicted ^{E32}
De Kleine ^{E3} The Netherlands	29 non BPD (19M, 10F), 38 non ventilated (24M, 14F), 39 controls (20M, 19F)	Non BPD mean 32.2 SD 1.8, Non ventilated mean 31.8 SD 1.9, Controls X	Non BPD mean 1952 SD 460, Non ventilated mean 1809 SD 419, Controls X	Non BPD 29/29 mean 2.9 days (range 0.8- 6.9), non ventilated 0/38, Controls X	Non BPD mean 12.3 SD 2.9, Non ventilated mean 12.8 SD 2.7, Controls mean 13.7 SD 1.6	1967- 1977	x	Water sealed spirometer (Lode instruments, Groningen, Netherlands)	Lung function as percentage predicted for sex and height ^{E32,} E33
Doyle ^{E4} Australia	500-999g group (35M, 43F), 1000- 1500g group (55m, 47F)	500-999g group mean 27.5 SD 2.3, 1000-1500g group mean 29.6 SD 1.5, >2499g	500-999g group mean 859 SD 100, 1000-1500g group mean 1259 SD 145, >2499g	X	500-999g group mean 14.1 SD 0.2, 1000-1500g group mean 14.2 SD 0.3, >2499g	1977- 1982	Not given	Jaeger Bodyscreen II- Bodybox (Jaeger, Germany)	Lung function as percentage predicted for age, height and gender ^{E34}

Kulasekaran ^{ES} Australia	>2499g group (26M, 16F) PT group (22M, 23F)	group mean 39.9 SD 1.0 PT group mean 28.3 SD 1.0	group mean 3420 SD 427 PT group mean 1090 SD 210	PT 30/45, days of mechanical ventilation median 1, IQR	group mean 14.2 SD 0.1 7-10	1989- 1990	0/45	Pulmonary function laboratory system (Sensormedics, Yorba Linda, CA, USA	Spirometry data was expressed as percentage predicted values for height, age
Doyle ^{E6} Australia	No BPD X, Control group (98M, 110F)	No BPD group mean 27 .2, SD 2.0 Control group X	No BPD group mean 912, SD 143, Control group Control group >2499	0-3 X	8-9	1991- 1992	In whole PT cohort 92/240 treated	Jaeger Body-screen II Bodybox (Jaeger, Germany)	and gender ^{E35} Results expressed as percentage predicted for age, height and gender ^{E34}
Galdes- Sebaldt ^{E7} USA	<1500g no HMD group (11M, 8F), <1500g HMD group (3M,8F) Controls (14M, 13F)	<1500g no HMD group mean 29.3, SEM 0·4, range 26-32, <1500g HMD group mean 29.5, SEM 0.6, range 26-32, Controls mean 39.9, SEM 0.2, range 38-42	<1500g no HMD group mean 1044, SEM 30, range 900- 1290, <1500g HMD group mean 1217, SEM 34, range 964- 1361, Controls mean 3429, SEM 64, range 2707- 4111	<1500g no HMD 13/19, <1500g HMD 9/11, Controls X	<1500g no HMD group mean 11.1, SEM 0.2, <1500g HMD group mean 11.2, SEM 0.2, Controls mean 11.6 SEM 0.2	1973- 1977	X	Automated pulmonary function lab model M100B (SRL Medical Inc, Dayton, OH)	Results as percentage predicted adjusted for height and sex ^{E35} and ethnicity ^{E36}

Giacoia ^{E8}	PT group	PT group	PT group	PT group mean	PT group	1978-	Х	SensorMedics model	Results as percentage
USA	(5M, 7F), Controls (5M, 7F)	mean 30.3 SD 1.54, Controls mean 40.07	mean 1162 SD 216, Controls mean 3663	8 days, SD 6.4, Controls mean 0	mean 12.3 SEM 2.6, Controls mean 11.9	1986		2600 pulmonary function monitor (SensorMedics Corp)	predicted ^x
		SD 0.27	SD 777		SEM 1.6				
Gross ^{E9} USA	No BPD group (27M,	No BPD group mean 29 SD 2,	No BPD group mean 1270 SD	55% of no BPD group median 6	7	1985- 1986	0	SensorMedics 2200 Pulmonary Function Equipment	Results as percentage predicted for age, height and sex ^{E37, E38}
	26F), Control group (62M, 46F)	Control group mean 40.1 SD 1.1	306, Control group mean 3565 SD 427					(SensorMedics, Anaheim Calif)	
Halvorsen ^{E10} Norway	No BPD (12F, 7M) Controls (42F, 39M)	No BPD mean 28.3 SD 1.5 Control group term	No BPD mean 1115.1 SD 158.5 Control group mean 3494 SD 300	No BPD mean 0.5 Range 0-4.8	2 populations assessed 2nd mean 10.6 SD 0.4 1 st 17.7 SD 1.2	1982- 1985 and 1991- 1992	X	Vmax 22 spirometer (SensorMedics Inc., Anaheim, USA)	Expressed as percentage of the predicted values ^{E39}
Smith ^{E11} Australia	x	For whole preterm group all <32 weeks gestation mean 27 SD 2	For whole preterm group mean 862 SD 161	x	Mean age of BPD and No BPD groups together 10 SD 1	1992- 1994	x	Vmax V62J Autobox (Sensormedics Corp, Yorba Linda, CA)	Expressed as percentage predicted ^{E40, E35, E41}
Jacob ^{E12, E13} Canada	No BPD (6M, 9F), Controls X	No BPD group mean 28.5 SD 2.6 Control group term	No BPD group mean 1044 SD 262.9 Control	No BPD group days of ventilatory assistance median 8.0,	No BPD group mean 11.2 SD 1.5 Control	1981- 1987	X	x	Expressed as a percentage predicted for sex and height ^{E35} . For black subjects 15% was subtracted

			group X	IQR 4.0-32.0 Control group X	group 10.6 SD 2.1				from the predicted values for spirometry ^{E42}
Kennedy ^{E14} Australia	No BPD (33M, 43F) Control group (39M, 43F)	No BPD group mean 30.6 SD 2.5, Control mean 40.0 SD 1.6	No BPD group mean 1228.6 SD 204.6, Control group mean 3459.1 SD 509.0	Duration of IPPV No BPD group median 0.7 IQR 0.5, 1.0 Control n/a	No BPD group mean 11.3 SD 0.8, Control 11.4 SD 0.8	1981- 1982	x	Pulmonary function testing was performed using the Jaeger Masterlab system	Results were evaluated as percentage predicted for gender and height ^{E34}
Kilbride ^{E15} USA	All ELBW (16M, 34F) Control (11M, 14F)	ELBW mean 261 SD 1.6 NBW >37	ELBW mean 701 SD 80 NBW >2500	ELBW mean 33 days SD 20 range 0-78	ELBW group mean 11.3, SD 1.6 NBW mean 11.1 SD 1.3	1983- 1989	x	SensorMedics (Yorba Linda, CA), 922 dry, rolling seal spirometer	Expressed as a percentage predicted x
Korhonen ^{E16} Finland	No BPD group (21m 13F) Control group X	No BPD group mean 29 SD 2, range 25-35 Control group term	No BPD group mean 1132 SD 235, range 605-1490	No BPD group 23/34 ventilated duration median 3, range 0-44 days	No BPD group median 7.1 range 6.9- 8.1 years Control group median 7.2, range 6.9- 8.3	1990- 1994	No BPD group 8/34 received	Flow volume spirograms were recorded by mass flow sensor (2200/Vmax 22, SensorMedics BV, Bilthoven, Netherlands)	Finish FVS reference values for children were used ^{E43} .
Baraldi ^{E17} Italy	VLBW (6M, 9F)	VLBW mean 32.1 SD 3.0 range 28-37	VLBW mean 1287 SD 143 range 1000- 1500	7/15 duration 1-8 days	VLBW mean 9.9 SD 1.8 range 7.8- 12.2	1976- 1979	Х	101 water spirometer (Biomedin, Padova, Italy)	Expressed as percentage of reference values ^{E35}
Baraldi ^{E18} Italy	No BPD group (14M, 17F)	No BPD group mean 28.9 SEM 0.4	No BPD group mean 965 SEM 40	No BPD group 13/31 mean 2.6 days SEM	No BPD group mean 8.7 SEM 0.3,	1990- 1994	No BPD group 7/31 Control group	Flow volume spirometry (Biomedin, Padova, Italy	Lung function as percentage of predicted values for

	Control	Control	Control	0.7 days	Controls		Х		height and sex ^{E32}
	group	group term	group X	Controls X	mean 8.4				
	(14M, 17F)				SEM 0.4.				
Barker ^{E19}	No BPD	No BPD	No BPD	No BPD group	No BPD	1983-	Х	Baseline lung function	Lung function as
Germany	group (5M,	group mean	group mean	mean 2.1 days,	group mean	1989		was measured by	percentage predicted
	8F)	33.5, range	1264, range	range 0-13,	10.4 years			standard spirometry	X
	Control	30-36,	900-1490,	control group	(range 8-14),			and performed	
	group (8M,	control	Control	х	control			additional body	
	5F)	group term	group X		group mean			plethysmography	
					10.5 years			(Jaeger Body Screen	
					(8-12)			II, Wurzburg,	
E20								Germany)	
Mieskonen ^{E20}	No BPD	No BPD	No BPD	39/40 PT	No BPD	1989-	х	Spirotrac III,	Expressed as
Finland	group (6M,	group	group	children	group	1991		Vitalograph Ltd,	percentage predicted
	12F)	median 27.6,	median 960,	ventilated, No	median 8.0			Buckingham, UK)	
	Control	range 25.3-	range 727-	BPD group	range 7.5-				
	group X	30.9, Controls	1575 Controle V	median 12	9.2 Controls				
			Controls X	days range 1- 39	Controls median 8.9				
		term		39	range 5.3-				
					11.2				
Pianosi	Non BPD	CMV non	CMV non	CMV non BPD	8-9	1986-	x	Spirometry measured	Results were
Canada	group (9M,	BPD median	BPD median	median 5.5	0.0	1987	~	in 6200 Autobox,	expressed as
	6F)	29, IQR	1140, IQR	days, IQR 5,19		1007		Sensormedics, Yorba	percentage predicted
	Controls X	28,30	1045, 1280	HFV non BPD				Linda CA	using reference
		HFV non	HFV non	median 11					values ^{E32, E44, E 45}
		BPD median	BPD median	days, IQR 6.5,					
		29.5 IQR	1400, IQR	25					
		27.5, 31	1038, 1765	Control X					
		Control term	Control X						
Palta ^{E22}	No BPD	No BPD	No BPD	Х	All VLBW	1988-	Not given for	Jaeger AM1 portable	Expressed as
USA	49% M	group mean	group mean		mean 10.4	1991	no BPD	electronic peak flow	percentage predicted

Mitchell ^{E23} USA	Controls 56% No BPD group (6M, 4F) Control group (4M, 6F)	30 SD 2.5, Controls X No BPD group mean 31, SD 3, Control group mean 40, SD 1	1178 SD 228 Controls X No BPD group mean 1421, SD 411 Control group mean 3157, SD 606	no BPD X, Control group X	SD 0.42, controls mean 9.6 SD 0.72 No BPD group mean 7, SD 1, Term group mean 7, SD 1	1985- 1987	X	meter Spirometry with a calibrated spirometer (SensorMedics 2200)	ratios ^{E46} Results as percentage predicted based on height, gender and race using the standard equation ^{E41,} E42
Vrijlandt ^{E24} The Netherlands	No BPD group (12M, OF) Control group (16M, 32F)	Whole PT group including no BPD group mean 30 SD 2, range 26- 36, Control group term range 37-42	Whole PT group including no BPD group mean 1246 SD 232, range 720- 1750, Control group X	Whole PT group including no BPD group mean 6.3 days SD 12, range 0- 51, Control group X	Whole PT group including no BPD group mean 19 SD 0.3, range 19-20, Control group mean 20.8 SD 1.2, range 18-22	PT group 1983	0/42	Spirometry using a pneumotachograph	Results as percentage predicted based on height ^{E47}
Wheeler ^{E25} X	x	X	PT group mean 1506, SD 435,Control group mean 3540, SD 570	x	PT group mean 7.3, SD 0.6, Control group mean 8.3, SD 0.9	X	X	X	Results as percentage predicted ^X
Abreu ^{E26} Brazil	PT group (8M, 5F) Control	PT group mean 35 SD 2.3 range	PT group mean 1765 SD 621	PT group mean 1 SD 2 range 0- 6	PT group mean 8·3 SD 1.11, Control	1993- 1996	X	SpiroCard PC Card Flux spirometer (QRS Diagnostic-Plymouth,	Expressed as percentage predicted E35

	group (9M, 11F)	28-36 Control group term	range 850- 2800 Control group X	Control group X	mean 8.2 SD 1.14			USA)	
Guimaraes E27	No BPD	No BPD	No BPD	No BPD group	No BPD	2002-	х	Compact Vitalograph,	Expressed as
х	group	group	group	55/64	group	2004		Buckingham, UK	percentage predicted
(Data as	(24M, 40F)	median 30	median	ventilated	median 92				E 35
Medians in		range 26-35,	1210 range	median 10	months				
paper)		mean 29.9	655-1500,	days range 0-	range 69-				
		SD 2.4	mean 1162	72, mean	105 mean				
E29			SD 875	15.72 SD 16.6	84.7 SD 13.2				
Hakulinen ^{E28}	Non BPD	Non BPD	Non BPD	Non BPD	Non BPD	1978-	х	Flow/volume	Expressed as
Finland	group	group mean	group mean	group duration	group mean	1985		spirometry with a	percentage
(Data as	(4M,7F)	28.4 SD 2.4	992 SD 136	of ventilator	9.4 SD 1.2			wedge-bellows-type	predicted ^{E44, E35, E48, E49,} E50
Medians in	Control	range 26.7-	range 810-	treatment	range 7.5-			dynamic spirometer	200
paper)	group X	35.0, Control	1240,	median 9 days,	11.2, Control			(Vitalograph PS II,	
		group term	Control	range 0-26,	group mean 8.6 SD 1.1			Birmingham, UK)	
			group X	Control group X	7.1 range 7.1-				
				^	11.2				
Berggren	Х	Non BPD	Non BPD	Duration of	non BPD	1992-	Non BPD	Pneumotachograph	Expressed as
Brostrom		group	group	ventilatory	group	1997	group 1/28	(Vitalograph)	percentage
Sweden		median 30,	median	, therapy days	median 91				predicted ^{E35}
(Data as		range 28-31	1495, range	non BPD group	months,				
Medians in			845-2094	median 0,	range 78-97				
paper)				range 0-5					

Table E1c:- Lung function outcomes of the included articles preterm group (no BPD) compared to term control group

STUDY	FEV ₁ PREDICTED	FVC PREDICTED	FEF ₂₅₋₇₅ PREDICTED	RATIOS	TLC	RV	DLCO
Fawke ^{E1}	No BPD mean 90 SD 15, Controls mean 100 SD 12	No BPD mean 97 SD 13, Controls mean 102 SD 12	No BPD mean 71 SD 25, Controls mean 90 SD 23	FEV ₁ /FVC No BPD mean 92 SD 11, Controls mean 98 SD 8	X	x	X
Arad ^{E2}	PT group mean 82.6 SD 10.8	х	Х	X	х	х	х
De Kleine ^{E3}	Non BPD and non ventilated mean 90.34 SD 16.2, Control mean 95 SD 12	X	X	X	X	X	X
Doyle ^{E4}	No BPD mean 96.7 SD 12.6, NBW mean 104.6 SD 13.2	No BPD mean 101.4 SD 12.0 NBW mean 104.8 SD 12.0	No BPD mean 83.5 SD 23.8 NBW mean 99.1 SD 23.4	FEV ₁ /FVC No BPD mean 84.2 SD 8.8 NBW mean 87.0 SD 7.0	No BPD mean 99.3 SD 14.2 NBW mean 102.5 SD 13.9	No BPD mean 112.8 SD 37.6 NBW mean 117.4 SD 30.8	Х
Kulasekaran ^{E5}	PT group mean 87.3 SD 12.0	PT group mean 92.1 SD 11.8	PT group mean 81.1 SD 20.5	FEV ₁ /FVC PT group mean 86.6 SD 5.7	PT group mean 95.0 SD 11.9	PT group mean 100.7 SD 44.3	PT group mean 82.6 SD 11.7
Doyle ^{E6}	No BPD group mean 87.1 SD 11.5, Control group 97.9 SD 11.8	No BPD group mean 88.0 SD 12.9, Control group 95.2 SD 12.6	No BPD group mean 67.9 SD 22.1, Control group 85.6 SD 20.2	FEV ₁ /FVC No BPD group mean 88.7 SD 9.0, Control group 91.4 SD 6.6	No BPD group mean 95.1 SD 13.8, Control group 98.5 SD 11.7	No BPD group mean 122.9 SD 43.7, Control group 112.2 SD 34.2	X
Galdes-Sebaldt ^{E7}	<1500g no HMD	Х	<1500g no HMD	Х	Х	Х	<1500g no

	group mean 82 SEM 2, <1500g HMD group mean 83 SEM 2, control group mean 92 SEM 1		group mean 82 SEM 4, <1500g HMD group mean 90 SEM 7, control group mean 104 SEM 3				HMD group mean 87 SEM 3, <1500g HMD group mean 97 SEM 4, control group mean 99 SEM 3
Giacoia ^{E8}	PT group mean 85.9 SEM 6.3, Control group Mean 97.2, SEM 4.6	x	PT group mean 66.27 SEM 10.3, Control group mean 88.5 SEM 7.1	x	x	x	x
Gross ^{E9}	No BPD group mean 98 SD 18, Control group mean 97 SD 12	No BPD group mean 104 SD 15, Control group mean 103 SD 11	No BPD group mean 84 SD 27, Control group mean 88 SD 21	x	No BPD group mean 111 SD 16, Control group mean 106 SD 13	No BPD group mean 130 SD 51, Control group mean 112 SD 38	x
Halvorsen ^{E10}	No BPD mean 94.7 SD 11.2 Control 98.6 SD 9.9	x	x	x	X	x	X
Smith ^{E11}	No BPD mean 87 SD 12.1	No BPD mean 98	No BPD mean 75	Х	Х	Х	Х
Jacob ^{E12, E13}	No BPD mean 85.1 SD 10.8, Control mean 94.3 SD 8.3	No BPD mean 93.7 SD 8.3, Control mean 99.1 SD 9.4	No BPD mean 78.7 SD 22.7, Control X	FEV ₁ /FVC No BPD mean 84.1 SD 7.7, Control X	No BPD mean 97.1 SD 7.5, Control X	No BPD mean 114.8 SD 20.2, Control X	No BPD mean 92.4 SD 13.0, Control mean 100.7 SD 17.1
Kennedy ^{E14}	No BPD group mean 95.4 SD 11.4 Control group mean 102.1	No BPD group mean 101.2 SD 9.5 Control group mean 104.2	No BPD group mean 75.5 SD 22.1 Control group mean 90.7	x	No BPD group mean 100.2 SD 12.7 Control group mean 98.3	X	X

