WEB SUPPLEMENT

METHODS	2
TABLES	6
REFERENCE LIST	16

SUPPLEMENTAL METHODS

Study participants: The Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA) COPD Study recruited cases of COPD and controls predominantly from MESA, a population-based prospective cohort study of subclinical atherosclerosis,[20] a non-overlapping lung cancer screening study,[21] and the outpatient community at Columbia University Medical Center. Participants were 50–79 years of age with ≥10 pack-year smoking history. Exclusion criteria were clinical cardiovascular disease, stage IIIb-V chronic kidney disease, asthma prior to age 45 years, prior lung resection, contraindication to magnetic resonance imaging, and pregnancy.

The Subpopulations and Intermediate Outcomes in COPD Study (SPIROMICS) is recruiting participants 40–80 years of age with >20 pack-year smoking history with COPD and controls with >20 pack-year smoking history, as well as never smokers.[22] Exclusion criteria include other chronic lung diseases except asthma (e.g., sarcoidosis, interstitial lung disease), body mass index (BMI) >40 kg/m², prior lung resection, metal in the chest (e.g., pacemaker) and pregnancy. The present analysis was performed on the first 1278 current or former smokers completing the baseline evaluation.

Study protocols were approved by the institutional review board of participating institutions and by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Chest computed tomography (CT) acquisition and analysis: All participants in both studies underwent full-lung thoracic CT on 64 or 128-slice helical scanners (120 kVp, 0.625-0.75 mm slice thickness, 0.5 sec. rotation time). Scans were acquired with milliamperes (mA) set by body mass index (BMI) (80-145 mA for <20 kg/m2, 100-180 mA for 20-30 kg/m2 and 145-270

mA for >30 kg/m2) to maintain a consistent volume CT dose index (6.1, 7.6, 11.4 mGy respectively) across scanner types in SPIROMICS and in MESA COPD participants recruited from MESA; mA was set at 200 mA for MESA COPD participants recruited outside of MESA.[1 2] Images were obtained at suspended full inspiration. Airway dimensions were assessed at a single reading center for both studies blinded to other participant information.

The central airway tree was identified using Apollo Software (VIDA Diagnostics, Coralville, Iowa).[3] Airways were labeled anatomically[4] from trachea to subsegmental bronchi along five pre-specified paths: RB1 (apical segment of right upper lobe); RB4 (lateral segment of right middle lobe); RB10 (posterior basal segment of right lower lobe); LB1 (apical segment of left upper lobe); and LB10 (posterior basal of left lower lobe). Segmentation and labeling were visually verified by a dedicated image analyst and all labeled airways were assigned a generation number based on the number of branch points from the trachea, which was assigned generation 0. Cross-sectional airway wall area and wall thickness, as well as lumen area, diameter, and perimeter were measured perpendicular to the local airway segment's long axis using a subvoxel resolution algorithm in the Apollo Software, within an image plane, and measurements were averaged along the middle third of each labeled airway segment. Apollo uses the optimal surface algorithm[5] to simultaneously locate the inner (lumen) and outer airway border. Both borders are segmented simultaneously in three-dimensions and the lumen border guides the outer border in cases where the outer border is hard to identify (e.g., due to adjacent vessels and dense tissue). Other airway measurement algorithms tend to overestimate the size of small airways due to partial volume effect. [6] Apollo automatically corrects for this

and provides sub-voxel accuracy for all airway sizes. Airway segment length was measured as the distance between the proximal and distal branch points of each airway.

Percent wall area was calculated for each airway as the ratio of wall area to the sum of wall and lumen area, multiplied by 100. Pi10 was calculated by regressing the square-root wall area on internal perimeter of included airways to predict the square-root wall area of a single hypothetical airway with internal perimeter of 10 mm (≈3.2 mm diameter).[7] A Pi10 was calculated for each participant using all measured airways, as well as using airways from each generation with five or more airways. Airway counts were determined by software summing all visually-confirmed airway segments detected along the five pre-specified paths and stratified by lumen diameter. Reliability of airway analyses were evaluated using 117 randomly selected MESA COPD CT scans assessed by two independent image analysts. Intra-class correlation coefficients with one-way random effects were computed.[8] Intra-class correlation coefficients for reproducibility of airway measures in the MESA COPD Study were 0.79-0.99, 0.74-0.99 and 0.78-0.96 for wall area, lumen area, and airway count, respectively (Web Supplement Tables E1-E2).

