LETTERS

Role of breathing exercises in hyperventilating subjects

Thomas and colleagues reported breathing training leading to improvements in asthmaspecific health status and other patient-centred measures. These included Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire (AQLQ) scores, Hospital Anxiety and Depression (HAD) anxiety, HAD depression, Nijmegen scores and Asthma Control Questionnaire (ACQ) scores. The significant improvement in all the above stated scores except the last one at 6 months after the intervention could be due to a few inherent biases. This was discussed in our weekly journal club.

First, most of the population studied were hyperventilating subjects, as evidenced by the mean Nijmegen scores in both groups of >23. Breathing training might therefore have helped these hyperventilating subjects. Second, as stated in the article, most subjects with chronic disease would like to try alternative forms of treatment.2 If this "alternative form" was mentioned during the invitation to take part in the study (which is not stated in the article), then all the subjects could have been self-motivated, which is not representative of the general population and hence the results cannot be generalised. Last, the subjects who underwent breathing training were encouraged to do the breathing exercises throughout the 6-month period whereas the control group had three sessions of asthma education with no such ongoing "controlling effect".

A significant improvement in forced expiratory volume in 1 s and a significant fall in exhaled nitric oxide 1 month after the intervention in the control group shows the beneficial effect of patient education. Hence, effective pharmacotherapy with asthma education continues to be the core of asthma treatment. The role of breathing training is possibly present in subjects who have a tendency to hyperventilate, which need not be due just to asthma but to any cause.

A B Palamarthy

Correspondence to: Dr A B Palamarthy, All Wales Adult Cystic Fibrosis Centre, University Hospital, Llandough, Vale of Glamorgan CF64 2HR, UK; anandom999@yahoo.com

Competing interests: None.

Provenance and peer review: Not commissioned; not externally peer reviewed.

Accepted 22 February 2009

Thorax 2009;64:824. doi:10.1136/thx.2009.113597

REFERENCES

- Thomas M, McKinley RK, Mellor S, et al. Breathing exercises for asthma: a randomised controlled trial. Thorax 2009;64:55–61.
- Slader CA, Reddel HK, Jenkins CR, et al.
 Complementary and alternative medicine use in asthma: who is using what? Respirology 2006;11:373–87.

Authors' reply

We thank Dr Palamarthy for the interest shown in our study.1 Three points are made. First, that most of the population studied were hyperventilating, as evidenced by the mean Nijmegen scores. This is incorrect. Our previous work has shown that one-fifth of men and one-third of women with asthma treated in the community in the UK have Nijmegen scores indicative of possible hyperventilation,2 and that those with such high scores had a high probability of responding to breathing therapy.3 In the current study, one of the research questions we addressed was whether patients with asthma with a low Nijmegen questionnaire score responded as well as those with a high score—that is, whether those with possible hyperventilation as well as asthma responded better than those with asthma but without symptoms of hyperventilation. Each randomisation group therefore had 50% of subjects with high Nijmegen scores (≥23) and 50% with low scores; this is stated in the Methods section and in the statistical analysis section where we state: "We also assessed whether the Nijmegen Questionnaire (a screening tool for symptomatic hyperventilation) score (<23 or ≥23) or physiological evidence of hyperventilation influenced response to breathing retraining". As reported in the Results section under the heading "Influence of hyperventilation markers on response to breathing training", no difference in response to breathing training was found between high and low scorers of the Nijmegen questionnaire, nor between those with low and higher carbon dioxide tensions at baseline. The results imply that this intervention can help many patients with impaired asthma-related health status, regardless of symptomatic or physiological evidence of hyperventilation.

The second point concerns the generalisability of the findings. As detailed in the Consort diagram, 516 subjects out of 3139 invitation letters (outlining the study protocol) responded with interest—a response rate of roughly 1 in 6-and 183 subjects were randomised. Recent work has shown that typical asthma clinical trials recruit a far lower proportion of potentially eligible subjects than ours,4 usually in the order of 2%, and we know of no community-based controlled trials in asthma that have achieved a better recruitment rate. The point on generalisability applies to all randomised controlled trials but we feel that our study, because of the recruitment strategy, is likely to have better external validity than the trials on which current guidelines are based.

Finally, it is noted that the control group receiving asthma education achieved withingroup benefits and a significant reduction in exhaled nitric oxide concentration. We agree with these observations, and also with the suggestion that pharmacotherapy and

asthma education are vital aspects of asthma management. However, the within-group improvements from baseline and the greater improvements in patient-centred end points noted at 6 months in the breathing therapy group compared with the education group point to the possibility that this intervention may be an effective one for patients with impaired quality of life despite pharmacotherapy, and one that may benefit many patients with asthma. Future studies should investigate whether breathing exercises have additional benefits to effective education.

M Thomas, 1 I D Pavord2

¹ Department of General Practice and Primary Care, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, UK; ² Institute for Lung Health, Glenfield Hospital, Leicester, UK

Correspondence to: Dr M Thomas, Centre of Academic Primary Care, School of Medicine and Dentistry, University of Aberdeen, Foresterhill Health Centre, Westburn Road, Aberdeen AB25 2AY, UK; mikethomas@doctors.org.uk

Competing interests: None.

Provenance and peer review: Not commissioned; not externally peer reviewed.

Accepted 18 May 2009

Thorax 2009;64:824. doi:10.1136/thx.2009.120048

REFERENCES

- Thomas M, McKinley RK, Mellor S, et al. Breathing exercises for asthma: a randomised controlled trial. Thorax 2009;64:55–61
- Thomas M, McKinley RK, Freeman E, et al. Prevalence of dysfunctional breathing in patients treated for asthma in primary care: cross sectional survey. BMJ 2001;322:1098–100.
- Thomas M, McKinley RK, Freeman E, et al. Breathing retraining for dysfunctional breathing in asthma: a randomised controlled trial. *Thorax* 2003:58:110–5.
- Herland K, Akselsen JP, Skjønsberg OH, et al. How representative are clinical study patients with asthma or COPD for a larger "real life" population of patients with obstructive lung disease? Respir Med 2005;99:11–9.

Caesarean section and asthma

Roduit et al observed an association between caesarean section and asthma at the age of 8 years in a large group of Dutch children, and attribute the development of asthma partly to the mode of delivery, possibly through a different and delayed pattern of intestinal colonisation of micro-organisms.1 Although this hypothesis is most interesting, in their discussion the differential reasons for caesarean sections were not addressed. As they state themselves, the prevalence of caesarean section in the Netherlands is low and elective caesarean section is rare. Because of this, the Dutch population of children born by caesarean section might be a highly selected group. One of the main reasons a caesarean section is conducted is a disproportion between the pelvic aperture and the fetal head circumference, and a large neonatal head circumference has been reported as a risk factor for asthma,2 for any atopic disorder when corrected for neonatal body weight,3 for