	SD 10.2	SD 9.6	SD 21.8		SD 10.8		
Kilbride ^{E15}	No BPD mean 89 SD	No BPD mean 94 SD	No BPD mean 92 SD	FEV ₁ /FVC	Х	Х	Х
	13	14	22	No BPD mean 89			
	Control group mean	Control group mean	Control group mean	SD 6			
	91 SD 9	96 SD 11	100 SD 17	Control group			
				mean 89 SD 5			
Korhonen ^{E16}	No BPD group mean	No BPD group mean	Х	FEV ₁ /FVC	No BPD group	No BPD group	No BPD group
	95	100		No BPD group	mean 110	median 148	mean 91
	SD 14, range 68-127	SD 16, range 72-142		mean 91	SD 14, range	range 71-353	SD 13, range
	Control group mean	Control group mean		SD 10, range 69-	89-145	Control group	68-117
	99	102		109	Control group	median 132	Control group
	SD 11, range 72-117	SD 8, range 83-117		Control group	mean 107	range 60-214	mean 101
				mean 92	SD 8, range 93-		SD 15, range
				SD 7, range 78-104	128		71-138
Baraldi ^{E17}	VLBW mean 94.2 SD	VLBW mean 92.8 SD	VLBW mean 103.4 SD	Х	Х	Х	Х
	8.9	8.1	23.5				
Baraldi ^{E18}	No BPD group mean	No BPD group mean	No BPD group mean	FEV ₁ /FVC	Х	Х	Х
	90.3	96.2	83	No BPD group			
	SD 15.6	SEM 2.2	SEM 5.6	mean 84.3			
	Control group mean	Control group mean	Control group mean	SEM 5.6			
	100.1	101.7	110.9	Control group			
	SD 12.8	SEM 2.5	SEM 5.1	mean 89.4			
F10				SEM 1			
Barker ^{E19}	No BPD group mean	No BPD group mean	Х	х	Х	Х	Х
	101	92					
	SD 15	SD 14					
	Control group mean	Control group mean					
	106	97					
E30	SD 11	SD 6					
Mieskonen ^{E20}	No BPD group mean	No BPD group mean	X	х	Х	Х	No BPD group
	89.8 SD 13, Control	94.0 SD 9.2 Control					mean 89.0 SD
	group 101.7 SD 8.4	group 104.5 SD 10.9					10.0, Control
							group 99.5 SD

							11.6
Pianosi ^{E21}	No BPD group mean	No BPD group mean	No BPD group mean	Х	No BPD group	No BPD group	No BPD group
	83	95	68		mean 103	mean 137	mean 117
	SD 13	SD 12	SD 20		SD 11	SD 50	SD 26
	Control group mean	Control group mean	Control group mean		Control group	Control group	Control group
	90	96	86		mean 97	mean 102	mean 109
	SD 8	SD 9	SD 12		SD 10	SD 26	SD 20
Palta ^{E22}	No BPD group mean	BPD group mean 87	Х	х	Х	Х	Х
	88 SD 14	SD 43					
	Control group mean	Control group mean					
	97 SD 12	99 SD 27					
Mitchell ^{E23}	No BPD group mean	No BPD group mean	No BPD group mean	FEV ₁ /FVC	Х	Х	No BPD group
	85	95	95	No BPD group			mean 80 SE 4,
	SD 15	SD 12	SD 12	mean 0.80			Control group
	Control group mean	Control group mean	Control group mean	SD 0.07			mean 100 SE 3
	91	93	87	Control group			
	SD 14	SD 15	SD 24	mean 0.88			
				SD 0.05			
Vrijlandt ^{E24}	No BPD group mean	No BPD group mean	Х	FEV ₁ /FVC	No BPD group	No BPD group	No BPD group
	99.2	99.2		No BPD group	mean 102.5	mean 111.2	mean 94.5
	SD 17.9	SD 13.7		mean 82.5	SD 8.3	SD 29.1	SD 18.0
	Control group mean	Control group mean		SD 11.1	Control group	Control group	Control group
	109.6	106.0		Control group	mean 103.3	mean 90.3	mean 96.3
	SD 13.4	SD 10.8		mean 87.4	SD 9.7	SD 25.3	SD 9.9
				SD 6.6			
Wheeler ^{E25}	PT group mean 106	Х	BPD group mean 90	Х	BPD group	Х	Х
	SD 17		SD 21		mean 101		
	Control group mean		Control group mean		SD 16		
	104		103		Control group		
	SD 15		SD 21		mean 111		
					SD 34		
Abreu ^{E26}	PT group mean 100	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
	SD 14, Control group						

Guimaraes ^{E27} (Data as Medians in paper)	mean 102 SD 15 No BPD group median 89 extremes 48-124	No BPD group median 91 extremes 56-117	No BPD group median 97 extremes 5-223	FEV ₁ /FVC No BPD group median 6 extremes	X	x	X
Hakulinen ^{E28} (Data as Medians in paper)	Non BPD group median 93, range 47- 120 Control group median 99, range 88- 119	Non BPD group median 96.6, SE 6.1 range 89-104 Control group median 98.6, SE 2.2 range 93-104	x	1-43 FEV ₁ /FVC Non BPD group median 94.9, SE 2.5 range 90-100 Control group median 102.9, SE 1.5 range 100-106	Non BPD group median 95.7, SE 3.9 range 89- 102 Control group median 99.7, SE 2.3 range 95- 104	Non BPD group median 82.3, SE 6.0 range 66- 101 Control group median 84.0, SE 6.4 range 71-97	Non BPD group median 89.5, SE 3.4 range 82-97 Control group median 100.7, SE 2.2 range 95-106
Berggren Brostrom ^{E29} (Data as Medians in paper)	Non BPD group median 95·4, min max 75-111	Non BPD group median 98, min max 78-129	Non BPD group median 93, min max 44-123	Given in litres	X	X	X

Table E2a:- Description of the included articles BPD group (supplemental oxygen dependency for at least 28 days from birth) compared to term control group

STUDY	QUALITY SCORE	OBJECTIVE	STUDY DESIGN	STUDY GROUP	CONTROL GROUP	OUTCOME MEASURES
De Kleine ^{E3}	18	Examine the effect of lung injury caused by IPPV for HMD on lung function in children	Follow up study	40 PT ventilated for HMD (11 BPD)	39 randomly selected pupils of a similar age	Spirometry Respiratory symptoms questionnaire Review of follow up records for

Doyle ^{E4}	19	To determine the respiratory health of children of birthweight <1501g, compared to NBW controls in adolescence	Cohort study	180 VLBW (<1501g) -39 BPD with spirometry results	42 NBW (>2499g), 39 with spirometry results	Spirometry Assessment of respiratory health
Halvorsen ^{E10}	15	To investigate long term outcomes in young people after extremely preterm birth and BPD	Population- based long-term follow-up study	2 population based cohorts ≤ 28 weeks gestation or ≤ 1000g birthweight 62 with BPD	81 term controls birth weight between 3 and 4 kg	Spirometry ISAAC questionnaire Metacholine provocation test Exercise induced asthma and reversibility to salbutamol Allergy testing
Bader ^{E51}	12	To determine the long- term pulmonary sequelae and effect on exercise tolerance of BPD	Area cohort study	10 BPD	8 age matched term children	Spirometry Exercise testing Recent medical history
Karila ^{E52}	7	To confirm children who have survived BPD display lower ventilation during exercise than healthy children, and to determine whether alveolar hypoventilation associated with exercise induced hypoventilation occurred in these children	Prospective study	20 BPD	18 healthy term matched controls	Spirometry Exercise testing
Kennedy ^{E14}	15	To assess the importance of the contributions of birth weight, gestational age, neonatal respiratory illness, and its treatment on subsequent childhood	Cohort study	VLBW cohort (<1500g) 26 BPD	82 control children , 1 birth weight <2kg, 2 born at 36 weeks rest at term	Spirometry Respiratory questionnaire

		lung function in a cohort				
		of children of birth weight				
F16		less than 1500g.				
Korhonen ^{E16}	16	To assess respiratory	Cohort	VLBW cohort	34 term	Spirometry
		outcome and its		(<1500g) 34 BPD	controls of	Mailed questionnaire
		predictors during the		of which 29 had	which 33 had	Atopic tendency testing
		surfactant era in VLBW		spirometry results	spirometry	
		schoolchildren with and			results	
		without BPD	a			
Koumbourlis ^{E53}	8	To investigate whether	Prospective	17 BPD, PT birth ≤	х	Spirometry
		early lung function abnormalities in PT	longitudinal	32 weeks, birth		Histamine challenge
		children with BPD	study	weight ≤ 1500g		Questionnaire
		improve in late childhood				
		and adolescence				
Baraldi ^{E18}	11	To measure exhaled nitric	Cohort study	31 BPD, PT <31	31 healthy	Spirometry
Daraiur	11	oxide and lung function in	Conort study	weeks, birth	children born	Reversibility to β_2 -Agonists
		a group of school-age		weight <2000g	at term	Allergometric study
		survivors of BPD.		Weight \$2000g	matched for	Anergometric study
					sex and age	
Barker ^{E19}	16	To assess the long-term	Area cohort	13 BPD, VLBW	13 healthy	Spirometry
		outcome in respiratory	study	birth weight <	children born	Interview on respiratory history
		morbidity, lung function,	,	1500g, PT birth	at term	and morbidity
		submaximal, and peak		<37 weeks	matched for	Exercise testing
		exercise capacity among a			age, height	_
		local cohort of school			and weight	
		children with a history of				
		treatment in a NICU after				
		preterm birth at VLBW				
Santuz ^{E54}	11	To evaluate the lung	Cohort study	12 BPD	16 healthy	Spirometry
		function of BPD children			controls	Exercise testing
		at school age and to			matched in	Questionnaire
		assess the level of			age, height,	

		exercise tolerance of BPD survivors by comparing ventilation and gas exchange during exercise of BPD and healthy children			weight and level of physical activity	
Pianosi ^{E21}	10	To assess the hypothesis that there would be no significant difference in childhood lung function between patients who were ventilated by conventional or high frequency ventilation.	Cohort study	17 BPD	15 term born matched controls	Spirometry Bronchodilator responsiveness
Northway ^{E55}	12	To test the hypothesis that the pulmonary function of adolescents and young adults who had BPD in infancy was normal	Retrospective cohort study	26 BPD	53 age matched term subjects	Spirometry Cardiorespiratory history Bronchial hyperreactivity Atopic status
Ng ^{E56}	7	To assess the prevalence of asthma in children born in the 1990s who had survived BPD	Retrospective cohort study	55 BPD children but only 7 performed spirometry	x	Spirometry Airway hyperresponsiveness Rates of asthma
Mitchel ^{E23}	11	To test the hypothesis that gas transfer during exercise is reduced in survivors of BPD relative to age-matched control subjects	Cohort study	10 BPD	10 similar age born full term controls	Spirometry Exercise testing Questionnaire
Vrijlandt ^{E24}	14	To investigate the long term effects of prematurity on lung	Prospective cohort study	8 BPD (gestational age <32 weeks and/or	48 healthy term controls	Spirometry Exercise testing

		function and exercise		birthweight under		
				1500g)		
6	7	capacity		0,		
Smyth ^{E57}	/	To assess lung function of	Cohort study	9 BPD	х	Spirometry
		children with BPD				Bronchial hyperreactivity
. E25	-			44.000		Allergy testing
Wheeler ^{E25}	7	To assess and compare	Case control	11 BPD	11 normal	Spirometry
		lung function in BPD, RDS,	study		term delivery	
- F58		PT and term children			children	
Ahrens ^{E58}	Not	To study the long term	Cohort study	19 BPD	9 term	Spirometry
	recorded in	pulmonary and allergic		VLBW <1500g, PT	children	Skin Prick Testing
	translation	outcomes of very low		<34 weeks		Chest X-ray
		birth weight prematures		gestation		Plethysmography
		with and without				Histamine challenge
		bronchopulmonary				
526		dysplasia				
Abreu ^{E26}	14	To investigate cardio	Case control	13 BPD	20 term	Spirometry
		respiratory capacity and	study		children 17	Exercise testing
		investigate the presence			with LF results	
		of exercise-induced				
		bronchospasm among				
F30		children with BPD				
Hakulinen ^{E28}	13	To determine the extent	Cohort study	20 PT children	20 healthy	Spirometry
(Data as		to which BPD affects the		<1250g had BPD	term children	Questionnaire
Medians in		diffusing properties of				
paper)		lung tissue in childhood.				
Blayney ^{E59}	7	To evaluate the natural	Cohort study	32 children with	Х	Spirometry
(Data as		history of BPD		BPD		Questionnaire
Medians in						
paper)						
Aquino ^{E60}	9	To correlate high-	Retrospective	26 children with	х	Spirometry
(Data as		resolution inspiratory and	cohort study	BPD		СТ
Medians in		expiratory CT findings				
paper)		with pulmonary function				

		results in older children and adults who have BPD				
Berggren Brostrom ^{E29} (Data as Medians in paper)	8	To examine the impact of the severity of BPD on pulmonary morbidity at school age	Cohort	60 VLBW children 28 with mild/moderate BPD	x	Spirometry Oscillometry Thoracic HRCT Allergy skin-prick test Blood sample questionnaire

Table E2b:- Demographics of the included articles BPD group (supplemental oxygen dependency for at least 28 days from birth) compared to term control group

STUDY COUNTRY	SUBJECTS (GENDER)	GA (WEEKS)	BW (GRAMS)	DURATION ON MECHANICAL VENTILATION (DAYS)	AGE TESTED (YEARS)	YEAR OF BIRTH	SURFACTANT GIVEN	METHOD OF MEASURING LUNG FUNCTION	METHOD OF STANDARDISING LUNG FUNCTION MEASUREMENTS
De Kleine ^{E3} The Netherlands	11 BPD (8M, 3F) 39 controls (20M, 19F)	BPD mean 30.6 SD 2.0 Controls X	BPD mean 1673 SD 340 Controls X	BPD mean 9.0 days (range 1.8-36) Controls X	BPD mean 13.4 SD 3.1 Controls mean 13.7 SD 1.6	1967- 1977	X	Water sealed spirometer (Lode instruments, Groningen, Netherlands)	Lung function as percentage predicted for sex and height ^{E32,} ^{E33}
Doyle ^{E4} Australia	500-999g group (35M, 43F), 1000- 1500g group (55m, 47F) >2499g	500-999g group mean 27.5 SD 2.3, 1000- 1500g group mean	500-999g group mean 859 SD 100, 1000-1500g group mean 1259 SD 145, >2499g group mean	x	500-999g group mean 14.1 SD 0.2, 1000-1500g group mean 14.2 SD 0.3, >2499g group mean	1977- 1982	Not given	Jaeger Bodyscreen II- Bodybox (Jaeger, Germany)	Lung function as percentage predicted for age, height and gender ^{E34}

	group (26M, 16F)	29.6 SD 1.5, >2499g group mean 39.9 SD 1.0	3420 SD 427		14.2 SD 0.1				
Halvorsen ^{E10} Norway	BPD (30F, 32M) Controls (42F, 39M)	Mild BPD mean 26.8 SD 1.4 M/S BPD mean 26.4 SD 1.4 Control group term	Mild BPD mean 981.0 SD 200.2 M/S BPD mean 868.8 SD 166.0 Control group mean 3494 SD 300	Mild BPD mean 7.2 Range 0-40.0 M/S BPD mean 13.8 Range 0.7-54.5	2 populations assessed 2nd mean 10.6 SD 0.4 1 st 17.7 SD 1.2	1982- 1985 and 1991- 1992	x	Vmax 22 spirometer (SensorMedics Inc., Anaheim, USA)	Expressed as percentage of the predicted values ^{E39}
Bader ^{E51} USA	10 BPD (6m, 4F) 8 control (4M, 4F)	BPD group mean 29 SEM 0.7, range 26- 32, control group mean 40 SEM 0.3, range 39-	BPD group mean 1173 SEM 120, range 765- 2000, control group mean 3248 SEM 166, range 2500-3960	BPD group IPPB mean 44 days, SEM 6, range 7-65 Control group 0	BPD group mean 10.4, SEM 0.6, range 7.3- 12.2, Control group mean 10.1 SEM 0.9, range 7.5-14	1973- 1979	x	Wedge spirometer (model 525, Medscience Electronics Inc., St Louis)	Lung function as percentage predicted X