Lung volumes were quantified from segmented lung images. Percent emphysema-like lung was defined as the percentage of total voxels within the lung field <-950 Hounsfield units (percent emphysema_{-950HU}).[9]

Spirometry: Post-bronchodilator spirometry was performed following American Thoracic Society (ATS) recommendations[10] on a dry-rolling-sealed spirometer (Occupational Marketing, Inc., Houston, TX) in MESA COPD and a pneumotachograph spirometer (nSpire Health, Longmont, CO) in SPIROMICS.[10 11] Predicted spirometry values were calculated using

Hankinson reference equations.[12] COPD was defined as post-bronchodilator ratio of forced expired volume in one second to forced vital capacity (FEV₁/FVC) less than 0.7 and spirometric severity as mild (FEV₁ \ge 80% predicted), moderate (50% \le FEV₁<80% predicted), severe (30% \le FEV₁<50% predicted), and very severe (FEV₁<30% predicted).[13] Controls had a post-bronchodilator FEV₁/FVC>0.7 and an FVC above the lower limit of normal.[12]

TABLES

Table E1. Reproducibility of Airway Analysis in 117 Randomly Selected MESA COPD CT Scans Assessed by Two Independent Image Analysts.

Generation number (anatomic name)		ICC
	0.99 0.95 0.85 0.83	lumen area
0 (trachea)	0.99	0.99
1 (RMB, LMB)	0.95	0.99
2 (RUL, BI, LUL, LLL proximal to LB6)	0.85	0.87
3 (RB1, RML, RLL proximal to RB7, LB1+2, LLL distal to LB6)	0.95	0.97
4 (RB1 subsegments, RB4, RLL distal to RB7, LB1, LB10)	0.83	0.86
5 (RB1 subsubsegments, RB4 subsegments, RB10, LB1 subsegments, LB10 subsegments)	0.82	0.81
6 (RB4 subsubsegments, RB10 subsegments, LB1 subsubsegments, LB10 subsubsegments)	0.79	0.74

Abbreviations: MESA denotes Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis, COPD chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, CT computed tomography, ICC intra-class correlation coefficient, RMB right mainstem bronchus, LMB left mainstem bronchus, RUL right upper lobe bronchus, BI bronchus intermedius, LUL left upper lobe bronchus, LLL left lower lobe bronchus, LB6 left superior segmental bronchus, RB1 right apical segmental bronchus, RML right middle lobe bronchus, RLL right lower lobe bronchus, RB7 right medial basal bronchus, LB1+2 left apical-posterior bronchus, RB4 right lateral segmental bronchus, LB1 left apical segmental bronchus, LB10 left posterior basal segmental bronchus, RB10 right posterior segmental bronchus.

Table E2. Reproducibility of Airway Counting in 117 Randomly Selected MESA COPD CT Scans Assessed by Two Independent Image Analysts.

Airway lumen diameter strata	ICC airway count
Lumen diameter > 11.5 mm	0.96
10.0 mm < lumen diameter ≤ 11.5 mm	0.78
8.5 mm < lumen diameter ≤ 10.0 mm	0.91
7.0 mm < lumen diameter ≤ 8.5 mm	0.87
5.5 mm < lumen diameter ≤ 7.0 mm	0.84
4.0 mm < lumen diameter ≤ 5.5 mm	0.80
2.5 mm < lumen diameter ≤ 4.0 mm	0.92

Abbreviations: MESA denotes Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis, COPD chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, CT computed tomography, and ICC intra-class correlation coefficient.

Table E3. Characteristics of Participants with Complete and Incomplete Airway Data.

·	MESA	COPD	SPIROMICS		
	Complete	Incomplete	Complete	Incomplete	
	airway data	airway data	airway data	airway data	
	N=311	N=18	N=1248	N=30	
Age – year	68±7	67±8	65±9	64±8	
Male – %	60	72	54	63	
Race-ethnicity – %					
White	53	61	79	83	
Black	27	28	17	17	
Other	20	11	5	0	
Height – cm	169±9	170±10	171±10	172±9	
Weight – kg	80±18	82±15	81±18	79±22	
Smoking status – %					
Former	72	72	63	63	
Current	28	28	37	37	
Pack-years	37±24	51±33	50±24	51±28	
Percent predicted FEV ₁	88±22	76±23	74±26	60±31	
FEV ₁ /FVC	0.69±0.13	0.61±0.16	0.61±0.17	0.51±0.17	
COPD GOLD severity – %					
No COPD (FEV ₁ /FVC \geq 0.70)	53	28	35	20	
Mild (FEV₁≥80% predicted)	18	33	16	3	
Moderate (50% ≥ FEV ₁ < 80% predicted)	22	22	29	27	
Severe (30% ≥ FEV ₁ < 50% predicted)	6	11	15	40	
Very severe (FEV ₁ <30% predicted)	1	6	6	10	
CT performed after spirometry, on same day – %	46	-	86	-	
Time interval – minutes	138±62	-	58±45	-	

Plus-minus values are means±SD.

Abbreviations: MESA denotes Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis, SPIROMICS Subpopulations and Intermediate Outcome Measures in COPD Study, COPD chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, FEV₁ forced expired volume in the first second, FVC forced vital capacity, CT computed tomography, and SD standard deviation.

Table E4: Airway Lumen Area According to COPD Status Stratified by Generation Number in the MESA COPD Study and SPIROMICS.

MECA CORD	,		Airway	generation nu	mber						
MESA COPD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6				
Unadjusted mean airway lumen area in mm ²											
COPD	264.0	143.1	81.1	32.0	16.6	9.0	6.6				
No COPD	244.6	139.8	82.0	35.9	18.8	10.2	7.3				
Difference	19.4	3.4	-1.0	-3.9	-2.2	-1.2	-0.7				
(95% CI)	(3.7 to 36.2)	(-4.4 to 11.6)	(-5.4 to 3.8)	(-5.7 to -2.0)	(-3.2 to -1.2)	(-1.7 to -0.6)	(-1.0 to -1.0)				
P-value	0.02	0.41	0.68	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.002				
Mean airway lumen	area in mm² adj	justed for age, g	gender, height,	race-ethnicity,	smoking status	, airway length <i>,</i>	percent				
emphysema _{-950HU} , Bl	MI-determined (CT dose, and lu	ng volume at C	Γ							
COPD	253.0	135.9	77.7	30.8	16.2	8.6	6.4				
No COPD	256.9	145.5	84.5	36.9	19.4	10.6	7.7				
Difference	-3.9	-9.6	-6.8	-6.1	-3.2	-2.1	-1.3				
(95% CI)	(-16.6 to 9.5)	(-15.6 to -3.4)	(-10.6 to -2.8)	(-8.0 to -4.0)	(-4.2 to -2.1)	(-2.6 to -1.5)	(-1.7 to -0.9)				
P-value	p=0.56	p=0.003	p<0.001	p<0.001	p<0.001	p<0.001	p<0.001				
SPIROMICS			Airway	generation nu	mber						
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6				
Unadjusted mean ai	rway lumen area	a in mm²									
COPD	268.5	150.0	84.7	34.9	18.2	9.4	6.5				
No COPD	260.1	148.6	86.8	38.9	21.1	11.4	7.5				
Difference	8.4	1.4	-2.1	-4.1	-2.9	-2.0	-1.0				
(95% CI)	(0.2 to 16.9)	(-3.1 to 6.0)	(-4.7 to 0.6)	(-5.1 to -3.0)	(-3.5 to -2.3)	(-2.3 to -1.7)	(-1.2 to -0.8)				
P-value	0.04	0.54	0.12	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001				
Mean airway lumen	•			•	smoking status,	, airway length,	percent				
emphysema _{-950HU} , Bl	MI-determined (CT dose, and lu	ng volume at C	Т							
COPD	259.6	123.8	77.1	29.9	15.0	8.0	6.4				
No COPD	278.8	132.6	84.7	34.8	18.0	9.8	7.8				
Difference	-19.2	-8.8	-7.6	-4.9	-3.0	-1.8	-1.3				
(95% CI)	(-26.8 to -11.3)	(-12.1 to -5.5)	(-10.0 to -5.1)	(-5.9 to -3.9)	(-3.6 to -2.5)	(-2.1 to -1.5)	(-1.5 to -1.2)				
P-value	p<0.001	p<0.001	p<0.001	p<0.001	p<0.001	p<0.001	p<0.001				

Mean values and differences, along with 95% CI and p-values were estimated using linear regression with generalized estimating equations.

Table E5: Airway Lumen Area Associations with Percent Predicted FEV₁ and FVC Stratified by Generation Number in the MESA COPD Study and SPIROMICS.