		41							
Karila ^{E52} France	20 BPD (13M, 7F) 18 controls (8M, 10F)	BPD group mean 31 SD 2.3	BPD group mean 1441 SD 523 Control group X	BPD group mean duration mechanical ventilation 145.1 days SD 130.1 not counting nocturnal home ventilation which was used by 7 children for	BPD group mean 10.1 SD 2.3, control 9.9 SD 2.0	x	6 in BPD group received	Conventional spirometry, as recommended by ERS	Lung function as percentage of predicted values for age and sex ^{E61}
Kennedy ^{E14}	BPD (14M,	BPD	RPD group	mean 21.1 months SD 9.3 Duration of	RPD group	1981-	x	Pulmonary function	Results were
Australia	BPD (1410), 12F) Control group (39M, 43F)	group mean 26.8 SD 1.5, Control mean 40.0 SD 1.6	BPD group mean 959.8 SD 163.5, Control group mean 3459.1 SD 509.0	IPPV BPD groups median 47.0 IQR 24.5, 50.0 Control n/a	BPD group mean 11.3 SD 0.8, Control 11.4 SD 0.8	1981- 1982		testing was performed using the Jaeger Masterlab system	evaluated as percentage predicted for gender and height ^{E34}
Korhonen ^{E16} Finland	BPD group (21m 13F) Control group X	BPD group mean 27 SD 2, range 23- 30	BPD group mean 951 SD 207, range 570-1300	BPD group 32/34 ventilated duration median 27, range 0-89	BPD group median 7.1 range 6.7-7.8 Control group median 7.2,	1990- 1994	BPD group 14/34 received	Flow volume spirograms were recorded by mass flow sensor (2200/Vmax 22, SensorMedics BV,	Finish FVS reference values for children were used ^{E43}

		Control group term		days	range 6.9-8.3			Bilthoven, Netherlands)	
Koumbourlis ^{E53} USA	BPD group (9M, 8F)	BPD group mean 29.1 SD 1.7 Median 29 Range 26- 32	BPD group mean 1120 SD 190, median 1110, range 880-1490	BPD group 10/17 ventilated mean 10.8 days SD 8.0, median 9.5, range 3-27	BPD group mean 8.2 SD 1.2	x	x	Lung volumes measured using a 7- liter Collins lung- volume analyzer (Warren E. Collins, Inc., Braintree, MA)MEFV curves were obtained using a 10-liter water-sealed Stead-Wells spirometer (warren E Collins, Inc., Braintree, MA)	Normal predicted values for lung volume etc. were obtained ^{E62,} E63
Baraldi ^{E18} Italy	BPD group (14M, 17F) Control group (14M, 17F)	BPD group mean 28.6 SEM 0.3 Control group term	BPD group mean 1081 SEM 57 Control group X	BPD group all ventilated mean 26 days SEM 3.4 days Controls X	BPD group mean 8.6 SEM 0.3, Controls mean 8.4 SEM 0.4.	1990- 1994	BPD group 24/31 Control group X	Flow volume spirometry (Biomedin, Padova, Italy	Lung function as percentage of predicted values for height and sex ^{E32}
Barker ^{E19} Germany	BPD group (7M, 6F) Control group (8M, 5F)	BPD group mean 30.3, range 28- 33, control group term	BPD group mean 1139, range 710- 1480, Control group X	BPD group 13/13 mean 30.3 days, range 7-81, control group X	BPD group mean 9.8 (range 8-14), control group mean 10·5 years (8-12)	1983- 1989	x	Baseline lung function was measured by standard spirometry and performed additional body plethysmography (Jaeger Body Screen II, Wurzburg, Germany)	Lung function as percentage predicted ^X

Santuz ^{E54}	BPD group	BPD	BPD group	BPD group	BPD group	1981-	Х	Spirometry by a 10 L	Results were
Italy	(9M, 3F)	group	mean 1400,	12/12	mean 8.1 SD	1987		bell spirometer	expressed as a
	Control	mean 30,	SD 335,	ventilated	1.8, range 6-			connected to a	percentage of
	group	SD 2,	range 890-	mean 20 days	12, controls			computerized system	predicted reference
	(11M, 5F)	range 27-	1900,	SD 15, median	mean 8.1 SD			(Baires; Biomedin,	values appropriate for
		32,	Control	17, range 7-60	1.5, range 6-			Padova, Italy)	height, sex and age E32
		Control	group mean	Control group	12				
		group	3335, SD	Х					
		mean 39,	418, range						
		SD 1,	2800-4100						
		range 37-							
		40							
Pianosi ^{E21}	BPD group	CMV BPD	CMV BPD	CMV BPD	8-9	1986-	x	Spirometry measured	Results were
Canada	(9M, 8F)	median	median	median 13	0.5	1980-		in 6200 Autobox,	expressed as
	Controls X	27, IQR	1060, IQR	days, IQR 8, 44		1507		Sensormedics, Yorba	percentage predicted
		26.8,29	888, 1373	HFV BPD				Linda CA	using reference
		HFV BPD	HFV BPD	median 24					values ^{E32, E44, E45}
		median	median	days, IQR 9, 33					
		28, IQR	1025, IQR	Control X					
		26, 28.8	895, 1155						
		Control	Control X						
		term							
Northway ^{E55}	BPD (18M,	BPD	BPD group	All BPD group	BPD group	1964-	Х	Spirometry obtained	Results reported as
USA	8F)	group	mean 1894,	ventilated	mean 18.3	1973		using Fleisch	percentages of
	Controls	mean	SD 703,	Control group	SD 2.7,			pneumotachmeter	predicted values
	(23M, 30F)	33.2, SD	Control	Х	controls				according to
		3.8,	group X		mean 18.0,				standardized values
		Control			SD 3.1				for normal children
		group							and adults, 15% race-
						1			correction factor when

		term							appropriate ^{E32, E45, E64-} E69, E40, E42
Ng ^{E56} Hong Kong	BPD group with LF results (5m, 2F)	Whole BPD group mean 28 SD 2.6	Whole BPD group mean 1096 SD 366	55/55 ventilated, mean 29 days SD 17	7 BPD with LF results 7- 10	1987- 1995	28/55	Spirometry was performed with a portable spriometer (MicroPlus; Micro Medical Ltd, Kent, UK)	Reference values used ^{E70}
Mitchell ^{E23} USA	BPD group (7M, 3F) Control group (4M, 6F)	BPD group mean 30, SD 5, Control group mean 40, SD 1	BPD group mean 1359, SD 1041 Control group mean 3157, SD 606	10/10 BPD, Control group X	BPD group mean 7, SD 1, Term group mean 7, SD 1	1985- 1987	x	Spirometry with a calibrated spirometer (SensorMedics 2200)	Results as percentage predicted based on height, gender and race using the standard equation ^{E41,} E42
Vrijlandt ^{E24} The Netherlands	BPD group (8M, 0F) Control group (16M, 32F)	Whole PT group including BPD group mean 30 SD 2, range 26- 36, Control group term range 37- 42	Whole PT group including BPD group mean 1246 SD 232, range 720- 1750, Control group X	Whole PT group including BPD group mean 6.3 days SD 12, range 0- 51, Control group X	Whole PT group including BPD group mean 19 SD 0.3, range 19-20, Control group mean 20.8 SD 1.2, range 18-22	PT group 1983	0/42	Spirometry using a pneumotachograph	Results as percentage predicted based on height ^{E47}
Smyth ^{E57}	BPD group	BPD	BPD group	9/9 mean	BPD group	1970-	х	Spirometry with a 9-L	Results as percentage

Canada	(7M, 2F)	group mean 30, range 24- 34	mean 1476.5, range 730- 2200	duration of IPPV 8 days, range 2-14	mean 8.5, range 7.2-9.6	1972		water spirometer (Warren E. Collins, Inc, Braintree, MA)	predicted ^{E62}
Wheeler ^{E25} X	x	X	BPD group mean 1443, SD 463,Control group mean 3540, SD 570	x	BPD group mean 7.2, SD 0.9,Control group mean 8.3, SD 0.9	Х	x	x	Results as percentage predicted ^X
Ahrens ^{E58} Germany	x	BPD group <34, term group term	BPD group <1500g	BPD group 19/19, term group X	Preterm children mean age 7.7, terms 6- 7	1977- 1981	x	Whole body plethysmography	Results as percentage predicted, given in graphical form so results read of graphs ^x
Abreu ^{E26} Brazil	BPD group (9M, 4F) Control group (9M, 11F)	BPD group mean 32 SD 1.5 range 30- 34 Control group term	BPD group mean 1037 SD 229 range 830-1670 Control group X	BPD group 13/13 mean 11 SD 6.6 range 3- 26 Control group X	BPD group mean 8.5 SD 0.97, Control mean 8.2 SD 1.14	1993- 1996	X	SpiroCard PC Card Flux spirometer (QRS Diagnostic-Plymouth, USA)	Expressed as percentage predicted ^{E35}
Hakulinen ^{E28} Finland (Data as Medians in paper)	BPD group (8M,12F) Control group X	BPD group mean 27.8 SD 1.4 range 25-30.7, Control group	BPD group mean 952 SD 162 range 670-1235, Control group X	BPD group duration of ventilator treatment median 32 days, range 9- 88, Control group X	BPD group mean 8.5 SD 1.1 range 7.0-11.2, Control group mean 8.6 SD 1.1 range 7.1-	1978- 1985	X	Flow/volume spirometry with a wedge-bellows-type dynamic spirometer (Vitalograph PS II, Birmingham, UK)	Expressed as percentage predicted ^{E44,E35,E48-E50}

		term			11.2				
Blayney ^{E59} Canada (Data as Medians in paper)	BPD group 72% M	BPD group mean 29 SD 3.2 range 25- 36	BPD group mean 1228 SD 496 range 700-2560	30/32 ventilated Mean days of assisted ventilation 29 SD 22.8, range 0-99	10	1977- 1980	x	X	Expressed as percentage predicted ^{E62}
Aquino ^{E60} USA (Data as Medians in paper)	BPD group (16M, 10F)	BPD group median 28, range 22-36	BPD group median 900g, range 482-2350g	BPD group median duration of mechanical ventilation 60 days, range 3- 135 days	Median 10 range 5-18	x	x	Pneumotachometer (Warren Collins, Braintree, MA)	Expressed as percentage predicted ^{E35, E42}
Berggren Brostrom ^{E29} Sweden (Data as Medians in paper)	X	Mild BPD group median 27, range 24-30, Moderate BPD group median 27.5 range 25- 30	Mild BPD group median 987.5, range 654-1520, Moderate BPD group median 1133 range 597- 1252	Duration of ventilatory therapy days Mild BPD group median 0, range 0-34, Moderate BPD group median 3.5 range 0-38	Mild BPD group median 88.5 months, range 76-99, Moderate BPD group median 87 range 79-95	1992- 1997	Mild BPD group 1/20, moderate BPD group 3/8	Pneumotachograph (Vitalograph)	Expressed as percentage predicted ^{E35}

Table E2c:- Lung function outcomes of the included articles BPD group (supplemental oxygen dependency for at least 28 days from birth) compared to term control group

STUDY	FEV ₁ PREDICTED	FVC PREDICTED	FEF ₂₅₋₇₅ PREDICTED	RATIOS	TLC	RV	DLCO
De Kleine ^{E3}	BPD mean 73 SD 17 Control mean 95 SD 12	x	x	x	x	x	x
Doyle ^{E4}	BPD mean 88.5 SD 18.2 NBW mean 104.6 SD 13.2	BPD mean 98.2 SD 14.4 NBW mean 104.8 SD 12.0	BPD mean 71.3 SD 30.9 NBW mean 99.1 SD 23.4	FEV ₁ /FVC BPD mean 78.6 SD 11.1 NBW mean 87.0 SD 7.0	BPD mean 98.9 SD 14.7 NBW mean 102.5 SD 13.9	BPD mean 115.8 SD 53.0 NBW mean 117.4 SD 30.8	X
Halvorsen ^{E10}	BPD mean 86.4 SD 10.9 Control 98.6 SD 9.9	x	x	X	X	X	X
Bader ^{E51}	BPD mean 73, SEM 6, range 44-106, Control mean 93, SEM 4, range 75-115	x	BPD mean 55, SEM 9, range 22-105, Control mean 88, SEM 9, range 58-140	x	BPD mean 102, SEM 3, range 92-121, Control mean 103, SEM 3, range 88-119	BPD mean 158, SEM 12, range 104-226, Control mean 110, SEM 11, range 69-160	x
Karila ^{E52}	BPD group mean 79.1 SD 19.3 Control group mean 106.3 SD 11.3	BPD group mean 89.8 SD 18.8 Control group mean 101.7 SD 10.3	BPD group mean 50.5 SD 26.4 Control group mean 99.8 SD 18.9	FEV ₁ /VC BPD group mean 73.2 SD 16.3 Control group mean 86.4 SD 4.1	BPD group mean 100.7 SD 15.0 Control group mean 96.8 SD 8.7	X	BPD group mean 97.3 SD 22.2 Control group mean 89.8 SD 9.5
Kennedy ^{E14}	BPD group mean 78.4 SD 170 Control group mean 102 SD 10	BPD group mean 92.8 SD 11.5 Control group mean 104.2	BPD group mean 54.5 SD 29.2 Control group mean 90.7 SD 21.8	-	BPD group mean 98.1 SD 13.1 Control group mean 98.3	X	X

		SD 9.6			SD 10.8		
Korhonen ^{E16}	BPD group mean 90 SD 14, range 56-122 Control group mean 99 SD 11, range 72-117	BPD group mean 98 SD 16, range 60-129 Control group mean 102 SD 8, range 83-117	x	FEV ₁ /FVC BPD group mean 88 SD 9, range 73-108 Control group mean 92 SD 7, range 78-104	BPD group mean 109 SD 14, range 87-139 Control group mean 107 SD 8, range 93- 128	BPD group median 159 range 77-327 Control group median 132 range 60-214	BPD group mean 86 SD 16, range 68-130 Control group mean 101 SD 15, range 71-138
Koumbourlis ^{E53}	BPD group mean 98.6 SD 14.2	x	BPD group mean 73.6 SD 18.7	FEV ₁ /FVC BPD group mean 92.4 SD 6.5	BPD group mean 98.5 SD 13.1	BPD group mean 125.9 SD 37.7	x
Baraldi ^{E18}	BPD group mean 77.8 SD 12.8 Control group mean 100.1 SD 12.8	BPD group mean 85.9 SEM 2.5 Control group mean 101.7 SEM 2.5	BPD group mean 63.9 SEM 4 Control group mean 110.9 SEM 5.1	FEV ₁ /FVC BPD group mean 81.8 SEM 2 Control group mean 89.4 SEM 1	X	x	x
Barker ^{E19}	BPD group mean 90 SD 14 Control group mean 106 SD 11	BPD group mean 83 SD 12 Control group mean 97 SD 6	x	X	X	X	x
Santuz ^{E54}	BPD group mean 83 SD 13 Control group mean 100 SD 8	BPD group mean 87 SD 10 Control group mean 96 SD 8	BPD group mean 77 SD 30 Control group mean 110 SD 14	X	X	X	x
Pianosi ^{E21}	BPD group mean 86 SD 14 Control group mean	BPD group mean 99 SD 11 Control group mean	BPD group mean 72 SD 24 Control group mean	x	BPD group mean 107 SD 8	BPD group mean 141 SD 34	BPD group mean 99 SD 17

	90 SD 8	96 SD 9	86 SD 12		Control group mean 97 SD 10	Control group mean 102 SD 26	Control group mean 109 SD 20
Northway ^{E55}	BPD group mean 74.8 SE 2.9 Control group mean 100.40 SE 1.5	BPD group mean 96.8 SE 3.2 Control group mean 105.4 SE 1.7	BPD group mean 46.5 SE 3.6 Control group mean 87.8 SE 2.7	X	BPD group mean 108.6 SE 2.9 Control group mean 105.9 SE 1.8	X	BPD group mean 80.2 SE 2.5 Control group mean 87.8 SE 1.7
Ng ^{E56}	BPD group with LF mean 95 SD 19.4	Results given separately for each of the 7 patients	X	x	X	X	X
Mitchell ^{E23}	BPD group mean 78 SD 21 Control group mean 91 SD 14	BPD group mean 90 SD 19 Control group mean 93 SD 15	BPD group mean 45 SD 22 Control group mean 87 SD 24	FEV ₁ /FVC BPD group mean 0.77 SD 0.11 Control group mean 0.88 SD 0.05	x	X	BPD group mean 80 SE 12, control group mean 100 SE 3
Vrijlandt ^{E24}	BPD group mean 90.1 SD 19.8 Control group mean 109.6 SD 13.4	BPD group mean 96.4 SD 13.1 Control group mean 106.0 SD 10.8	x	FEV ₁ /FVC BPD group mean 78.8 SD 8.1 Control group mean 87.4 SD 6.6	BPD group mean 102.2 SD 8.9 Control group mean 103.3 SD 9.7	BPD group mean 122.7 SD 25.4 Control group mean 90.3 SD 25.3	BPD group mean 91.4 SD 10.5 Control group mean 96.3 SD 9.9
Smyth ^{E57}	BPD group mean 67.8	BPD group mean 76	BPD group mean 62	х	Х	Х	Х
Wheeler ^{E25}	BPD group mean 82 SD 20 Control group mean 104 SD 15	X	BPD group mean 55 SD 23 Control group mean 103 SD 21	X	BPD group mean 116 SD 28 Control group mean 111	X	X

					SD 34		
Ahrens ^{E58}	BPD group mean 77.11 SD 12.42 Control group mean 78 SD 20	x	x	X	X	x	x
Abreu ^{E26}	BPD group mean 99 SD 12, Control group mean 102 SD 15	x	x	x	X	X	X
Hakulinen ^{E28}	BPD group median	BPD group median	Х	FEV ₁ /FVC	BPD group	BPD group	BPD group
(Data as Medians	88, range 66-108	92.1, SE 2.1 range 87-		BPD group median	median 94.6, SE	median 91.3, SE	median 91.1, SE
in paper)	Control group median 99, range 88- 119	97 Control group median 98.6, SE 2.2 range 93-104		95.5, SE 1.7 range 92-99 Control group median 102.9, SE 1.5 range 100-106	2.0 range 90-99 Control group median 99.7, SE 2.3 range 95- 104	7.7 range 78- 105 Control group median 84.0, SE 6.4 range 71-97	3.4 range 86-97 Control group median 100.7, SE 2.2 range 95-106
Blayney ^{E59} (Data as Medians in paper)	Presented graphically	Given in text	Given in text	Presented graphically	Presented graphically	Presented graphically	X
Aquino ⁶⁶⁰ (Data as Medians	BPD group median 64, range 35-96	х	x	Х	Х	х	Х
in paper)							
Berggren Brostrom	Mild and moderate BPD group median	Mild and moderate BPD group median	Mild and moderate BPD group median	Given in litres	х	х	х
(Data as Medians in paper)	81, min max 61-97	85, min max 66-109	66, min max 31-107				