MATCA CORD	Airway generation number									
MESA COPD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6			
Unadjusted mean airway lumen area difference in mm ²										
Per 10% decrement in FEV ₁	3.8	-0.3	-0.5	-0.9	-0.6	-0.3	-0.1			
(95% CI)	(0.3 to 7.5)	(-2.1 to 1.4)	(-1.6 to 0.7)	(-1.4 to -0.5)	(-0.8 to -0.3)	(-0.5 to -0.2)	(-0.2 to 0.0)			
P-value	0.03	0.73	0.38	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.006			
Per 10% decrement in FVC	4.9	0.3	-0.2	-0.5	-0.1	-0.1	0.0			
(95% CI)	(0.5 to 9.3)	(-2.0 to 2.4)	(-1.5 to 1.1)	(-1.1 to 0.1)	(-0.5 to 0.2)	(-0.3 to 0.1)	(-0.2 to 0.1)			
P-value	0.03	0.84	0.79	0.11	0.41	0.30	0.61			
Mean airway lumen area diff		-		-	nicity, airway	length, smokir	ng status,			
percent emphysema _{-950HU} , BN					ı	1				
Per 10% decrement in FEV ₁	0.2	-1.7	-1.0	-0.9	-0.5	-0.3	-0.2			
(95% CI)	(-2.8 to 3.1)	(-2.9 to -0.6)	(-1.9 to -0.1)	(-1.2 to -0.6)	(-0.7 to -0.3)	(-0.4 to -0.2)	(-0.3 to -0.1)			
P-value	p=0.92	p=0.003	p=0.03	p<0.001	p<0.001	p<0.001	p<0.001			
Per 10% decrement in FVC	3.3	-0.2	-0.3	-0.5	-0.1	-0.1	0.0			
(95% CI)	(-0.8 to 7.5)	(-1.8 to 1.3)	(-1.5 to 0.9)	(-1.0 to 0.0)	(-0.4 to 0.2)	(-0.3 to 0.1)	(-0.1 to 0.1)			
P-value	p=0.12	p=0.77	p=0.60	p=0.07	p=0.34	p=0.26	p=0.68			
SPIROMICS	Airway generation number									
3FINOIVIIC3	0	1	2	3	4	5	6			
Unadjusted mean airway lum	en area differ	ence in mm²								
Per 10% decrement in FEV ₁	-1.3	-2.1	-1.7	-1.4	-0.9	-0.5	-0.3			
(95% CI)	(-2.9 to 0.0)	(-3.0 to -1.3)	(-2.2 to -1.3)	(-1.6 to -1.2)	(-1.0 to -0.8)	(-0.6 to -0.4)	(-0.3 to -0.2)			
P-value	0.06	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001			
Per 10% decrement in FVC	-4.5	-4.0	-2.4	-1.7	-0.9	-0.4	-0.2			
(95% CI)	(-6.6 to -2.1)	(-5.1 to -3.0)	(-3.2 to -1.8)	(-2.0 to -1.4)	(-1.1 to -0.8)	(-0.5 to -0.3)	(-0.3 to -0.2)			
P-value	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001			
Mean airway area difference				ace-ethnicity,	airway length,	smoking statu	ıs, percent			
emphysema _{-950HU} , BMI-deteri	mined CT dose	, and lung vol	ume at CT							
Per 10% decrement in FEV ₁	-4.5	-3.1	-2.4	-1.5	-0.8	-0.4	-0.3			
(95% CI)	(-5.7 to -3.3)	(-3.7 to -2.6)	(-2.8 to -2.0)	(-1.6 to -1.3)	(-0.8 to -0.7)	(-0.4 to -0.4)	(-0.3 to -0.2)			
P-value	p<0.001	p<0.001	p<0.001	p<0.001	p<0.001	p<0.001	p<0.001			
Per 10% decrement in FVC	-3.5	-2.7	-1.8	-1.1	-0.5	-0.3	-0.2			
(95% CI)	(-5.0 to -2.0)	(-3.4 to -2.1)	(-2.3 to -1.4)	(-1.3 to -0.9)	(-0.6 to -0.4)	(-0.3 to -0.2)	(-0.2 to -0.1)			
P-value	p<0.001	p<0.001	p<0.001	p<0.001	p<0.001	p<0.001	p<0.001			

Mean values and differences, along with 95% CI and p-values were estimated using linear regression with generalized estimating equations.

Abbreviations: FEV_1 denotes forced expired volume in one second, FVC forced vital capacity, MESA Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis, COPD chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, SPIROMICS Subpopulations and Intermediate Outcome Measures in COPD Study, HU Hounsfield units, BMI body mass index, CT computed tomography, and CI confidence interval.

Table E6: Airway Wall Area According to COPD Status Stratified by Anatomic Name in the MESA COPD Study and SPIROMICS.

MESA COPD	A CORD Anatomic name									
Trachea		Mainstem bronchi	Lobar bronchi	Segmental bronchi	Subsegmental bronchi					
Unadjusted mean airway wall area in mm ²										
COPD	179.1	109.3	57.5	29.9	19.0					
No COPD	168.0	106.9	56.9	31.4	20.7					
Difference	11.1	2.4	0.6	-1.5	-1.7					
(95% CI)	(2.3 to 20.3)	(-3.2 to 8.4)	(-1.7 to 2.9)	(-2.6 to -0.3)	(-2.5 to -0.8)					
P-value	0.01	0.41	0.62	<0.001	<0.001					
Mean airway wall area in mm² adjusted for age, gender, height, race-ethnicity, smoking status, airway length, percent										
	MI-determined CT (dose, and lung volum	e at CT							
COPD	161.0	93.4	50.5	28.0	17.5					
No COPD	164.1	99.2	53.0	31.0	20.2					
Difference	-3.0	-5.8	-2.5	-3.0	-2.7					
(95% CI)	(-9.2 to 3.4)	(-9.6 to -1.8)	(-4.3 to -0.7)	(-4.0 to -1.9)	(-3.4 to -1.9)					
P-value	p=0.36	p=0.005	p=0.006	p<0.001	p<0.001					
SPIROMICS			Anatomic nar	ne						
3FINOIVIIC3	Trachea	Mainstem bronchi	Lobar bronchi	Segmental bronchi	Subsegmental bronchi					
Unadjusted mean ai	rway wall area in m	nm²								
COPD	181.3	113.5	57.5	31.0	19.3					
No COPD	173.5	112.0	59.1	33.2	21.9					
Difference	7.8	1.5	-1.7	-2.2	-2.6					
(95% CI)	(3.5 to 12.2)	(-1.9 to 5.0)	(-2.9 to -0.4)	(-2.9 to -1.5)	(-3.0 to -2.2)					
P-value	<0.001	0.40	0.01	<0.001	<0.001					
				smoking status, airwa	y length, percent					
emphysema _{-950HU} , BI	MI-determined CT	dose, and lung volum	e at CT							
COPD	177.0	96.3	48.8	27.9	17.7					
No COPD	180.6	101.3	52.2	30.6	20.0					
Difference	-3.6	-5.0	-3.4	-2.7	-2.3					
(95% CI)	(-7.2 to 0.0)	(-7.7 to -2.2)	(-4.4 to -2.4)	(-3.3 to -2.0)	(-2.7 to -1.9)					
P-value	p=0.049	p<0.001	p<0.001	p<0.001	p<0.001					

Mean values and differences, along with 95% CI and p-values were estimated using linear regression with generalized estimating equations.

Table E7: Airway Wall Thickness According to COPD Status Stratified by Generation Number in the MESA COPD Study and SPIROMICS.

MECACODO	•		Airwa	y generation nu	ımber							
MESA COPD 0		1	2	3	4	5	6					
Unadjusted mean ai	Unadjusted mean airway wall thickness in mm											
COPD	2.61	2.18	1.95	1.73	1.42	1.16	1.07					
No COPD	2.55	2.16	1.90	1.69	1.43	1.21	1.10					
Difference	0.05	0.02	0.05	0.04	-0.01	-0.05	-0.04					
(95% CI)	(-0.00 to 0.11)	(-0.04 to 0.08)	(0.01 to 0.10)	(0.01 to 0.07)	(-0.04 to 0.01)	(-0.07 to -0.03)	(-0.06 to -0.05)					
P-value	0.07	0.53	0.01	0.008	0.29	<0.001	<0.001					
Mean airway wall th	ickness in mm	adjusted for ag	e, gender, heigl	nt, race-ethnici	ty, smoking stat	tus, airway leng	th, percent					
emphysema _{-950HU} , BI	MI-determined	CT dose, and l	ung volume at (СТ								
COPD	13.1	8.4	6.8	5.5	4.1	3.2	2.9					
No COPD	13.4	8.9	6.8	5.5	4.2	3.4	3.0					
Difference	-0.3	-0.5	0.0	0.0	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2					
(95% CI)	(-0.9 to 0.4)	(-0.9 to -0.1)	(-0.3 to 0.2)	(-0.1 to 0.1)	(-0.2 to -0.1)	(-0.3 to -0.2)	(-0.2 to -0.1)					
P-value	p=0.38	p=0.02	p=0.82	p=0.96	p=0.001	p<0.001	p<0.001					
SPIROMICS			Airwa	y generation nι	ımber							
3FINOIVIIC3	0	1	2	3	4	5	6					
Unadjusted mean ai	rway wall thick	ness in mm										
COPD	2.63	2.20	1.92	1.69	1.43	1.17	1.05					
No COPD	2.57	2.20	1.93	1.69	1.45	1.22	1.09					
Difference	0.05	0.01	-0.01	-0.00	-0.02	-0.05	-0.05					
(95% CI)	(0.02 to 0.08)	(-0.03 to 0.04)	(-0.04 to 0.01)	(-0.02 to 0.02)	(-0.04 to -0.01)	(-0.06 to -0.04)	(-0.06 to -0.04)					
P-value	<0.001	0.64	0.34	0.90	0.001	<0.001	<0.001					
Mean airway wall th					ty, smoking stat	tus, airway leng	th, percent					
emphysema _{-950HU} , BI	MI-determined	CT dose, and lo	ung volume at (CT								
COPD	13.6	7.7	6.1	5.1	4.1	3.2	3.1					
No COPD	13.3	8.0	6.4	5.1	4.1	3.4	3.2					
Difference	0.3	-0.2	-0.3	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.2					
(95% CI)	(0.0 to 0.7)	(-0.5 to 0.0)	(-0.5 to -0.2)	(-0.2 to 0.0)	(-0.1 to 0.0)	(-0.2 to -0.1)	(-0.2 to -0.1)					
P-value	p=0.07	p=0.08	p<0.001	p=0.02	p=0.002	p<0.001	p<0.001					

Mean values and differences, along with 95% CI and p-values were estimated using linear regression with generalized estimating equations.

Table E8: Airway Wall Area Associations with Percent Predicted FEV₁ and FVC Stratified by Generation Number in the MESA COPD Study and SPIROMICS.