Table E3a:- Description of the included articles BPD group (supplemental oxygen dependency 36 weeks PMA) compared to term control group

STUDY	QUALITY SCORE	OBJECTIVE	STUDY DESIGN	STUDY GROUP	CONTROL GROUP	OUTCOME MEASURES
Fawke ^{E1}	16	To assess the degree of respiratory morbidity and in extremely premature children in relation to current clinical status and neonatal determinants.	Cohort study	182 EP (<25 weeks gestation) 129 with BPD	161 classmate controls excluded classmates who were preterm	Spirometry Post-bronchodilator response Questionnaire
Kulasekaran ^{E5}	14	To determine the respiratory outcome of children who had BPD compared with a preterm control group of children at school age.	Cohort	47 children with BPD	x	Respiratory outcome Family History
Doyle ^{F6}	17	To determine respiratory function at 8 years in ELBW, very PT children born in the 1990s compared with NBW controls	Cohort	298 ELBW (<1000g)/very preterm (< 28 weeks gestation) 240 with LF results of which 89 with BPD	208 NBW (>2499g)	Spirometry ISAAC questionnaire
Giacoia ^{E8}	12	To investigate the outcome of school-age children with BPD compared to a preterm cohort and term control group	Cohort	12 BPD	12 Term Controls	Spirometry Body Composition Dietary intake Intelligence test scores
Gross ^{E9}	19	To assess long-term pulmonary outcome of a regional cohort of children born <32 weeks' gestation compared with a matched	Cohort	125 PT children born at 24 to 31 weeks gestation. 43 with BPD had	108 healthy term (38 to 42 weeks gestation) controls	Spirometry Bronchodilator responsiveness Ongoing health problems Rehospitalisation Respiratory symptoms

		term control group		spirometry		Exercise testing
Halvorsen ^{E10}	15	To investigate long term outcomes in young people after extremely preterm birth and BPD	Population- based long- term follow- up study	2 population based cohorts ≤ 28 weeks gestation or ≤ 1000g birthweight 24 with BPD	81 term controls birth weight between 3 and 4 kg	Spirometry ISAAC questionnaire Metacholine provocation test Exercise induced asthma and reversibility to salbutamol Allergy testing
Smith ^{E11}	9	The aim of the study was to investigate the role of neonatal influences including post-natal corticosteroids and a diagnosis of BPD, on long- term respiratory outcomes in a group of children born very preterm in the 1990s.	Cross- sectional study	102 PT children 37 with BPD	x	Spirometry ISAAC questionnaire
Jacob ^{E12,E13}	15	To evaluate the long-term pulmonary sequelae of survivors of BPD of sufficient severity to have required oxygen for at least one month after term.	Cohort study	30 PT children 15 BPD	13 healthy term children	Bronchial symptom questionnaire Spirometry Lung elastic recoil pressure Response to a bronchodilator
Kilbride ^{E15}	14	To assess pulmonary function and exercise capacity of apparently asymptomatic children who were born EP	Longitudinal follow up study	50 ELBW children <801g 16 with BPD	25 age matched NBW children >37 weeks gestation and >2500g BW	Medical history and recent Hospitalisations Spirometry Exercise testing
Korhonen ^{E16}	16	To assess respiratory outcome and its predictors	Cohort	VLBW cohort (<1500g) 14	34 term controls of	Spirometry Mailed questionnaire

		during the surfactant era		with severe	which 33 had	Atopic tendency testing
		in VLBW schoolchildren		BPD 10 of	spirometry	
		with and without BPD		whom had	results	
				acceptable		
				spirometry		
Mieskonen ^{E20}	13	To evaluate the possible	Cohort study	40 children	14 term	Spirometry
		inflammatory basis of lung	,	with a	controls	Questionnaires
		function abnormalities		gestational age		Skin Prick Tests
				≤ 30 weeks or		Measurement of exhaled
				birthweight		nitric oxide
				<1500g 9 with		Spirometry before and after
				BPD		ol
Palta ^{E22}	15	To determine lung	Cohort study	265 VLBW	360 unselected	Spirometry
		function at 10 years in		children ≤	controls	Home spirometry
		VLBW children and		1500g 59 with		
		controls		BPD		
Berman ^{E71}	6	To provide information	Longitudinal	10 children	Х	Spirometry
		about disease evolution	study	with BPD		
		and the predictive nature				
		of early studies				
Guimaraes E27	8	To assess pulmonary	Cohort study	85 VLBW	Х	Spirometry
(Data as		function and the		children 13		Questionnaire
Medians in		prevalence of atopy in		with BPD had		Allergy skin prick test
paper)		school age children who		LF results		
		were VLBW and to				
		compare those who had				
		BPD to those who did not.				
Berggren	8	To examine the impact of	Cohort	60 VLBW	Х	Spirometry
Brostrom E29		the severity of BPD on		children 4 with		Oscillometry
(Data as		pulmonary morbidity at		severe BPD		Thoracic HRCT
Medians in		school age				Allergy skin-prick test
paper)						Blood sample
						questionnaire

Table E3b:- Demographics of the included articles BPD group (supplemental oxygen dependency 36 weeks PMA) compared to term control group

STUDY COUNTRY	SUBJECTS (GENDER)	GA (WEEKS)	BW (GRAMS)	DURATION ON MECHANICAL VENTILATION (DAYS)	AGE TESTED (YEARS)	YEAR OF BIRTH	SURFACTANT GIVEN	METHOD OF MEASURING LUNG FUNCTION	METHOD OF STANDARDISING LUNG FUNCTION MEASUREMENTS
Fawke ^{E1} UK and Ireland	BPD (59M, 70F), Controls (43% M)	BPD mean 24.9, SD 0.8 Control X excluded if preterm	BPD mean 740, SD 120, Controls X	x	Range 10.1 to 12.1. EP BPD mean 11.0, SD 0.4. Controls mean 10.9 SD 0.55.	1995	BPD 114/129 Controls X	Portable spirometer (Jaeger Masterscope, Lab Manager, V4.65; CareFusion, Hoechberg, Germany	Spirometry data were expressed as z- scores to adjust for height, age and sex ^{E30, E31}
Kulasekaran ^{E5} Australia	BPD group (23M, 24F)	BPD group mean 28.5 SD 1.5	BPD group mean 1073 SD 242	BPD 47/47 days of mechanical ventilation median 10, IQR 7-18	7-10	1989- 1990	0/47	Pulmonary function laboratory system (Sensormedics, Yorba Linda, CA, USA	Spirometry data was expressed as percentage predicted values for height, age and gender ^{E35}
Doyle ^{E6} Australia	BPD X, Control group (98M, 110F)	BPD group mean 25.9, SD 1.7 Control group X	BPD group mean 847, SD 183, Control group	x	8-9	1991- 1992	In whole PT cohort 92/240 treated	Jaeger Body-screen II Bodybox (Jaeger, Germany)	Results expressed as percentage predicted for age, height and gender ^{E33}

			Control						
			group >2499						
Giacoia ^{E8}	BPD group			BPD group	BPD group	1978-	X	SensorMedics	Results as
USA		BPD group	BPD group	• •		1978-	X	model 2600	
USA	(5M, 7F), Controls	mean 29 SD 2.5,	mean 1015 SD 222,	mean 25.8 days, SD 19.3,	mean 11.83 SEM 1.74,	1980		pulmonary	percentage predicted ^x
	(5M, 7F)	Controls	SD 222, Controls	Controls mean 0	Controls			function monitor	predicted
	(5101, 77)	mean	mean 3663	Controis mean o	mean 11.9			(SensorMedics	
		40.07 SD	SD 777		SEM 1.6			Corp)	
		40.07 SD 0.27	30777		SEIVI 1.0			corp)	
Gross ^{E9}	BPD group	BPD group	BPD group	95% of BPD	7	1985-	0	SensorMedics 2200	Results as
USA	(23M, 20F),	mean 27	mean 1053	group median	7	1985-	0	Pulmonary	percentage
USA	Control	SD 2,	SD 356,	34		1500		Function	predicted for age,
	group	Control	Control	51				Equipment	height and sex ^{E37, E38}
	(62M, 46F)	group	group					(SensorMedics,	height und sex
	(02),	mean 40.1	mean 3565					Anaheim Calif)	
		SD 1.1	SD 427					,	
Halvorsen E10	BPD (14M,	M/S BPD	M/S BPD	M/S BPD mean	2 populations	1982-	х	Vmax 22	Expressed as
Norway	10F)	mean	mean	13.8	assessed 2nd	1985		spirometer	percentage of the
-	Controls	26.4	868.8	Range 0.7-54.5	mean 10.6	and		(SensorMedics Inc.,	predicted values ^{E39}
	(42F, 39M)	SD 1.4	SD 166.0	-	SD 0.4	1991-		Anaheim, USA)	
		Control	Control		1 st 17.7	1992			
		group	group		SD 1.2				
		term	mean 3494						
			SD 300						
Smith ^{E11}	х	For whole	For whole	Х	Mean age of	1992-	х	Vmax V62J	Expressed as
Australia		preterm	preterm		BPD and No	1994		Autobox	percentage
		group all	group		BPD groups			(Sensormedics	predicted ^{E35, E40, E41}
		<32 weeks	mean 862		together 10			Corp, Yorba Linda,	
		gestation	SD 161		SD 1			CA)	
		mean 27							
E13 E13		SD 2							
Jacob ^{E12, E13}	BPD (6M,	BPD group	BPD group	BPD group days	BPD group	1981-	Х	Х	Expressed as a

Canada	9F), Controls X	mean 28.7 SD 2.1 Control group term	mean 1110 SD 328 Control group X	of ventilatory assistance median 56.0, IQR 21.0-77.0 Control group X	mean 10.6 SD 1.7 Control group 10.6 SD 2.1	1987			percentage predicted for sex and height ^{E35} . For black subjects 15% was subtracted from the predicted values for spirometry ^{E42}
Kilbride ^{E15} USA	All ELBW (16M, 34F) Control (11M, 14F)	ELBW mean 26.1 SD 1.6 NBW >37	ELBW mean 701 SD 80 NBW >2500	ELBW mean 33 days SD 20 range 0-78	ELBW group mean 11.3, SD 1.6 NBW mean 11.1 SD 1.3	1983- 1989	X	SensorMedics (Yorba Linda, CA), 922 dry, rolling seal spirometer	Expressed as a percentage predicted ^x
Korhonen ^{E16} Finland	Severe BPD group (10m 4F) Control group X	Severe BPD group mean 28 SD 2, range 23- 30 Control group term	Severe BPD group mean 893 SD 225, range 570- 1300	Severe BPD group 13/14 ventilated duration median 45, range 0-89 days	All BPD group median 7.1 range 6.7-7.8 Control group median 7.2, range 6.9-8.3	1990- 1994	Severe BPD group 4/14 received	Flow volume spirograms were recorded by mass flow sensor (2200/Vmax 22, SensorMedics BV, Bilthoven, Netherlands)	Finish FVS reference values for children were used ^{E43} .
Mieskonen ^{E20} Finland	BPD group (5M, 4F) Control group X	BPD group median 26.9, range 24.1-30.7, Controls term	BPD group median 760, range 600-1460 Controls X	39/40 PT children ventilated, BPD group median 42 days range 7- 75	BPD group median 8.8 range 8.2-9.6 Controls median 8.9 range 5.3- 11.2	1989- 1991	X	Spirotrac III, Vitalograph Ltd, Buckingham, UK)	Expressed as percentage predicted ^{E35}
Palta ^{E22} USA	BPD 49% M Controls 56%	BPD group mean 28 SD 2.3, Controls X	BPD group mean 930 SD 228 Controls X	x	All VLBW mean 10.4 SD 0.42, controls mean 9.6 SD	1988- 1991	Not given for BPD	Jaeger AM1 portable electronic peak flow meter	Expressed as percentage predicted ratios ^{E46}

					0.72				
Berman ^{E71} USA	X	BPD group mean 29	BPD group mean 1250	X	BPD group mean 5.8 range 4.2-7	x	x	Wedge spirometer (Med Science Electronics St. Louis)	Expressed as percentage predicted ^{E72}
Guimaraes ^{E27} X (Data as Medians in paper)	BPD group (10M, 3F)	BPD group median 27 range 23- 30, mean 27 SD 1.9	BPD group median 850 range 565-1400, mean 900 SD 221	BPD group 13/13 ventilated median 58 days range 7-107, mean 54.5 SD 26.6	BPD group median 84 months range 62-107 mean 91.0 SD 11.3	2002- 2004	X	Compact Vitalograph, Buckingham, UK	Expressed as percentage predicted ^{E35}
Berggren Brostrom ^{E29} Sweden (Data as Medians in paper)	x	Severe BPD group median 28, range 25- 29	Severe BPD group median 905, range 775-1210	Duration of ventilatory therapy days Severe BPD group median 23, range 0-33	Severe BPD group median 85.5 months, range 83-90	1992- 1997	Severe BPD group 2/4	Pneumotachograph (Vitalograph)	Expressed as percentage predicted ^{E35}

Table E3c:- Lung function outcomes of the included articles BPD group (supplemental oxygen dependency 36 weeks PMA) compared to term control group

STUDY	FEV ₁ PREDICTED	FVC PREDICTED	FEF ₂₅₋₇₅ PREDICTED	RATIOS	TLC	RV	DLCO
Fawke ^{E1}	BPD mean 80	BPD mean 91	BPD mean 58	FEV ₁ /FVC	Х	Х	Х
	SD 13,	SD 13,	SD 21,	BPD mean 88			
	Controls mean 100	Controls mean 102	Controls mean 90 SD	SD 11,			
	SD 12	SD 12	23	Controls mean 98			
				SD 8			
Kulasekaran ^{E5}	BPD group mean 82.3	BPD group mean 88.7	BPD group mean 70.1	FEV ₁ /FVC	BPD group	BPD mean	BPD mean 79.2

	SD 13.9	SD 13.5	SD 24.8	BPD mean 84.0 SD 9.1	mean 94.7 SD 13.0	110.0 SD 48	SD 13.8
Doyle ^{E6}	BPD group mean 81.1 SD 13.7, Control group 97.9 SD 11.8	BPD group mean 82.9 SD 15.4, Control group 95.2 SD 12.6	BPD group mean 60.4 SD 20.3, Control group 85.6 SD 20.2	FEV ₁ /FVC BPD group mean 87.9 SD 9.4, Control group 91.4 SD 6.6	BPD group mean 97.5 SD 14.0, Control group 98.5 SD 11.7	BPD group mean 141.0 SD 39.9, Control group 112.2 SD 34.2	X
Giacoia ^{E8}	BPD group mean 72.7 SEM 6.1, Control group Mean 97.2, SEM 4.6	x	BPD group mean 49.5 SEM 6.0, Control group mean 88.5 SEM 7.1	x	x	x	x
Gross ^{E9}	BPD group mean 83 SD 17, Control group mean 97 SD 12	BPD group mean 93 SD 16, Control group mean 103 SD 11	BPD group mean 64 SD 24, Control group mean 88 SD 21	x	BPD group mean 104 SD 14, Control group mean 106 SD 13	BPD group mean 133 SD 41, Control group mean 112 SD 38	X
Halvorsen ^{E10}	BPD mean 81.4 SD 10.7 Control 98.6 SD 9.9	x	x	X	X	x	X
Smith	BPD mean 83 SD 12	BPD mean 95	BPD mean 67	Х	Х	х	Х
Jacob ^{E12,E13}	BPD mean 63.6 SD 20.6, Control mean 94.3 SD 8.3	BPD mean 83.1 SD 18.2, Control mean 99.1 SD 9.4	BPD mean 40.3 SD 24.5, Control X	FEV ₁ /FVC BPD mean 69.2 SD 9.0, Control X	BPD mean 104.7 SD 13.2, Control X	BPD mean 181.8 SD 84.3, Control X	BPD mean 83.4 SD 10.5, Control mean 100.7 SD 17.1
Kilbride ^{E15}	BPD mean 72 SD 15 Control group mean 91 SD 9	BPD mean 90 SD 16 Control group mean 96 SD 11	BPD mean 67 SD 22 Control group mean 100 SD 17	FEV ₁ /FVC BPD mean 81 SD 8 Control group mean 89 SD 5	x	x	X
Korhonen ^{E16}	Severe BPD group mean 82 SD 13,	x	x	Х	x	X	X

	Control group mean 99 SD 11, range 72-117						
Mieskonen ^{E20}	BPD group mean 73.5 SD 12, Control group 101.7 SD 8.4	BPD group mean 84.9 SD 10, Control group 104.5 SD 10.9	x	x	X	X	BPD group mean 82.8 SD 23.2, Control group 99.5 SD 11.6
Palta ^{E22}	BPD group mean 78 SD 13 Control group mean 97 SD 12	BPD group mean 79 SD 18 Control group mean 99 SD 27	x	x	x	x	x
Berman ^{E71}	BPD group mean 63 SD 25	BPD group mean 85 SD 21	Х	Х	Х	Х	х
Guimaraes ^{E27}	BPD group median 79	BPD group median 88	BPD group median 87	FEV ₁ /FVC	Х	Х	Х
(Data as Medians	extremes 58-98	extremes 58-111	extremes 48-148	BPD group median			
in paper)				8.5 extremes 1-17			
Berggren Brostrom	Severe BPD group median 68, min max	Severe BPD group median 74, min max	Severe BPD group median 42, min max	Given in litres	х	х	X
(Data as Medians	44-71	54-89	19-123				
in paper)							