MECA CODD		•	Airway	generation n	umber					
MESA COPD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6			
Unadjusted mean airway wall area difference in mm ²										
Per 10% decrement in FEV ₁	2.4	-0.1	0.1	-0.2	-0.4	-0.4	-0.2			
(95% CI)	(0.3 to 4.6)	(-1.3 to 1.2)	(-0.7 to 0.8)	(-0.6 to 0.2)	(-0.7 to -0.1)	(-0.6 to -0.2)	(-0.4 to -0.1)			
P-value	0.02	0.93	0.88	0.30	0.006	<0.001	0.002			
Per 10% decrement in FVC	2.8	0.5	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0			
(95% CI)	(0.3 to 5.5)	(-1.1 to 2.2)	(-0.9 to 1.0)	(-0.5 to 0.5)	(-0.3 to 0.4)	(-0.3 to 0.2)	(-0.1 to 0.2)			
P-value	0.02	0.52	0.89	0.92	0.73	0.80	0.75			
Mean airway wall area differ					city, smoking s	status, airway l	length,			
percent emphysema _{-950HU} , BN						Г	Т			
Per 10% decrement in FEV ₁	0.0	-1.1	-0.7	-0.6	-0.5	-0.4	-0.3			
(95% CI)	(-1.6 to 1.5)	(-2.0 to -0.3)	(-1.3 to -0.1)	(-0.9 to -0.3)	(-0.7 to -0.3)	(-0.6 to -0.3)	(-0.4 to -0.1)			
P-value	p=0.97	p=0.01	p=0.02	p<0.001	p<0.001	p<0.001	p<0.001			
Per 10% decrement in FVC	1.1	0.0	-0.4	-0.4	-0.1	-0.1	0.0			
(95% CI)	(-0.8 to 3.1)	(-1.2 to 1.3)	(-1.2 to 0.3)	(-0.7 to 0.0)	(-0.4 to 0.2)	(-0.3 to 0.1)	(-0.2 to 0.2)			
P-value	p=0.25	p=0.94	p=0.25	p=0.06	p=0.50	p=0.36	p=0.96			
SPIROMICS	Airway generation number									
3FINOIVIIC3	0	1	2	3	4	5	6			
Unadjusted mean airway wal	ll area differen	ce in mm²								
Per 10% decrement in FEV ₁	-0.2	-1.7	-1.1	-0.9	-0.8	-0.6	-0.4			
(95% CI)	(-0.9 to 0.7)	(-2.2 to -1.1)	(-1.4 to -0.8)	(-1.0 to -0.7)	(-0.9 to -0.6)	(-0.7 to -0.5)	(-0.4 to -0.3)			
P-value	0.77	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001			
Per 10% decrement in FVC	-1.8	-3.1	-1.7	-1.0	-0.8	-0.5	-0.3			
(95% CI)	(-2.8 to -0.7)	(-3.9 to -2.2)	(-2.2 to -1.1)	(-1.3 to -0.8)	(-1.0 to -0.6)	(-0.6 to -0.4)	(-0.3 to -0.2)			
P-value	0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001			
Mean airway wall area differ	ence in mm² a	djusted for age	e, gender, heig	ht, race-ethni	city, smoking s	status, airway l	length,			
percent emphysema _{-950HU} , BN	/II-determined	CT dose, and	lung volume a	t CT						
Per 10% decrement in FEV ₁	-1.5	-2.4	-1.6	-1.0	-0.8	-0.5	-0.4			
(95% CI)	(-2.1 to -0.9)	(-2.8 to -1.9)	(-1.9 to -1.3)	(-1.2 to -0.9)	(-0.9 to -0.7)	(-0.6 to -0.5)	(-0.5 to -0.4)			
P-value	p<0.001	p<0.001	p<0.001	p<0.001	p<0.001	p<0.001	p<0.001			
Per 10% decrement in FVC	-1.5	-2.2	-1.3	-0.9	-0.6	-0.4	-0.3			
(95% CI)	(-2.2 to -0.8)	(-2.7 to -1.6)	(-1.6 to -1.0)	(-1.0 to -0.7)	(-0.7 to -0.5)	(-0.5 to -0.3)	(-0.3 to -0.2)			
P-value	p<0.001	p<0.001	p<0.001	p<0.001	p<0.001	p<0.001	p<0.001			

Mean values and differences, along with 95% CI and p-values were estimated using linear regression with generalized estimating equations.

Abbreviations: FEV_1 denotes forced expired volume in one second, FVC forced vital capacity, MESA Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis, COPD chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, SPIROMICS Subpopulations and Intermediate Outcome Measures in COPD Study, HU Hounsfield units, BMI body mass index, CT computed tomography, and CI confidence interval.

Table E9: Percent Wall Area According to COPD Status Stratified by Generation Number in the MESA COPD Study and SPIROMICS.

MESA CORD	Airway generation number										
MESA COPD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6				
Unadjusted mean airway percent wall area											
COPD	40.5	43.4	48.4	58.4	62.6	65.6	67.3				
No COPD	40.8	43.4	47.3	55.8	60.9	64.9	67.0				
Difference	-0.3	-0.0	1.1	2.6	1.7	0.6	0.3				
(95% CI)	(-0.9 to 0.4)	(-0.5 to 0.5)	(0.5 to 1.6)	(1.8 to 3.3)	(1.1 to 2.3)	(0.1 to 1.1)	(-0.2 to 0.7)				
P-value	0.38	0.90	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.02	0.26				
Mean airway percer	-			•	moking status,	airway length,	percent				
emphysema _{-950HU} , Bl	MI-determined	CT dose, and lo	ung volume at (СТ							
COPD	40.6	43.2	47.9	58.1	62.5	66.3	67.9				
No COPD	40.7	43.0	46.8	55.3	60.5	64.9	66.9				
Difference	-0.1	0.2	1.1	2.7	1.9	1.4	0.9				
(95% CI)	(-0.8 to 0.7)	(-0.4 to 0.8)	(0.5 to 1.8)	(1.8 to 3.6)	(1.2 to 2.7)	(0.8 to 2.0)	(0.4 to 1.5)				
P-value	p=0.87	p=0.50	p<0.001	p<0.001	p<0.001	p<0.001	p<0.001				
SPIROMICS			Airwa	y generation nι	ımber						
31 INOMICS	0	1	2	3	4	5	6				
Unadjusted mean ai	rway percent w	/all area									
COPD	40.4	43.1	47.3	56.2	61.4	65.1	67.1				
No COPD	40.1	43.0	47.0	54.5	59.6	63.7	66.4				
Difference	0.3	0.1	0.3	1.7	1.7	1.5	0.7				
(95% CI)	(-0.1 to 0.7)	(-0.2 to 0.3)	(0.0 to 0.6)	(1.3 to 2.1)	(1.4 to 2.0)	(1.2 to 1.7)	(0.5 to 0.9)				
P-value	0.12	0.48	0.04	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001				
Mean airway percer	-			•	moking status,	airway length,	percent				
emphysema _{-950HU} , Bl			ung volume at (
COPD	40.4	43.9	46.9	56.7	62.6	67.3	68.6				
No COPD	39.2	43.5	46.4	54.8	60.4	65.5	67.4				
Difference	1.2	0.4	0.5	1.9	2.2	1.9	1.2				
(95% CI)	(0.8 to 1.7)	(0.2 to 0.7)	(0.2 to 0.9)	(1.5 to 2.4)	(1.8 to 2.6)	(1.5 to 2.2)	(1.0 to 1.5)				
P-value	p<0.001	p=0.003	p=0.005	p<0.001	p<0.001	p<0.001	p<0.001				

Percent wall area was calculated as the ratio of airway wall area to the sum of wall and lumen area multiplied by 100. Mean values and differences, along with 95% CI and p-values were estimated using linear regression with generalized estimating equations.

Table E10: Percent Wall Area Associations with Percent Predicted FEV₁ and FVC Stratified by Generation Number in the MESA COPD Study and SPIROMICS.

MESA COPD	,	Airway generation number									
IVIESA COPD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6				
Unadjusted mean percent wall area difference											
Per 10% decrement in FEV ₁	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.6	0.4	0.2	0.1				
(95% CI)	(-0.2 to 0.1)	(-0.1 to 0.2)	(0.0 to 0.2)	(0.3 to 0.7)	(0.3 to 0.6)	(0.1 to 0.3)	(-0.1 to 0.2)				
P-value	0.74	0.48	0.04	<0.001	<0.001	0.003	0.18				
Per 10% decrement in FVC	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.1				
(95% CI)	(-0.2 to 0.1)	(0.0 to 0.2)	(-0.1 to 0.2)	(0.1 to 0.6)	(0.0 to 0.6)	(0.0 to 0.4)	(0.0 to 0.3)				
P-value	0.57	0.21	0.40	0.01	0.07	0.07	0.08				
Mean percent wall area diffe emphysema _{-950HU} , BMI-deteri	-			ce-ethnicity,	smoking statu	s, airway lengt	h, percent				
Per 10% decrement in FEV ₁	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.1				
(95% CI)	(-0.2 to 0.1)	(-0.1 to 0.2)	(-0.1 to 0.3)	(0.3 to 0.8)	(0.3 to 0.7)	(0.1 to 0.5)	(0.0 to 0.3)				
P-value	p=0.87	p=0.38	p=0.35	p<0.001	p<0.001	`p<0.001	p=0.07				
Per 10% decrement in FVC	-0.1	0.1	-0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1				
(95% CI)	(-0.3 to 0.1)	(-0.1 to 0.2)	(-0.2 to 0.1)	(-0.1 to 0.4)	(-0.1 to 0.4)	(-0.1 to 0.4)	(-0.1 to 0.2)				
P-value	p=0.25	p=0.50	p=0.60	p=0.22	p=0.40	p=0.27	p=0.29				
CDIDOMACS	Airway generation number										
SPIROMICS	0	1	2	3	4	5	6				
Unadjusted mean percent wa	all area differe	nce									
Per 10% decrement in FEV ₁	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.3				
(95% CI)	(0.0 to 0.2)	(0.0 to 0.0)	(0.1 to 0.2)	(0.4 to 0.6)	(0.4 to 0.5)	(0.4 to 0.5)	(0.2 to 0.3)				
P-value	0.002	0.68	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001				
Per 10% decrement in FVC	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.3				
(95% CI)	(0.0 to 0.2)	(-0.1 to 0.0)	(0.1 to 0.3)	(0.5 to 0.7)	(0.4 to 0.6)	(0.3 to 0.5)	(0.2 to 0.3)				
P-value	0.003	0.80	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001				
Mean percent wall area diffe	rence adjusted	d for age, gen	der, height, ra	ce-ethnicity,	smoking statu	s, airway lengt	h, percent				
emphysema _{-950HU} , BMI-deteri	mined CT dose	, and lung vo	lume at CT								
Per 10% decrement in FEV ₁	0.3	0.0	0.20	0.8	0.7	0.5	0.4				
(95% CI)	(0.2 to 0.4)	(0.0 to 0.1)	(0.1 to 0.3)	(0.7 to 0.8)	(0.7 to 0.8)	(0.5 to 0.6)	(0.3 to 0.4)				
P-value	p<0.001	p=0.29	p<0.001	p<0.001	p<0.001	p<0.001	p<0.001				
Per 10% decrement in FVC	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.3				
(95% CI)	(0.0 to 0.3)	(-0.1 to 0.1)	(0.1 to 0.2)	(0.5 to 0.8)	(0.4 to 0.7)	(0.3 to 0.5)	(0.2 to 0.3)				
P-value	p=0.007	p=0.65	p<0.001	p<0.001	p<0.001	p<0.001	p<0.001				