Table E4a:- Description of the included articles preterm group (including studies with BPD) compared to term control group

STUDY	QUALITY	OBJECTIVE	STUDY DESIGN	STUDY GROUP	CONTROL	OUTCOME MEASURES
	SCORE				GROUP	
Konefal ^{E73}	15	To evaluate whether mild	Cross sectional	31 PT children	19 children > 36	Spirometry
		to moderate infant RDS		treated with n-	weeks gestation	
		requiring NCPAP during the		CPAP split into	treated with n-	
		neonatal period would		2 groups by	СРАР	

		have an increase an				
		have an impact on		gestation		
E74		pulmonary function				
Anand ^{E74}	16	To determine if VLBW is	Cohort	128 VLBW	128 children	Spirometry
		associated with reduced		(≤1500g)	assumed to be	Questionnaires
		lung function and			near to term	
		respiratory health in				
		adolescence and if it is				
		whether this impairment is				
		associated with				
		prematurity or IUGR				
Fawke ^{E1}	16	To assess the degree of	Cohort study	182 EP (≤25	161 classmate	Spirometry
		respiratory morbidity and		weeks	controls	Post-bronchodilator response
		in extremely premature		gestation)	excluded	Questionnaire
		children in relation to			classmates who	
		current clinical status and			were preterm	
		neonatal determinants.				
Arad ^{E2}	8	To compare lung function	Follow up study	10 PT	х	Spirometry
		following neonatal				
		intensive respiratory care				
		on the same children in				
		infancy and childhood				
De Kleine ^{E3}	18	Examine the effect of lung	Follow up study	40 PT ventilated	39 randomly	Spirometry
		injury caused by IPPV for		for HMD (38	selected pupils	Respiratory symptoms
		HMD on lung function in		with LF results)	of a similar age	questionnaire
		children		and 38 PT non		Review of follow up records for PT
				ventilated with		
				HMD		
Doyle ^{E4}	19	To determine the	Cohort study	180 VLBW	42 NBW	Spirometry
		respiratory health of		(<1501g) -169	(>2499g), 39	Assessment of respiratory health
		children of birthweight		with spirometry	with spirometry	
		<1501g, compared to NBW		results	results	
		controls in adolescence				
Burns ^{E75}	16	To investigate he fitness	Case-control	54 ELBW	55 term	Spirometry

		lavala and materia	a tradici	(1000-) F2	sauturala E4	Maximum and Association and Datting for
		levels and motor	study	(<1000g) – 53	controls – 51	Movement Assessment Battery for
		competency of non-		with spirometry	with spirometry	Children
		disabled ELBW children as		results	results	Cardio respiratory endurance
		they were reaching				
		adolescence. It also aimed				
		to determine whether a				
		relationship exists between				
		their motor competence				
		and physical fitness				
		independent of their				
		growth and respiratory				
		status.				
Doyle ^{E6}	17	To determine respiratory	Cohort	298 ELBW	208 NBW	Spirometry
		function at 8 years in		(<1000g)/ very	(>2499g)	ISAAC questionnaire
		ELBW, very PT children		preterm (< 28		
		born in the 1990s		weeks		
		compared with NBW		gestation) 240		
		controls		with LF results		
Evenson ^{E76}	19	To evaluate associations	Longitudinal	37 PT with	63 controls with	Spirometry
		between LBW and body fat,	follow up study	VLBW (<1501g)	NBW	Questionnaire
		BP, lung and endothelial				BP
		function, and maximal				Endothelial function
		oxygen uptake in young				Maximal oxygen uptake
		adults				
Galdes-	11	To evaluate the long-term	Follow up study	30 <1500g	27 terms	Spirometry
Sebaldt ^{E7}		effect of prematurity		children split		Questionnaire
		and/or HMD on pulmonary		into 2 groups		Airway reactivity
		function and airway		no HMD and		
		reactivity.		HMD		
Gappa ^{E77}	11	To determine long term	Follow up study	40 children 25-	Х	Spirometry
-		pulmonary sequelae of	· ·	30 weeks		Questionnaire
		surfactant treatment in		gestation split		Bronchial hyperreactivity
		premature infants with RDS		into 2 groups		

[T					1
				29 with LF		
E79				results		
Grischkan ^{E78}	19	To assess the role of in	Cohort study	251 preterm	Х	Spirometry
		utero and perinatal		children (≤36		Questionnaire
		exposures in modifying		weeks) split		
		asthma risk among children		over 2 groups		
		born prematurely		asthma, no		
				asthma groups		
Gross ^{E9}	19	To assess long-term	Cohort	125 PT children	108 healthy	Spirometry
		pulmonary outcome of a		born at 24 to 31	term (38 to 42	Bronchodilator responsiveness
		regional cohort of children		weeks gestation	weeks	Ongoing health problems
		born <32 weeks' gestation		- 96 had	gestation)	Rehospitalisation
		compared with a matched		spirometry	controls	Respiratory symptoms
		term control group		results split into		Exercise testing
				2 groups BPD		
				and no BPD		
Kennedy ^{E14}	15	To assess the importance	Cohort study	VLBW cohort	82 control	Spirometry
		of the contributions of		(<1500g) 102	children , 1	Respiratory questionnaire
		birth weight, gestational		children	birth weight	
		age, neonatal respiratory			<2kg, 2 born at	
		illness, and its treatment			36 weeks rest	
		on subsequent childhood			at term	
		lung function in a cohort of				
		children of birth weight less				
		than 1500g.				
Kilbride ^{E15}	14	To assess pulmonary	Longitudinal	50 ELBW	25 age matched	Medical history and recent
		function and exercise	follow up study	children <801g	NBW children	Hospitalisations
		capacity of apparently			>37 weeks	Spirometry
		asymptomatic children			gestation and	Exercise testing
		who were born EP			>2500g BW	
Baraldi ^{E17}	12	To assess the cardio-	Area cohort	15 VLBW	26 born at term	Spirometry
		respiratory and metabolic	study	children	but data not	Questionnaire
		response to exercise in		(<1501g)	given for	Exercise testing

		VLBW children and to			spiromotry	1
					spirometry	
		compare exercise				
		performance in AGA versus				
570		SGA				
Wagner ^{E79}	11	Purpose of the study was	Follow up study	From 33 PT	Х	Spirometry
		to compare the 88% SAT		children with		Questionnaire
		test with spirometry in		history of RDS		
		young children with regard		20 had results		
		to completion success rate,				
		abnormality, and				
		questionnaire response				
		regarding respiratory				
		health				
Mai ^{E80}	11	To assess the relationship	Cohort study	74 VLBW	64 term born	Spirometry
		between VLBW and the		(≤1500g)	NBW (≥2500g)	Questionnaire
		development of asthma,		((0)	Skin prick tests
		lung function and atopy				Hypertonic saline provocation tests
						Cell stimulation
						Cytokine analyses
						lgE antibody analyses
MacLusky ^{E81}	10	To identify the incidence	Longitudinal	48 PT children	х	Spirometry
Watersky	10	and possible factors	cohort study	<33 weeks 47	~	Metacholine challenge
		contributing to the	conort study	with spirometry		Metacholine chanenge
		development of long term		with spironetry		
		abnormalities in pulmonary function				
Mieskonen ^{E20}	12		Calcant at a du		1.4.+	Carina na atm.
wieskonen	13	To evaluate the possible	Cohort study	40 children with	14 term	Spirometry
		inflammatory basis of lung		a gestational	controls	Questionnaires
		function abnormalities		age ≤ 30 weeks		Skin Prick Tests
				or birthweight		Measurement of exhaled
				<1500g		nitric oxide
						Spirometry before and after
				<u> </u>	<u> </u>	Salbutamol

Odberg ^{E82}	12	To compare trajectories for	Population	124 D\A/ (~24~)	135 term NBW	Spiromotry
Odberg	12	To compare trajectories for	based	134 LBW (<2kg) children		Spirometry Questionnaire
		growth and somatic health		children	(>3kg) children	
		characteristics until	longitudinal			BP
		adulthood of non-	study			
		handicapped LBW and				
F03		NBW children				
Rivlin ^{E83}	9	To study the long term	Cohort study	9 PT children	х	Spirometry
		outcomes of children with		with Wilson-		Follow up history
		Wilson-Mikity syndrome		Mikity		Inhalation challenge with
				syndrome 8		metacholine
				with spirometry		
				results		
Wiebicke ^{E84}	10	To assess outcomes after	RCT follow up	20 PT children	Х	Spirometry
		antenatal versus no		given dex or		
		antenatal steroid therapy.		placebo		
Von Mutius ^{E85}	12	To investigate the	Cross sectional	253 PT children	2113 term girls	Spirometry
		significance of gestational	study	LF results for		Questionnaire
		age, birth weight,		118 females		Cold air challenge
		mechanical ventilation		given		Skin prick tests
		after birth, and a family				
		history of asthma for the				
		development of childhood				
		asthma				
Palta ^{E22}	15	To determine lung function	Cohort study	265 VLBW	360 unselected	Spirometry
		at 10 years in VLBW		children ≤	controls	Home spirometry
		children and controls		1500g		
Smith ^{E86}	14	To assess the 10 year lung	Cross sectional	126 children	34 control	Spirometry
		function and fitness	study	who were born	children born at	Fitness assessment
		outcomes for children who		weighing	term	
		were born weighing		<1000g and		
		<1000g and before 32		before 32		
		weeks gestation		weeks gestation		
		-		123 with LF		

				results		
Telford ^{E87}	10	The outcome in late childhood for children entered into a randomised trial of CNEP versus standard respiratory management for the treatment of RDS.	Cohort study	133 PT children split into 2 groups 130 with LF results	X	Spirometry Questionnaire LF pot bronchodilator
Siltanen ^{E88}	12	To evaluate the association between atopy, wheezing and impaired respiratory function in children born very preterm compared to term controls	Cohort study	72 PT children birth weight <1501g 50 with LF results	65 full term children birth weight >2500g 54 with LF results	Spirometry Questionnaire Skin prick testing Exercise testing
Gross ^{E89}	11	To study growth, neurodevelopmental, and pulmonary outcomes at adolescence in children who had participated in a double-blind placebo- controlled trial of dexamethasome	RCT follow up	22 PT children (birthweight ≤1250g, gestational age ≤ 30 weeks) 20 with LF results	x	Spirometry Neurodevelopmental outcome
Vrijlandt ^{E24}	14	To investigate the long term effects of prematurity on lung function and exercise capacity	Prospective cohort study	42 PT (gestational age <32 weeks and/or birthweight under 1500g)	48 healthy term controls	Spirometry Exercise testing
Nikolajev ^{E90}	7	To quantify the separate effects of prematurity and IUGR on lung volumes and airway flow values.	Cohort study	Authors supplied information on 45 children ≤36 weeks gestation	x	Spirometry Questionnaire

Bertrand ^{E91}	14	To assess the respective roles of prematurity, RDS and its treatment, and familial airway hyper reactivity in the pathogenesis of long term pulmonary sequelae in children who survive premature birth.	Retrospective case-control study	11 syndrome group, 11 no disease group	11 syndrome group siblings, 11 no disease siblings, 9 control	Spirometry Airway reactivity
Borkenstein ^{E92}	13	To investigate pulmonary function in long term survivors of artificial ventilation in the neonatal period.	Cohort study	11 children who had ventilation 6 PT children with LF results	x	Spirometry
Abreu ^{E26}	14	To investigate cardio respiratory capacity and investigate the presence of exercise-induced bronchospasm among children with BPD	Case control study	26 PT children 23 with LF results	20 term children 17 with LF results	Spirometry Exercise testing

Table E4b:- Demographics of the included articles preterm group (including studies with BPD) compared to term control group

STUDY COUNTRY	SUBJECTS (GENDER)	GA (WEEKS)	BW (GRAMS)	DURATION ON MECHANICAL VENTILATION (DAYS)	AGE TESTED (YEARS)	YEAR OF BIRTH	SURFACTANT GIVEN	METHOD OF MEASURING LUNG FUNCTION	METHOD OF STANDARDISING LUNG FUNCTION MEASUREMENTS
Konefal ^{E73}	PT group 28-	PT group 28-	PT group	PT group 28-32	PT group 28-	1990-	х	Lungtest 500, MES,	Expressed as
Poland	32 weeks	32 weeks	28-32	weeks	32 weeks	2000		Cracow Poland	percentage

	gestation (4M,3F), PT group 33- 36 weeks gestation (10M,14F), Control group (10M,9F)	gestation mean 30.3 SD 1.5, PT group 33- 36 weeks mean 35.2 SD 1.05, Control group mean 38.5 SD 1.17	weeks gestation mean 1629 SD 339, range 1300-2100. PT group 33-36 weeks mean 2455 SD 547, range 1500-3680, Control group mean 3235 SD 496, range 2270-4100	gestation mean 4.4 SD 3.1, range 2- 10. PT group 33-36 weeks mean 2.4 SD 1.6, range 1-7, Control group mean 2.6 SD 2.3, range 1-10	gestation mean 9.57 SD 3.7, PT group 33- 36 weeks mean 10.4 SD 2.8, Control group mean 10.4 SD 2.7				predicted ^{E93}
Anand ^{E74} UK	VLBW group (72M,56F) Control group (72M,56F)	VLBW mean 30.7, SD 2.7, range 26-36 Control group assumed to be near to term	VLBW mean 1249, SD 185.2, range 630- 1500 Control group mean 3338, SD 507.6, range 2098-4550	VLBW group 83 received respiratory support	15	1980- 81	x	Portable spirometer Vitalograph-Alpha-II	Expressed as percentage predicted ^{E94}

Fawke ^{E1} UK and Ireland	EP (43% M), Controls (43% M)	EP mean 25.0, SD 0.7 Control X excluded if preterm	EP mean 750, SD 120, Controls X	X	Range 10.1 to 12.1. EP mean 10.9, SD 0.38. Controls mean 10.9 SD 0.55.	1995	EP 153/182 Controls X	Portable spirometer (Jaeger Masterscope, Lab Manager, V4.65; CareFusion, Hoechberg, Germany	Spirometry data were expressed as z-scores to adjust for height, age and sex ^{E30, E31}
Arad ^{E2} Israel	X	PT group mean 30.4, range 28-35	PT 1257g Range 900- 1900	8 for between 1 and 11 days, 4 being ventilated for 4 or more days	Mean 6.8 SD 0.6	1977- 1979	x	Pneumotachograph- based system (Hewlett-Packard 47120A Pulmonary Desk System)	Expressed as percentage predicted ^{E32}
De Kleine ^{E3} The Netherlands	11 BPD (8M,3F) 29 non BPD (19M, 10F), 38 non ventilated (24M, 14F), 39 controls (20M, 19F)	BPD mean 30.6 SD 2.0, Non BPD mean 32.2 SD 1.8, Non ventilated mean 31.8 SD 1.9, Controls X	BPD mean 1673 SD 340, Non BPD mean 1952 SD 460, Non ventilated mean 1809 SD 419, Controls X	BPD mean 9.0 days (range 1.8-36), Non BPD 29/29 mean 2.9 days (range 0.8- 6.9), non ventilated 0/38, Controls X	BPD mean 13.4 SD 3.1, Non BPD mean 12.3 SD 2.9, Non ventilated mean 12.8 SD 2.7, Controls mean 13.7 SD 1.6	1967- 1977	X	Water sealed spirometer (Lode instruments, Groningen, Netherlands)	Lung function as percentage predicted for sex and height ^{E 32,E33}
Doyle ^{E4} Australia	500-999g group (35M, 43F), 1000- 1500g group (55m, 47F) >2499g group	500-999g group mean 27.5 SD 2.3, 1000-1500g group mean 29.6 SD 1.5,	500-999g group mean 859 SD 100, 1000- 1500g	x	500-999g group mean 14.1 SD 0.2, 1000-1500g group mean 14.2 SD 0.3,	1977- 1982	Not given	Jaeger Bodyscreen II- Bodybox (Jaeger, Germany)	Lung function as percentage predicted for age, height and gender E34

	(26M, 16F)	>2499g group mean 39.9 SD 1.0	group mean 1259 SD 145, >2499g group mean 3420 SD 427		>2499g group mean 14.2 SD 0.1				
Burns ^{E75} Australia	ELBW (31M,23F) Controls (28M,27F)	ELBW mean 26.6 SD 2.1 Controls at least 37 weeks	ELBW mean 769g SD 148 Controls X	x	ELBW mean 12 years 6 months SD 8 months, control children 12 years 5 months SD 11 months	1992- 1994	x	Spirobank (Medical International Research ISO 9001, EN 46001; Rome, Italy)	Lung function as percentage predicted [×]
Doyle ^{E6} Australia	ELBW (129F,111M), Control group (98M, 110F)	ELBW group mean 26.7, SD 1.9 Control group X	ELBW group mean 885, SD 159, Control group Control group >2499	x	8-9	1991- 1992	In whole PT cohort 92/240 treated	Jaeger Body-screen II Bodybox (Jaeger, Germany)	Results expressed as percentage predicted for age, height and gender E34
Evenson ^{E76} Norway	VLBW group 37 (20M, 17F), Controls 63 (29M, 34F)	VLBW group median 28, range 24-35, Control median 40, range 37-42	VLBW group median 1245, range 800- 1500,	VLBW X, Control 0/63	VLBW group mean 18.2, SE 0.1, Control group mean 18.6 SE 0.1	1986- 1988	x	Master screen spirometer (Jaeger, GmbH and Co, KG)	Expressed as percentage predicted adjusted for sex ^X