Percent wall area was calculated as the ratio of airway wall area to the sum of wall and lumen area multiplied by 100. Mean values and differences, along with 95% CI and p-values were estimated using linear regression with generalized estimating equations.

Abbreviations: FEV_1 denotes forced expired volume in one second, FVC forced vital capacity, MESA Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis, COPD chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, SPIROMICS Subpopulations and Intermediate Outcome Measures in COPD Study, HU Hounsfield units, BMI body mass index, CT computed tomography, and CI confidence interval.

REFERENCE LIST

- 1. Couper D, Lavange LM, Han M, et al. Design of the Subpopulations and Intermediate Outcomes in COPD Study (SPIROMICS). Thorax 2013.
- 2. Thomashow MA, Shimbo D, Parikh MA, et al. Endothelial microparticles in mild chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and emphysema. The Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease study. American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine 2013;**188**(1):60-8.
- 3. Tschirren J, Hoffman EA, McLennan G, et al. Segmentation and quantitative analysis of intrathoracic airway trees from computed tomography images. Proc Am Thorac Soc 2005;**2**(6):484-7, 503-4.
- 4. Tschirren J, McLennan G, Palagyi K, et al. Matching and anatomical labeling of human airway tree. leee T Med Imaging 2005;**24**(12):1540-47.
- 5. Li K, Wu X, Chen DZ, et al. Optimal surface segmentation in volumetric images--a graph-theoretic approach. IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence 2006;**28**(1):119-34.
- 6. Reinhardt JM, D'Souza ND, Hoffman EA. Accurate measurement of intrathoracic airways. IEEE Trans Med Imaging 1997;**16**(6):820-7.
- 7. Nakano Y, Wong JC, de Jong PA, et al. The prediction of small airway dimensions using computed tomography. American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine 2005;**171**(2):142-6.
- 8. Shrout PE, Fleiss JL. Intraclass correlations: uses in assessing rater reliability. Psychological bulletin 1979;86(2):420-8.
- 9. Gevenois PA, de Maertelaer V, De Vuyst P, et al. Comparison of computed density and macroscopic morphometry in pulmonary emphysema. American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine 1995;**152**(2):653-7.
- 10. Miller MR, Hankinson J, Brusasco V, et al. Standardisation of spirometry. The European respiratory journal: official journal of the European Society for Clinical Respiratory Physiology 2005;**26**(2):319-38.
- 11. Hankinson JL, Kawut SM, Shahar E, et al. Performance of American Thoracic Society-recommended spirometry reference values in a multiethnic sample of adults: the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis (MESA) lung study. Chest 2010;137(1):138-45.
- 12. Hankinson JL, Odencrantz JR, Fedan KB. Spirometric reference values from a sample of the general U.S. population. American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine 1999;**159**(1):179-87.
- 13. Vestbo J, Hurd SS, Agusti AG, et al. Global Strategy for the Diagnosis, Management, and Prevention of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease GOLD Executive Summary. American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine 2013;**187**(4):347-65.