	1000	1500	Control median 3700, range 2670-5140	1500	1500	1070			
Galdes- Sebaldt ^{E7} USA	<1500g no HMD group (11M, 8F), <1500g HMD group (3M,8F) Controls (14M, 13F)	<1500g no HMD group mean 29.3, SEM 0.4, range 26-32, <1500g HMD group mean 29.5, SEM 0.6, range 26-32, Controls mean 39.9, SEM 0.2, range 38-42	<1500g no HMD group mean 1044, SEM 30, range 900-1290, <1500g HMD group mean 1217, SEM 34, range 964-1361, Controls mean 3429, SEM 64, range 2707-4111	<1500g no HMD 13/19, <1500g HMD 9/11, Controls X	<1500g no HMD group mean 11.1, SEM 0.2, <1500g HMD group mean 11.2, SEM 0.2, Controls mean 11.6 SEM 0.2	1973- 1977	X	Automated pulmonary function lab model M100B (SRL Medical Inc, Dayton, OH)	Results as percentage predicted adjusted for height and sex ^{E35} and ethnicity ^{E36}
Gappa ^{E77} Germany	Surfactant group (13M,9F), Placebo group (6M, 12F)	Surfactant group mean 28.1 SD 1.5, Placebo group mean 27.2, SD 1.3	Surfactant group mean 1114 SD 271, Placebo group mean 1043, SD 237	x	Surfactant group mean 6.63 SD 0.18, Placebo 6.55 SD 0.23	1987- 1988	22/22 Surfactant group, 0/18 placebo group	Ganshorn, Niederluer, Germany in Hannover and Jaeger, Wurzburg, Germany in Ulm and Hamburg	Expressed as percentage predicted reference values appropriate for gender, height and weight ^{E95}

Grischkan ^{E78}	Asthma group	Asthma	Asthma	Asthma group	Asthma	1988-	Х	Spirometry performed	As percentage
USA	59.8% male,	group mean	group	86.7%	group mean	1993		in the sitting	predicted adjusted
	no asthma	30.2 SD 3.1,	mean	ventilated,	9.4 SD 0.8,			position(x)	for age, sex and
	group 46·1%	No asthma	1437.1 SD	No asthma	No asthma				height ^{E37} . Values
	male	mean 31.8	572.8 <i>,</i> No	group 62.3%	group mean				for African
		SD 3.0	asthma	ventilated	9.3 SD 0.8				American children
			mean						were further
			1620.2 SD						adjusted by
			549.4						multiplying the
									predicted value by 0.85 .
Gross ^{E9}	No BPD group	No BPD	No BPD	55% of no BPD	7	1985-	0	SensorMedics 2200	Results as
USA	(27M, 26F),	group mean	group	group median	,	1986	Ŭ	Pulmonary Function	percentage
	BPD group	29 SD 2, BPD	mean 1270	6 95% of BPD		1000		Equipment	predicted for age,
	(23M, 20F)	group mean	SD 306,	group median				(SensorMedics,	height and sex E37,
	Control group	27 SD 2,	BPD group	34				Anaheim Calif)	E38
	(62M, 46F)	Control	mean 1053						
		group mean	SD 356,						
		40.1 SD 1.1	Control						
			group						
			mean 3565						
Kanna du E14			SD 427	Duration of		1001	X	Dulus successful stices	Desultaria
Kennedy ^{E14} Australia	VLBW group (47M, 55F)	VLBW group mean 29.6	VLBW	Duration of IPPV VLBW	VLBW group mean 11.3	1981- 1982	x	Pulmonary function testing was	Results were evaluated as
Australia	Control group	SD 2.8,	group mean	group median	SD 0.8,	1962		performed using the	percentage
	(39M, 43F)	Control	1160.1 SD	3.9 IQR 0.2,	Control 11.4			Jaeger Masterlab	predicted for
	(55111, 1517)	mean 40.0	227.1,	14.3	SD 0.8			system	gender and height
		SD 1.6	Control	Control n/a				- 1	E34
			group						
			mean						
			3459.1 SD						
			509.0						
Kilbride ^{E15}	All ELBW	ELBW mean	ELBW	ELBW mean 33	ELBW group	1983-	Х	SensorMedics (Yorba	Expressed as a

USA	(16M, 34F) Control (11M, 14F)	26.1 SD 1.6 NBW >37	mean 701 SD 80 NBW >2500	days SD 20 range 0-78	mean 11.3, SD 1.6 NBW mean 11.1 SD 1.3	1989		Linda, CA), 922 dry, rolling seal spirometer	percentage predicted ^X
Baraldi ^{E17} Italy	VLBW (6M, 9F)	VLBW mean 32.1 SD 3.0 range 28-37	VLBW mean 1287 SD 143 range 1000-1500	7/15 duration 1-8 days	VLBW mean 9.9 SD 1.8 range 7.8- 12.2	1976- 1979	X	101 water spirometer (Biomedin, Padova, Italy)	Expressed as percentage of reference values E35
Wagner ^{E79} USA	PT group (16M, 17F)	PT group Mean 28.3 SD 2.3 range 25-34	PT group Mean 1055 SD 317	Duration mean 30 days SD 25	5-7 mean 5.9 SD 0.7	x	x	Medical Graphics model 1070	Lung function as percentage predicted ^{E62, E96,E97}
Mai ^{E80} Sweden	VLBW (44M, 30F), controls (33m, 31F)	VLBW mean 31 SD 2 range 25-36, controls term	VLBW group ≤1500g, controls ≥ 2500g	VLBW group 13/74	VLBW mean 12.6 SD 0.2, Controls mean 12.7 SD 0.3	1987- 1988	0	MasterScope spirometer (Jaeger, Wurzburg, Germany)	Expressed as a percentage of the reference values
MacLusky ^{E81} Canada	PT (22F,26M)	PT mean 29.2 SD 2.1	PT mean 1166 SD 193	30/48 required IPPB mean 109 hours SD 236	Mean 9.1 SD 0.6	1974- 1975	X	Systems 80 computerized spirometer, Gould Inc, Dayton, Ohio	Expressed as a percentage of predicted ^{E62}
Mieskonen ^{E20} Finland	PT group (19M, 21F) Control group X	PT group median 27.9, range 24.1- 30.9, Controls term	PT group median 990, range 600-1575 Controls X	39/40 PT children ventilated, median 10 days range 0- 75	PT group median 8.3 range 7.5- 9.6 Controls median 8.9 range 5.3- 11.2	1989- 1991	x	Spirotrac III, Vitalograph Ltd, Buckingham, UK)	Expressed as percentage predicted ^{E35}
Odberg ^{E82} Norway	LBW (61M,73F), NBW	LBW group mean 32.2 SD 33 NBW	LBW group mean 1544g SD	x	Mean age of both groups 18 years and	1986- 1988	X	Vmax 22 spirometer (SensorMedics Inc, Anaheim, CA, USA)	Expressed as a percentage predicted E47

	(64M,71F)	term	369 NBW		11 months				
Rivlin ^{E83} Canada	PT (4M,5F)	PT mean 29.1, range 27-32	>3kg PT mean 1175.4, range 920- 1435	3/9 required mech vent for 5-6 days, and CPAP for 3-8 days, 2/9 required CPAP for 3-7 days	Mean 7.6 SD 0.3	1974- 1975	X	Collins 9 liter respirometer	Expressed as percentage predicted ^{E62}
Wiebicke ^{E84} Canada	PT children (12M, 8F)	Dex group mean 32.8 SEM 0.4, Placebo group mean 32.2 SEM 0.6	x	x	Age of dex group mean 7.5 SEM 0.3, placebo group mean 7.5 SEM 0.3	1976	X	9 liter water-sealed spirometer (Collins, Braintree, MD)	Results expressed as percentage of predicted values E67, E 97
Von Mutius ^{E85} Germany	All female	PT <37 weeks, Controls term	Mean not given	40/108 received ventilatory support	Controls mean 10 SEM 0, PT mean 10.1 SEM 0.1	x	X	Pneumoscope II spirometer (Jager, Wurzburg, Germany)	Results as percentage predicted reference population consisted of 2337 German children
Palta ^{E22} USA	VLBW 49% M Controls 56% M	VLBW group mean 29 SD 2.5, Controls X	VLBW group mean 1123 SD 250 Controls X	x	VLBW mean 10.4 SD 0.42, controls mean 9.6 SD 0.72	1988- 1991	Varying percentages of children given surfactant across the years	Jaeger AM1 portable electronic peak flow meter	Expressed as percentage predicted ratios ^{E46}
Smith ^{E86} Australia		PT mean 26.9 SD 1.7, Controls mean 39.4 SD 1.2	PT mean 862.4 SD 160.9, Controls mean	PT 100 required intubation	PT mean 10.1 SD 1.1, Control group mean 11.6 SD 0.8	1992- 1994	x	Sensormedics Vmax V62J Autobox (Sensormedics Corp, Yorba Linda, CA)	Expressed as percentage predicted E40, E35, E41

			3400.5, SD 512.5						
Telford ^{E87} UK	PT (79M, 54F)	PT median 31 IQR 29-33	PT median 1.59, IQR 1.17-2.04	91/133 intubated at study entry	Median 11.3, 9.6-14.9	Х	41/127	Vitalograph Spirometer 2120, Ennis, Ireland)	Expressed as percentage predicted ^x
Siltanen ^{E88} Finland	PT (46M, 26F), Control (34M, 31F)	PT group mean 28.5, SD 2.4, range 23.4-33.7 Control group term	PT mean 1075, control group 3593	x	PT group mean 10.1 SD 0.3 range 9.6-10.8, control group mean 10.1 SD 0.4 range 9.4- 10.9	1987- 1988	PT 19/72	Vitalograph Compact Spirometer (Vitalograph Ltd, UK)	Expressed as percentage predicted ^{E37}
Gross ^{E89} USA	All PT (9M, 13F)	42 day dex mean 26 (95% Cl 25,27), 18 day dex 26 (95% Cl 24,28), control group mean 27 (95% Cl 24,29)	42 day dex mean 851 (95% 776,926), 18 day dex 810 (95% CI 620, 1000), control group mean 948 (95% CI 721, 1175)	42 day dex mean 31 days (95% Cl 23, 40), 18 day dex mean 114 days (95% Cl 39,188), control group mean 75 days (95% Cl 44- 106)	14.5-15.5	X	X	SensorMedics 2200 (SensorMedics, Anaheim, CA)	Results as percentage predicted on the basis of height, age and gender ^{E37, E38}
Vrijlandt ^{E24} The Netherlands	PT group (21M, 21F) Control group (16M, 32F)	Whole PT group mean 30 SD 2, range 26-36, Control	Whole PT group mean 1246 SD 232, range 720-	Whole PT group mean 6.3 days SD 12, range 0-51, Control group	Whole PT group mean 19 SD 0.3, range 19-20, Control	PT group 1983	0/42	Spirometry using a pneumotachograph	Results as percentage predicted based or height ^{E47}

Nikolajev ^{E90} Finland	Not given for 45 PT children	group term range 37-42 45 children ≤36 weeks	1750, Control group X Not given for 45 PT children	X Not given for 45 PT children	group mean 20.8 SD 1.2, range 18-22 All children median 10.3 range 7.3- 15.3	1979- 1986	Not given for 45 PT children	2200 computerized pulmonary function laboratory from Sensor Medics (Yorba Linda, CA)	Results as percentage predicted ^{E48}
Bertrand ^{F91} Canada	PT group (9M,13F) Control group (12M,10F) no info on 9 controls	Syndrome group mean 32.5 SD 3.6, No disease group mean 33.4 SD 2.5, Syndrome group siblings mean 39.2 SD 1.5, No disease siblings mean 38.7 SD 1.5 Controls no prematurity	Syndrome group mean 1900 SD 615, No disease group mean 2010 SD 480, Syndrome group siblings mean 3140 SD 400, No disease siblings mean 3040 SD 480 SD 480 Controls X	x	Syndrome group mean 10.1 SD 1.1, No disease group mean 8.0 SD 1.0, Syndrome group siblings mean 11.4 SD 2.1, No disease siblings mean 9.9 SD 2.1 Controls no prematurity	X	X	SRL Medical	Expressed as percentage predicted ^{E35}
Borkenstein ^{E92} Austria	PT group with LF results (3M, 3F)	PT group with LF results range 30-36 mean 33-3	PT group with LF results range 1200-2600 mean 1806·7	PT group with LF results 6/6 IPPV hours range 34-624 mean 189-3	PT group with LF results range 3.5-5.1 mean 4.2	X	X	Pneumotachograph and whole body plethysmograph (Pulmostar, Fenyes & Gut, Basle, Switzerland)	Expressed as a percentage predicted ^{E35}

Abreu ^{E26} Brazil	PT group (17M, 9F) Control group (9M, 11F)	PT group mean 35 SD 2.3 range 28- 36, BPD group mean 32 SD 1.5 range 30-34,	PT group mean 1765 SD 621 range 850- 2800, BPD group mean 1037	PT group mean 1 SD 2 range 0- 6, BPD group 13/13 mean 11 SD 6.6 range 3- 26 Control group	PT group mean 8.3 SD 1.11, BPD group mean 8.5 SD 0.97, Control mean 8.2 SD	1993- 1996	X	SpiroCard PC Card Flux spirometer (QRS Diagnostic-Plymouth, USA)	Expressed as percentage predicted ^{E35}
		Control group term	SD 229 range 830- 1670, Control group X	x	1.14				

Table E4c:- Lung function outcomes of the included articles preterm group (including studies with BPD) compared to term control group

STUDY	FEV ₁ PREDICTED	FVC PREDICTED	FEF ₂₅₋₇₅ PREDICTED	RATIOS	TLC	RV	DLCO
Konefal ^{E73}	PT group mean	Results given for each	Х	Х	Results given	Х	Х
	95.07, SD 17.54	group separately			for each group		
	Control mean 96.2,				separately		
	SD 20.2						
Anand ^{E74}	VLBW group mean	VLBW group mean	VLBW group mean	FEV ₁ /FVC	Х	Х	Х
	94.9 SD 13.8	109.5 SD 14.6	88.1 SD 25.6	VLBW group mean			
	Control group mean	Control group mean	Control group mean	87.0 SD 9.04			
	96.5 SD 10.8	106.0 SD 12.2	100.5 SD 20.0	Control group			
				mean 90.8 SD 6.4			
Fawke ^{E1}	EP mean 83	EP mean 93	EP mean 61	FEV ₁ /FVC	Х	Х	Х
	SD 14,	SD 14,	SD 23,	EP mean 89			
	Controls mean 100	Controls mean 102	Controls mean 90 SD	SD 11,			
	SD 12	SD 12	23	Controls mean 98			
				SD 8			
Arad ^{E2}	PT group mean 82.6	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
	SD 10.8						

De Kleine ^{E3}	BPD and Non BPD and non ventilated mean 87.83	X	X	X	X	X	X
	SD 17.33, Control mean 95 SD 12						
Doyle ^{£4}	2 LBW groups mean 94.82 SD 14.42 NBW mean 104.6 SD 13.2	Given separately for LBW groups NBW mean 104.8 SD 12.0	Given separately for LBW groups NBW mean 99.1 SD 23.4	FEV ₁ /FVC Given separately for LBW groups NBW mean 87.0 SD 7.0	Given separately for LBW groups NBW mean 102.5 SD 13.9	Given separately for LBW groups NBW mean 117.4 SD 30.8	X
Burns ^{E75}	ELBW group mean 88.98 SD 13.47, Control mean 97.73, SD 10.89	ELBW group mean 96.96 SD 12.48, Control mean 98.88, SD 11.02	X	FEV ₁ /FVC ELBW group mean 93.26 SD 7.84, Control mean 101.55, SD 6.05	X	X	X
Doyle ^{E6}	ELBW group mean 84.9 SD 12.7, Control group 97.9 SD 11.8	ELBW group mean 86.1 SD 14.1, Control group 95.2 SD 12.6	ELBW group mean 65.2 SD 21.7, Control group 85.6 SD 20.2	FEV ₁ /FVC ELBW group mean 88.4 SD 9.2, Control group 91.4 SD 6.6	ELBW group mean 96.0 SD 13.9, Control group 98.5 SD 11.7	ELBW group mean 129.9 SD 43.1, Control group 112.2 SD 34.2	x
Evenson ^{£76}	VLBW group mean 85.2 SE 1.8, Control group mean 98.1 SE 1.4	x	x	X	VLBW group mean 99.2 SE 1.7, Control group mean 100.6 SE 1.3	Given as litres	X
Galdes-Sebaldt ^{E7}	<1500g no HMD group mean 82 SEM 2, <1500g HMD group mean 83 SEM 2, control group mean	X	<1500g no HMD group mean 82 SEM 4, <1500g HMD group mean 90 SEM 7, control group mean	X	X	X	<1500g no HMD group mean 87 SEM 3, <1500g HMD group mean 97

	92 SEM 1		104 SEM 3				SEM 4, control group mean 99 SEM 3
Gappa ^{E77}	Premature group combined mean 101.93 SD 14.22	Results given for 2 groups separately	X	x	X	X	X
Grischkan ^{E78}	2 groups combined mean 90.6 SD 15.76	x	X	Results given separately for 2 groups	X	X	X
Gross ^{E9}	PT group mean 91.28 SD 19.01, Control group mean 97 SD 12	Results given separately for 2 groups	Results given separately for 2 groups	x	Results given separately for 2 groups	Results given separately for 2 groups	X
Kennedy ^{E14}	VLBW group mean 91.0 SD 14.9 Control group mean 102.1 SD 10.2	VLBW group mean 99.1 SD 10.6 Control group mean 104.2 SD 9.6	VLBW group mean 70.1 SD 25.7 Control group mean 90.7 SD 21.8	x	VLBW group mean 99.7 SD 12.8 Control group mean 98.3 SD 10.8	X	x
Kilbride ^{E15}	ELBW mean 85 SD 14 Control group mean 91 SD 9	ELBW mean 93 SD 14 Control group mean 96 SD 11	ELBW mean 84 SD 25 Control group mean 100 SD 17	FEV ₁ /FVC ELBW mean 86 SD 8 Control group mean 89 SD 5	x	X	x
Baraldi ^{E17}	VLBW mean 94.2 SD 8.9	VLBW mean 92.8 SD 8.1	VLBW mean 103.4 SD 23.5	X	Х	х	х
Wagner ^{E79}	PT mean 121.2 SD 37.5	Results given individually for each patient	Results given individually for each patient	X	X	X	x
Mai ^{E80}	VLBW group mean 92 SD 12, Controls mean 95 SD 10	x	MMEF VLBW group mean 85 SD 22, Controls mean 88 SD 20	X	x	x	X
MacLusky ^{E81}	PT group mean 91.2	PT group mean 86.9	PT group mean 87 SD	Х	PT group mean	Х	Х

	SD 12.7	SD 10.4	24		94 SD 13.9		
Mieskonen ^{E20}	PT group mean 84.1 SD 14.3, Control group 101.7 SD 8.4	PT group mean 90.2 SD 11.2 Control group 104.5 SD 10.9	x	x	x	x	PT group mean 86.7 SD 12.8, Control group 99.5 SD 11.6
Odberg ^{E82}	LBW group mean 106.8 SD 13.5, NBW group mean 110.2 SD 14.2	LBW group mean 115.4 SD 13.5, NBW group mean 115.7 SD 14.8	x	FEV ₁ /FVC LBW group mean 82 SD 10, NBW group mean 85 SD 10	X	X	x
Rivlin ^{E83}	PT mean 81.3 SD 8.1	Results given individually for each patient	Results given individually for each patient	x	X	X	Х
Wiebicke ^{E84}	PT group mean 86.3 SD 8.9	x	x	Results given for each patient individually	Results given for each patient individually	Results given for each patient individually	Х
Von Mutius ^{E85}	PT group mean 98.7 SD 10.46, Controls 100.4 SD 14.12	Results given for PT in 2 groups	x	x	x	X	Х
Palta ^{E22}	VLBW group mean 86 SD 14 Control group mean 97 SD 12	VLBW group mean 85 SD 26 Control group mean 99 SD 27	x	x	x	x	x
Smith ^{E86}	PT group mean 85 SD 12.4, control mean 95 SD 10.2	PT group mean 96.3 SD 13.6, control mean 102.1 SD 10.1	PT group mean 82.1 SD 8.3, control mean 86.4 SD 3.7	FEV ₁ /FVC PT group mean 71.8 SD 22.9, control mean 91.4 SD 15.7	PT group mean 108.7 SD 10.7, control mean 102.8 SD 10.1	PT group mean 140.8 SD 44.4, control mean 98.5 SD 39.8	x
Telford ^{E87}	PT group mean 85.5 SD 12.54	Results given for PT in 2 groups	Х	X	х	Х	х
Siltanen ^{E88}	PT group mean 92 SD 13.1 Control group mean	PT group mean 96 SD 12.6 Control group mean	PT group mean 87 SD 24.0 Control group mean	FEV ₁ /FVC PT group mean 84 SD 7.8	X	X	Х

							,
	104 SD 8.0	102 SD 9.6	114 SD 21.2	Control group			
				mean 88 SD 5.4			
Gross ^{E89}	PT group mean 79.96	Results given	Results given	Х	Results given	Results given	Х
	SD 18.3	individually for the 3	individually for the 3		individually for	individually for	
		groups	groups		the 3 groups	the 3 groups	
Vrijlandt ^{E24}	PT group mean 95.4	PT group mean 97.7	X	FEV ₁ /FVC	PT group mean	PT group mean	PT group mean
-	SD 15.9	SD 13.7		PT group mean	100.1	99.4	88.4
	Control group mean	Control group mean		82.2	SD 9.9	SD 28.3	SD 13.7
	109.6	106.0		SD 8.2	Control group	Control group	Control group
	SD 13.4	SD 10.8		Control group	mean 103.3	mean 90.3	mean 96.3
				mean 87.4	SD 9.7	SD 25.3	SD 9.9
				SD 6.6			
Nikolajev ^{E90}	PT group mean 90.2	Х	Results given	Results given	Х	Х	Х
-	SD 9.88		separately for 2 PT	separately for 2 PT			
			groups	groups			
Bertrand ^{E91}	PT group mean 76 SD	Х	X	X	Х	Х	Х
	13.4, Term group						
	mean 84.6 SD 10.2,						
Borkenstein ^{E92}	PT children mean	Results given for the	Х	Results given for	Results given	Results given	Х
	65.4 SD 8.3	6 children		the 6 children	for the 6	for the 6	
		individually		individually	children	children	
					individually	individually	
Abreu ^{E26}	PT group mean 99.43	х	х	х	X	X	х
	SD 12.61, Control						
	group mean 102 SD						
	15						
	1.5	1			1		

Key to abbreviations in all tables

BW	Birth-weight	HMD	Hyaline membrane disease
LBW	Low birth-weight	RDS	Respiratory distress syndrome
VLBW	Very low birth-weight	Dex	Dexamethasone
ELBW	Extremely low birth-weight	IPPV	Intermittent positive pressure
			ventilation
NBW	Normal birth-weight	IPPB	Intermittent Positive Pressure
			Breathing
РТ	Preterm	HFV	High frequency ventilation
EP	Extremely preterm	n-CPAP	Nasal continuous positive airway
			pressure
BPD	Bronchopulmonary dysplasia	CNEP	Continuous negative

extrathoracic pressure

м	Male	ISAAC	International Study of Asthma
			and Allergies in Childhood
F	Female	M/S	Moderate/severe
х	Missing data	FEV ₁	Forced expiratory volume in 1
			second
SD	Standard deviation	FVC	Forced vital capacity
SEM	Standard error mean	FEF ₂₅₋₇₅	Mid-expiratory flow at 75-25% of
			FVC
IQR	Interquartile range	TLC	Total lung capacity
GA	Gestational age	RV	Residual volume
RCT	Randomised control trial	DLCO	Diffusing capacity of lung for
			carbon monoxide
NICU	Neonatal intensive care unit	СТ	Computed tomography

		HRCT	High resolution computed
			tomography
LF	Lung function	IUGR	Intrauterine Growth
			Retardation
AGA	Appropriate for gestational age	SGA	Small for gestational age

Appendix 1

The effect of premature birth compared to term birth on later lung function - a systematic review of the literature.

Review Question

Is lung function in later life poorer in preterm babies compared to babies born at term?

Search strategy

A search strategy was developed for electronic databases using the keywords and MeSH headings below. The search strategy was tested for citations on the OVID Medline database 1950-2010. The Observational Studies search filter used by SIGN (Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network) <u>http://www.sign.ac.uk/methodology/filters.html#obs</u> was adapted to retrieve types of study designs included in the review.

The search strategy will be modified to search rest of the bibliographic databases. In addition, a range of 'snowballing' techniques will be used to increase the sensitivity of the search, including reference list follow up, contact with subject experts and relevant websites/organisations, and table of content scanning for the top three most frequently cited journals.

Keywords/ MeSH headings

Bronchospirometry/ Bronchospirometries Chronic respiratory questionnaire FEF 25 75 Percent Forced Expiratory Volume/ FEVT Flow Rate, Maximal Expiratory/ Forced Expiratory Flow Rates/ Forced Vital Capacity ISAAC questionnaire Lung function test Lung Volume Measurements/ MEFR Maximal Midexpiratory Flow Rate/ Maximal Expiratory Flow Rate/ MMFR

Pulmonary Function Test Respiratory Function Tests/ SGRQ Spirometry/ **Spirometries** St George's respiratory questionnaire **Timed Vital Capacity** Vital Capacity/ Volumes, Forced Volume, Forced Expiratory/ Birth Weight/ Birth Weight Low/ Fetal Growth Retardation/ Infant, Low Birth Weight/ Infant, Very Low Birth Weight/ Infant, Extremely Low Birth Weight/ Infant, Premature/ IUGR Intrauterine growth restriction Low birth weight **Obstetric Labor, Premature/** Premature infant Preterm labor Premature labor Premature birth Preterm birth

Asthma/ bronchial asthma Bronchopulmonary Dysplasia/ Chronic lung disease of infancy/ Hyaline Membrane Disease/ Chronic lung disease of prematurity Respiratory Distress Syndrome, Newborn/ Pulmonary Disease, Chronic Obstructive/

Ovid MEDLINE - Search Strategy

1. exp Bronchospirometry/

2. exp Vital Capacity/

- 3. exp Forced Expiratory Volume/
- 4. Respiratory Function Tests/
- 5. exp Forced Expiratory Flow Rates/
- 6. exp Maximal Expiratory Flow Rate/
- 7. exp Maximal Midexpiratory Flow Rate/
- 8. Spirometry/
- 9. Lung Volume Measurements/
- 10. Bronchospirometries.mp.
- 11. Forced Vital Capacit*.mp.
- 12. Timed Vital Capacit*.mp.
- 13. (MEFR or MMFR or FEVt or SGRQ).mp.
- 14. St George's respiratory questionnaire.mp.
- 15. Chronic Respiratory Questionnaire.mp.
- 16. ISAAC questionnaire.mp.
- 17. Flow Rate, Maximal Expiratory/
- 18. Volume, Forced Expiratory/
- 19. Volumes, Forced/
- 20. Forced volume.mp.
- 21. ((Maximal or flow rate) adj2 expirator*).mp.
- 22. FEF 25 75 Percent.mp.
- 23. ((lung* or respiratory or pulmonary) adj2 (function* or expirator* capacit*)).mp.
- 24. spirometries.mp.
- 25. or/1-24
- 26. ((lung*1 or respiratory or pulmonary) adj2 (disease*1 or disorder*1)).mp.
- 27. exp Asthma/
- 28. exp Respiratory Distress Syndrome, Newborn/
- 29. Bronchial asthma.mp.
- 30. Chronic lung disease of prematurity.mp.
- 31. Chronic lung disease of infancy.mp.
- 32. Hyaline Membrane Disease/
- 33. Bronchopulmonary Dysplasia/
- 34. Pulmonary Disease, Chronic Obstructive/
- 35. or/26-34
- 36. exp Infant, Low Birth Weight/
- 37. exp Infant, Very Low Birth Weight/
- 38. exp Infant, Extremely Low Birth Weight/
- 39. exp Infant, Premature/
- 40. Obstetric Labor, Premature/

- 41. exp Premature Birth/
- 42. Fetal Growth Retardation/
- 43. Birth Weight/
- 44. Low Birth Weight.mp.

45. ((Preterm* or Premature*) adj2 (labo#r* or birth* or born or infant or baby or

- babies or child or children or girl*1 or boy*1)).mp.
- 46. Prematurity.mp.
- 47. IUGR.mp.
- 48. intrauterine growth restriction.mp.
- 49. or/36-48
- 50. 25 or 35
- 51. 49 and 50
- 52. Epidemiologic Studies/
- 53. exp case control studies/
- 54. exp cohort studies/
- 55. Case control.tw.
- 56. (cohort adj (study or studies)).tw.
- 57. Cohort analy*.tw.
- 58. (Follow up adj (study or studies)).tw.
- 59. (observational adj (study or studies)).tw.
- 60. Longitudinal.tw.
- 61. Retrospective.tw.
- 62. Cross sectional.tw.
- 63. Cross-sectional studies/
- 64. case-control studies/ or longitudinal/ or follow-up studies/ or prospective

studies/

- 65. or/52-64
- 66. 51 and 65

The following table is an explanation of the symbols used in the search strategy above.

- / after an index term (MeSH heading) indicates that all subheadings were selected.
- * before an index term indicates that that term was focused i.e. limited to records where the term was a major MeSH/Emtree term.
- "exp" before an index term indicates that the term was exploded.
- .tw. indicates a search for a term in title/abstract
- .mp. indicates a free text search for a term

- # retrieves records that contain the search term with substituted character(s) in the specified location.
- * at the end of a term indicates that this term has been truncated.
- *n The limited truncation symbol, \$n, Retrieves records that contain the search term and all possible suffix variations of a root word with the maximum number of characters that may follow the root word or phrase, specified by n.
- ? in the middle of a term indicates the use of a wildcard.
- adj indicates a search for two terms where they appear adjacent to one another

Databases and information sources

Bibliographic databases
CINAHL 1982-
Embase 1980-
HMIC Health Management Information Consortium 1979
Medline 1950-
Medline in Process
Scopus
OpenSIGLE
Web of Knowledge
Science Citation Index Expanded 1981-
Social Science Citation Index 1981-
ISI Proceedings 1990-

Websites
Action Medical Research http://www.action.org.uk/
SPARKS https://www.sparks.org.uk/NetCommunity/SSLPage.aspx?pid=19
Wellcome Trust http://www.wellcome.ac.uk/

Appendix 2

The effect of premature birth compared to term birth on later lung function - a systematic review of the literature

Source	Y/N/not clear/not reported/comment
Study ID number	· · · · ·
Report ID (surname of first author	
and year study undertaken)	
Title	
Authors names	
Journal	
Language published in	
Reviewed by	
Other comments	
Eligibility	
Confirm eligibility for review	
Gestation ≤ 32 weeks gestation	
Gestation 33-37 weeks gestation	
Other gestation please state	
Age at time of LF testing < 5 years	
Age at time of LF testing ≥ 5 years	
If age less than 5 years method of LF	
testing	
LF variables collected FEV0.5	
LF variables collected FEV1	
LF variables collected FVC	
LF variables collected FEF25-75/MEF	
LF variables collected Ratios	
LF variables collected TLC	
LF variables collected RV	
LF variables collected DLCO	
LF values reported compared to	
predicted values or term group	
comparison	
BPD/CLD group	
Reason for exclusion	
Need to write to authors	
Other comments	
Methods	
Study design	
Age of study groups	

Other comments	
Participants	
Total number	
Total number in CLD/BPD group	
Total number in prem group	
Total number in control group	
Total number excluded	
Reason why excluded	
Setting	
Birthweight	
Social status	
Rate of ventilation	
Personal smoking by the prem	
subjects	
Age at time of LF testing	
Sex	
Weeks gestation	
Maternal smoking	
Surfactant given and details	
Maternal steroids given and details	
Country	
Co-morbidity	
Ethnicity	
Year of birth of participants	
CLD/BPD and how defined	
Neonatal data information	
Date of study	
Other comments	
Interventions	
Did study consider intervention	
Specific intervention	
Intervention details	
Is baseline data adequate	
Other comments	
Outcomes	

FEV0.5	Prem	BPD/CLD/	control
Total number in			
group			
Mean			
SD			
Median			

Significance		
Method of		
measuring LF		
Method of		
standardisation		
Raw values		

FEV1	Prem	BPD/CLD/	control
Total number in			
group			
Mean			
SD			
Median			
Significance			
Method of			
measuring LF			
Method of			
standardisation			
Raw values			

FVC	Prem	BPD/CLD/	control
Total number in			
group			
Mean			
SD			
Median			
Significance			
Method of			
measuring LF			
Method of			
standardisation			
Raw values			

FEF25-75/MEF	Prem	BPD/CLD/	control
Total number in			
group			
Mean			
SD			
Median			
Significance			
Method of			
measuring LF			
Method of			

standardisation		
Raw values		

ratios	Prem	BPD/CLD/	control
Total number in			
group			
Mean			
SD			
Median			
Significance			
Method of			
measuring LF			
Method of			
standardisation			
Raw values			

TLC	Prem	BPD/CLD/	control
Total number in			
group			
Mean			
SD			
Median			
Significance			
Method of			
measuring LF			
Method of			
standardisation			
Raw values			

RV	Prem	BPD/CLD/	control
Total number in			
group			
Mean			
SD			
Median			
Significance			
Method of			
measuring LF			
Method of			
standardisation			
Raw values			

DLCO	Prem	BPD/CLD/	control
Total number in			

group		
Mean		
SD		
Median		
Significance		
Method of		
measuring LF		
Method of		
standardisation		
Raw values		

Miscellaneous	
Funding source	
Key conclusions	
Miscellaneous comments from	
authors	
References to other relevant studies	
Other comments	

Appendix 3

Assessment of study quality

Quality	Scores awarded	
Selection		
1) Representativeness of		
the exposed cohort		
a) truly representative of	4	
the average in the		
community		
b) Somewhat	3	
representative of the		
average in the community		
c) Selected group of users	2	
eg nurses, volunteers		
d) no description of the	1	
derivation of the cohort		
2) Selection of the non		
exposed cohort		
a) Drawn from the same	3	
community		

b) Drawn from a different	2	
source		
c) no description of the	1	
derivation of the non		
exposed cohort		
3) Ascertainment of		
exposure (weeks		
gestation)		
a) secure record (scan +/-	3	
LMP)		
b) Written self report (2	
medical assessment)		
c) no description	1	
4) Demonstration that		
outcome of interest was		
not present at start of		
study		
a) yes	2	
b) no	1	
Outcome		
1) Assessment of outcome		
a) independent blind	4	
assessment		
b) record linkage	3	
c) self report	2	
d) no description	1	
2) Adequacy of follow up		
of cohorts		
a) complete follow up all	4	
subject accounted for		
b) subjects lost to follow	3	
up unlikely to introduce		
bias		
c) follow up rate low and	2	
no description of those		
lost		
d) no statement	1	

Figure E1. Preterm group (no BPD) where the results were reported as percentages of predicted values.

Figure E2. BPD (supplemental oxygen dependency at 28 days of life) results reported as percentages of predicted values.

Figure E3. BPD (supplemental oxygen dependency 36 weeks PMA) where results were reported as percentages of predicted values.

Figure E4. Preterm group (including groups with BPD) where results were reported as percentages of predicted values.

REFERENCES

- E1. Fawke J, Lum S, Kirkby J, et al. Lung function and respiratory symptoms at 11 years in children born extremely preterm. The EPICure Study. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2010; 182: 237–245.
- E2. Arad I, Bar-Yishay E, Eyal F, et al. Lung function in infancy and childhood following neonatal intensive care. Pediatric Pulmonology 1987;3:29–33.
- E3. De Kleine MJK, Roos CM, Voorn WJ, et al. Lung function 8–18 years after intermittent positive pressure ventilation for hyaline membrane disease. Thorax 1990;45:941–946
- E4. Doyle LW, Cheung MMH, Ford GW, et al. Birth weight <1501g and respiratory health at age 14. Arch Dis Child 2001;84:40–44.
- E5. Kulasekaran K, Gray PH, Masters B. Chronic lung disease of prematurity and respiratory outcome at eight years of age. Journal of Paediatrics and Child Health 2007;43:44–48.
- E6. Doyle LW and the Victorian Infant Collaborative Study Group. Respiratory function at age 8-9 years in extremely low birthweight/very preterm children born in Victoria in 1991-1992. Pediatric Pulmonology 2006;41:570–576.
- E7. Galdes-Sebaldt M, Sheller JR, Grogaard J, et al. Prematurity is associated with abnormal airway function in childhood. Pediatric Pulmonology 1989;7:259–264.
- E8. Giacoia GP, Venkataraman PS, West-Wilson KI, et al. Follow-up of school-age children with bronchopulmonary dysplasia. The Journal of Paediatrics 1997;130(3):400–408.

- E9. Gross SJ, Iannuzzi DM, Kveselis DA, et al. Effect of preterm birth on pulmonary function at school age: A prospective controlled study. The Journal of Pediatrics 1998;133(2):188–192.
- E10. Halvorsen T, Skadberg BT, Eide GE, et al. Characteristics of asthma and airway hyper-responsiveness after premature birth. Pediatr Allergy Immunol 2005;16:487–494.
- E11. Smith LJ, van Asperen PP, McKay KO, et al. Post-natal corticosteriods are associated with reduced expiratory flows in children born very preterm. Journal of Pediatrics and Child Health 2011;47:448–454.
- E12. Jacob SV, Coates AL, Lands LC, et al. Long-term pulmonary sequelae of severe bronchopulmonary dysplasia. The Journal of Pediatrics 1998;133(2):193–200.
- E13. Jacob SV, Lands LC, Coates AL, et al. Exercise ability in survivors of severe bronchopulmonary dysplasia. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1997;155:1925–1929.
- E14. Kennedy JD, Edward LJ, Bates DJ, et al. Effects of birthweight and oxygen supplementation on lung function in late childhood in children of very low birth weight. Pediatric Pulmonology 2000;30:32–40.
- E15. Kilbride HW, Gelatt MC, Sabath RJ. Pulmonary function and exercise capacity for ELBW survivors in preadolescence: effect of neonatal chronic lung disease. The Journal of Pediatrics 2003;143(4):488–493.
- E16. Korhonen P, Laitinen J, Hyodynmma E, et al. Respiratory outcome in schoolaged, very-low-birth-weight children in the surfactant era. Acta Paediatr 2004;93:316–321.
- E17. Baraldi E, Zanconato S, Zorzi C, et al. Exercise performance in very low birthweight children at the age of 7-12 years. European Journal of Pediatrics

1991;150:713-716.

- E18. Baraldi E, Bonetto G, Zacchello F, et al. Low exhaled nitric oxide in school-age children with bronchopulmonary dysplasia and airflow limitation. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2005;171:68–72.
- E19. Barker M, Merz U, Herti MS, et al. School-age lung function and exercise capacity in former very low birth weight infants. Pediatric Exercise Science 2003;15:44–55
- E20. Mieskonen ST, Malmberg LP, Kari MA, et al. Exhaled nitric oxide at school age in prematurely born infants with neonatal chronic lung disease. Pediatric Pulmonology 2002;33:347–355.
- E21. Pianosi PT, Fisk M. High frequency ventilation trial nine year follow up of lung function. Early Human Development 2000;57:225–234.
- E22. Palta M, Sadek-Badawi M, Madden K, et al. Pulmonary testing using peak flow meters of very low birth weight children born in the perisurfactant era and school controls at age 10 years. Pediatric Pulmonology 2007;42:819–828.
- E23. Mitchell SH, Teague WG with the technical assistance of Amy Robinson. Reduced gas transfer at rest and during exercise in school-age survivors of bronchopulmonary dysplasia. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1998;157:1406–1412.
- E24. Vrijlandt EJLE, Gerritsen J, Boezen HM, et al. Lung function and exercise capacity in young adults born prematurely. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2006;173:890–896.
- E25. Wheeler WB, Castile RG, Brown ER, et al. Pulmonary function in survivors of prematurity. American Review of Respiratory Disease 1984;a218.
- E26. Abreu LR, Costa-Rangel RCA, Gastaldi AC, et al. Cardio-respiratory capacity

assessment in children with bronchopulmonary dysplasia. Rev. bras. fisioter, 2007;11(2):95–100.

- E27. Guimaraes H, Rocha G, Pissarra S, et al. Respiratory outcomes and atopy in school-age children who were preterm at birth, with and without bronchopulmonary dysplasia. Clinics 2011;66(3):425–430.
- E28. Hakulinen AL, Jarvenpaa A-L, Turpeinen M, et al. Diffusing capacity of the lung in school -aged children born very preterm, with and without bronchopulmonary dysplasia. Pediatric Pulmonology 1996;21:353–360.
- E29. Berggren Brostrom E, Thunqvist P, Adenfelt G, et al. Obstructive lung disease in children with mild to severe BPD. Respiratory Medicine 2010;104:362–370.
- E30. Stanojevic S, Wade A, Cole TJ, et al. Spirometry centile charts for young Caucasian children: the Asthma UK Collaborative Initiative. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2009; 180:547–-552.
- E31. Stanojevic S, Wade A, Stocks J, et al. Reference ranges for spirometry across all ages: a new approach. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2008;177:253–260.
- E32. Polgar G, Promadhat V. Pulmonary function testing in children. Philadelphia: Saunders, 1971.
- E33. Zapletal A, Paul T, Samanek M. Pulmonary elasticity in children and adolescents. J Appl Physiol 1976;40:953–961.
- E34. Hibbert ME, Lannigan A, Landau LI, et al. Lung function from a longitudinal study of healthy children and adolescents. Pediatr Pulmonol 1989;7:101–9.
- E35. Polgar G, Promadhat V. Pulmonary Testing in Children: Technics and Standards. Philadelphia, PA: W.B.Saunders Company, 1971.
- E36. Schwartz JD, Katz SA, Fegley RW, et al. Analysis of spirometric data from a

national sample of healthy 6- to 24- year- olds (NHANES II). Am Rev Respir Dis 1988;188:1405–1414.

- E37. Knudson RJ, Lebowitz MD, Holberg CJ, et al. Changes in normal maximal expiratory flow-volume curve with growth and aging. Am Rev Respir Dis 1983; 127:725–34.
- E38. SensorMedics Corporation. Normal predicted equation sets. 2200 Pulmonary function laboratory operator's manual. 1989;F22–F23
- E39. Quanjer PH, Borsboom GJ, Brunekre B et al. Spirometric reference values for white European children and adolescents: Polgar revisited. Pediatr Pulmonol 1995: 19: 135–142
- E40. Knudson RJ, Slatin RC, Lebowitz MD, et al. The maximal expiratory flowvolume curve: normal standards, variability, and effects of age. Am Rev Respir Dis 1976; 113:587–600
- E41. Crapo RO, Morris AH, Gardener RM. Reference spirometric values using techniques and equipment that meet ATS recommendations. Am. Rev. Respir.
 Dis. 1981;123:185–189
- E42. Hsu KH, Jenkins DE, His BP, et al. Ventilatory functions of normal children and young adults Mexican-American, white and black. I. Spirometry. J Pediatr 1979;95:14–23
- E43. Koillinen H, Wanne O, Niemi V, et al. Terveiden suomalaislasten spirometrian ja uloshengityksen huippuvirtauksen viitearvot. SLL 1998: 5: 395–402.
- E44. Bucci G, Cook CD, Barrie H. Studies of respiratory physiology in children. V: Total lung diffusion, diffusing capacity of pulmonary membrane and pulmonary capillary blood volume in normal subjects from 7-40 years of age. J Pediatr

1961;58:820-828.

- E45. Morris JF, Koski A, Breese J. Normal values and evaluation of forced expiratory flow. Am Rev Resp Dis 1975;111:755–62
- E46. Jaeger Asthma Monitor software (AMOS) based on Zapletal A, Paul T, Samanek M. Significance of contemporary methods of lung function testing for detection of airway obstruction in children and adolescents. Z Erkr Atmungsorgane 1977;149:343–371
- E47. Quanjer PH, Tammeling GJ, Cotes JE, et al. Lung volumes and forced ventilatory flows. Report Working Party Standardization of Lung Function Tests, European Community for Steel and Coal. Official Statement of the European Respiratory Society. Eur Respir J Suppl 1993;16:5–40
- E48. Solymar L, Aronsson PH, Bake B, et al. Nitrogen single breath test, flowvolume curves and spirometry in healthy children, 7-18 years of age. Eur Respir Dis 1980;61:275–286.
- E49. Cotes JE, Dabs JM, Elwood PC, et al. Iron –deficiency anaemia: Its effect on transfer factor for the lung (diffusing capacity) and ventilation and cardiac frequency during sub-maximal exercise. Clin Sci. 1972; 42:325–335
- E50. Zapletal A, Paul T, Samanek M. Die Bedeutung heutiger Methoden der Lungenfunktionsdiagnostik zur Feststellung einer Obstruktion der Atemwege bei Kindern und Jugendlichen. Z Erkr Atmungsorgane. 1977; 149:343–371.
- E51. Bader D, Ramos AD, Lew CD, et al. Childhood sequelae of infant lung disease: exercise and pulmonary function abnormalities after bronchopulmonary dysplasia. J Pediatr 1987;110(448–56).
- E52. Karila C, Saulnier JP, Elie C, et al. Exercise-induced alveolar hypoventilation in

long-term survivors of bronchopulmonary dysplasia. Rev Mal Respir 2009;26:e61–8.

- E53. Koumbourlis AC, Motoyama EK, Mutich RL, et al. Longitudinal follow-up of lung function from childhood to adolescence in prematurely born patients with neonatal chronic lung disease. Pediatric Pulmonology 1996; 21:28–34.
- E54. Santuz P, Baraldi E, Zaramella P, et al. Factors limiting exercise performance in long-term survivors of bronchopulmonary dysplasia. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1995;152:1284–1289.
- E55. Northway WH, Moss RB, Carlisle KB, et al. Late pulmonary sequelae of bronchopulmonary dysplasia. The New England Journal of Medicine 1990;323(26):1793–1799.
- E56. Ng DK, Lau WY, Lee SL. Pulmonary sequelae in long-term survivors of bronchopulmonary dysplasia.. Pediatrics International 2000;42:603–607
- E57. Smyth JA, Tabachnik E, Duncan WJ, et al. Pulmonary function and bronchial hyperreactivity in long-term survivors of bronchopulmonary dysplasia. Pediatrics 1981;68(3):336–340.
- E58. Ahrens P, Zielen S, Stover B, et al. Long term pulmonary and allergic outcome of very low birth weight prematures with and without bronchopulmonary dysplasia. Klin Padiatr 1991;203:366–371
- E59. Blayney M, Kerem E, Whyte H, et al. Bronchopulmonary dysplasia: Improvement in lung function between 7 and 10 years of age. J Pediatr 1991;118:201–206.
- E60. Aquino SL, Schechter MS, Chiles C, et al. High-resolution inspiratory and expiratory CT in older children and adults with bronchopulmonary dysplasia.

American Journal of Roentgenology 1999;173:963–967.

- E61. Zapletal A, Samanek M, Paul T. Lung function in children and adolescents. In: Herzog H, editor. Progress in Respiration Research. Basel, Karger Ed, 1987.
- E62. Weng T-R, Levison H. Standards of pulmonary function in children. Am Rev Respir Dis. 1969; 99:879–894.
- E63. Schoenburg JB, Beck GJ, Bouhuys A. Growth and decay of pulmonary function in healthy blacks and whites. Respir Physiol. 1978;33:367–393.
- E64. Boyd E. Growth of the surface area of the human body. Minneapolis:University of Minnesota Press, 1935:132–3.
- E65. Fenn WO, Rahn H, section eds. Handbook of physiology. Section 3,
 Respiration. Vol. 11. Washington D.C.: American Physiological Society,
 1965:930–1.
- E66. Kanner RE, Morris AH, eds. Clinical pulmonary function testing. Salt Lake City: Intermountain Thoracic Society, 1975.
- E67. Morris JF, Koshi A, Johnson LC. Spirometric standards for healthy nonsmoking adults. Am Rev Respir Dis 1971; 103:57–67
- E68. Bates DV, Macklem PT, Christie RV. Respiratory function in disease: an introduction to the integrated study of the lung. Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders, 1971:93–94
- E69. His BP, Hsu KH, Jenkins DE. Ventilatory functions of normal children and young adults Mexican-American, white and black. III. Sitting height as a predictor. J Pediatr 1983; 102:860–5
- E70. Lamm KK, Pang SC, Allan WGL et al. Predictive nomograms for forced expiratory, forced vital capacity, and peak expiratory flow rate in Chinese adults

and children. Br. J. Dis. Chest 1983; 77:390-6.

- E71. Berman W Jr, Katz R, Yabek SM, et al. Long-term follow-up of bronchopulmonary dysplasia. The journal of pediatrics 1986;109(1):45–50.
- E72. Morris AH, Kanner R, Crapo R, et al, eds. Clinical pulmonary function testing
 2nd ed. Salt Lake City: Intermountain Thoracic Society 1984.
- E73. Konefal H, Czeszynka MB, Sardesai S, et al. Pulmonary function in school-aged children with mild to moderate infant respiratory distress syndrome requiring nasal continuous positive airway pressure. Ginekol Pol 2010;81:768–773.
- E74. Anand D, Stevenson CJ, West CR, et al. Lung function and respiratory health in adolescents of very low birth weight. Arch Dis Child 2003;88:135–138.
- E75. Burns YR, Danks M, O'Callaghan MJ, et al. Motor coordination difficulties and physical fitness of extremely low birthweight children. Developmental Medicine and Child Neurology 2009;51:136–142.
- E76. Evenson KAI, Steinshamn S, Tjonna AE, et al. Effects of preterm birth and fetal growth retardation on cardiovascular risk factors in young adulthood. Early Human Development 2009;85:239–245.
- E77. Gappa M, Berner MM, Hohenschild S, et al. Pulmonary function in school-age in surfactant-treated preterm infants. Pediatric Pulmonology 1999;27:191–198.
- E78. Grischkan J, Storfer-Isser A, Rosen CL, et al. Variation in childhood asthma among former preterm infants. The Journal of Pediatrics 2004;144(3):321–326.
- E79. Wagner CL, Brooks JG, Richter SE, et al. The "88% saturation test": A simple lung function test for young children. Pediatrics 1994;93(1):63–67.
- E80. Mai X-M, Gaddlin P-O, Nilsson L, et al. Asthma, lung function and allergy in12-year-old children with very low birth weight: a prospective study. Pediatr

Allergy Immunol 2003;14:184–192.

- E81. MacLusky IB, Stringer D, Zarfen J, et al. Cardiorespiratory status in long-term survivors of prematurity, with and without hyaline membrane disease. Pediatric Pulmonology 1986;2(2):94–102.
- E82. Odberg MD, Sommerfelt K, Markestad T, et al. Growth and somatic health until adulthood of low birthweight children. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 2010;95:F201–F205.
- E83. Rivlin J, Pape K, Reilly BJ, et al. Long-term follow up of children with Wilson-Mikity syndrome. Eur J Respir Dis 1985;67:286–293.
- E84. Wiebicke W, Poynter A, Chernick V. Normal lung growth following antenatal dexamethasone treatment for respiratory distress syndrome. Pediatric Pulmonology 1988;5:27–30.
- E85. von Mutius E, Nicolai T, Martinez FD. Prematurity as a risk factor for asthma in preadolescent children. The Journal of Pedaitrics 1993;123(2):223–229
- E86. Smith LJ, van Asperen PP, McKay KO, et al. Reduced exercise capcity in children born very preterm. Pediatrics 2008;122:e287–e293.#
- E87. Telford K, Waters L, Vyas H, et al. Respiratory outcome in late childhood after neonatal continuous negative pressure ventilation. Archives of Disease in Childhood-Fetal and Neonatal Edition 2007;92(1):F19–F24.
- E88. Siltanen M, Savilahti E, Pohjavuori M, et al. Respiratory Symptoms and Lung Function in Relation to Atopy in Children Born Preterm. Pediatric Pulmonology 2004;37(1):43–49.
- E89. Gross SJ, Anbar RD, Mettelman BB. Follow-up at 15 years of preterm infants from a controlled trial of moderately early dexamethasone for the prevention of

chronic lung disease. Pediatrics 2005;115(3):681–687.

- E90. Nikolajev K, Heinonen K, Hakulinen A, et al. Effects of intrauterine growth retardation and prematurity on spirometric flow values and lung volumes at school age in twin pairs. Pediatric Pulmonology 1998;25:367–370.
- E91. Bertrand J-M, Riley SP, Popkin J, et al. The long-term pulmonary sequelae of prematurity: the role of familial airway hyperreactivity and the respiratory distress syndrome. The New England Journal of Medicine 1985;312:742–745.
- E92. Borkenstein J, Borkenstein M, Rosegger H. Pulmonary function studies in long-term survivors with artificial ventilation in the neonatal period. Acta Paediatr Scand 1980;69:159–163.
- E93. Roca J, Burgos F, Sunyer J et al. Reference values for forced spirometry.
 Group of the European Community Respiratory Health Survey. Eur Respir J.
 1998;11:1354–1362.
- E94. European Respiratory Society. Standardized lung function testing. Eur Respir J suppl 1993;6(suppl 16).
- E95. Quanjer P, Stocks J, Polgar G, et al. Compilation of reference values for lung function measurements ion children. Eur Respir J 1989;2:184–261.
- E96. Weng T, Levison A. Pulmonary function in children with asthma at acute attack and symptom-free status. Am Rev Respir Dis 1969;99:719–728.
- E97. Zapletal A, Motoyama EK, Van De Woestijine KP, et al. Maximum expiratory flow volume curves and airway conductance in children and adolescents. J Appl Physiol. 1969;26:308